

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicant
(Responding Party)

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Respondent
(Moving Party)

MOVING PARTY'S MOTION RECORD
(Motion to Strike)

Volume 1

Allan Matte
Senior Counsel
Legal Services Directorate
Canadian Transportation Agency
15 Eddy Street, 19th Floor
Gatineau, Quebec
K1A 0N9

Tel: 819-953-0611

Fax: 819-953-9269

Allan.Matte@otc-cta.gc.ca

Servicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca

TO: Registrar
Federal Court of Appeal

AND TO: **SIMON LIN**
Evolink Law Group
4388 Still Creek Drive, Suite 237
Burnaby, B.C.
V5C 6C6
Email: simonlin@evolinklaw.com

Counsel for the Applicant

c.c.: Applicant, lukacs@airpassengerrights.ca

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicant
(Responding Party)

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Respondent
(Moving Party)

INDEX

TAB	DOCUMENT	PAGE
1	Notice of Motion	1
2	Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács, affirmed on April 7, 2020	4
A	Exhibit A – Brief of Air Passenger Rights (excerpts), available on the House of Commons' website	31
B	Exhibit B – Carlos Martins: Aviation Practice Area Review, WHO'SWHOLEGAL (September 2013)	35
C	Exhibit C – Order of the Federal Court of Appeal (Near, J.A.), granting leave to intervene in File No. A-311-19, dated March 3, 2020	39
D	Exhibit D – Air Passenger Rights's articles of incorporation, dated May 26, 2019	43
E	Exhibit E – World Health Organization's press release, dated March 11, 2020	50
F	Exhibit F – News release issued by Global Affairs Canada, dated March 13, 2020	55

G	Exhibit G – “Canada closes borders, says people should stay at home to stop virus- PM Trudeau,” published online in the National Post, dated March 16, 2020	59
H	Exhibit H – Determination No. A-2020-42 of the Canadian Transportation Agency, dated March 13, 2020	61
I	Exhibit I – Determination No. A-2020-47 of the Canadian Transportation Agency, dated March 25, 2020	65
J	Exhibit J – Order No. 2020-A-32 of the Canadian Transportation Agency, dated March 18, 2020	68
K	Exhibit K – Order No. 2020-A-37 of the Canadian Transportation Agency, dated March 25, 2020	71
L	Exhibit L – “Message concernant les crédits,” Canadian Transportation Agency's website	74
M	Exhibit M – “Statement on Vouchers,” Canadian Transportation Agency's website	76
N	Exhibit N – Email of WestJet to Mr. Jeff Chamberlain, dated April 5, 2020	78
O	Exhibit O – “Information importante pour les voyageurs pour la période de la COVID-19,” Canadian Transportation Agency's website	81
P	Exhibit P – “Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19,” Canadian Transportation Agency's website	86
Q	Exhibit Q - Tweets from the Canadian Transportation Agency's official Twitter account, dated March 25, 2020	91
R	Exhibit R – Chain of emails between “info Team” of the Canadian Transportation Agency and Ms. Tammy Pedersen, dated March 20-27, 2020	94
S	Exhibit S - Chain of emails between “info Team” of the Canadian Transportation Agency and Ms. Jennifer Mossey, dated March 27, 2020	98
T	Exhibit T - “Code of Conduct for Members of the Agency,” Canadian Transportation Agency website	102
U	Exhibit U – “Travel Agent Special Update” issued by Sunwing, dated March 27, 2020	109

V	Exhibit V - ``Travel Agent Special Update – COVID-19 Frequently Asked Questions" issued by Sunwing, dated March 27, 2020	111
W	Exhibit W - WestJet's Facebook message to Ms. Steffany Christopher, dated March 31, 2020	114
X	Exhibit X - Email of Air Canada to Mr. Michael Foulkes, dated April 1, 2020	120
Y	Exhibit Y - Email of Air Canada to Mr. Ahren Belisle, dated March 27, 2020	126
Z	Exhibit Z - Direct Message on Twitter of Air Transat to Mr. Adam Bacour, dated March 26, 2020	131
AA	Exhibit AA – Email of Swoop to Ms. Susan Simpson, dated March 28, 2020	134
AB	Exhibit AB – Facebook post by Travel Only, dated May 25, 2020	137
AC	Exhibit AC – ``Registrar Bulletin: Vouchers or Similar Documents," Travel Industry Council of Ontario's website	139
AD	Exhibit AD – ``Tactful and tough, agents have effective strategies for dealing with refund demands," TravelWeek, dated April 3, 2020	143
AE	Exhibit AE – ``Enforcement Notice Regarding Refunds by Carriers Given the Unprecedented Impact of the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency On Air Travel," US Department of Transportation, dated April 3, 2020	150
AF	Exhibit AF – Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association's press release, dated April 1, 2020	153
AG	Exhibit AG – AG Letter of Dr. Lukács to the Canadian Transportation Agency, dated March 30, 2020	156
AH	Exhibit AH – Email of the Secretariat of the Canadian Transportation Agency to Dr. Lukács, dated March 30, 2020	159
AI	Exhibit AI – Draft Notice of Application	169
3	Memorandum of Fact and Law of the Moving Party, Canadian Transportation Agency	177

Court File No.: A-102-20

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTSApplicant
(Responding Party)

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCYRespondent
(Moving Party)

NOTICE OF MOTION
(Motion to Strike)

TAKE NOTICE THAT the Respondent, Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency), will make a motion to the Court in writing under Rule 369 of the *Federal Courts Rules*, SOR/98-106.

THIS MOTION IS FOR:

1. An Order striking the within application for judicial review;
2. Costs of the application and the motion;
3. Such further and other relief as this Court may deem just.

THE GROUNDS FOR THIS MOTION ARE:

1. Rule 369 of the *Federal Courts Rules* and the Court's plenary jurisdiction to restrain the misuse or abuse of the court's processes.
2. This is an application for judicial review of a "Statement on Vouchers" published on the Agency's website and a related "COVID-19 page" providing information on travel in the context of the coronavirus pandemic.

3. Neither the Statement on Vouchers nor the COVID-19 page affect rights, impose legal obligations, or cause prejudicial effects on the Applicant or airline passengers. They are therefore not amenable to judicial review.
4. The Statement on Vouchers and the COVID-19 page are not "matter(s)" within the meaning of subsection 18.1(1) of the *Federal Courts Act* R.S.C. 1985, c. F-7. Judicial review is therefore not available.
5. This Court has already conclusively found, in dismissing the Applicant's motion for an interlocutory injunction, that the administrative action which is the subject matter of the application for judicial review, namely, the Statement on Vouchers and the COVID-19 page, do not affect rights, impose legal obligations, or cause prejudicial effects, and is therefore not amenable for judicial review. It follows that the application should be struck.
6. The application for judicial review is an abuse of the Court's processes.
7. Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

Statutes and regulations relied on

8. *Federal Courts Act*, RSC 1985, c F-7 and in particular section 18.1;
9. *Federal Courts Rules*, SOR/98-106, and in particular, Rule 369;
10. Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be presented in support of this motion:

1. Affidavit of Gabor Lukacs, dated the 7th day of April, 2020, as previously filed with this Court by the Applicant on April 9, 2020;
2. The written representations of the Respondent (Moving Party); and

3. Such further and other evidence as counsel may advise and this Honorable Court may permit.

DATED at the City of Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario, this 3rd day of August, 2020.



Allan Matte
Senior Counsel
Canadian Transportation Agency
Legal Services Directorate
15 Eddy Street, 19th Floor
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0N9
Tel: (819) 953-0611
Fax: (819) 953-9269

Email: Allan.Matte@otc-cta.gc.ca

Email: Servicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca

Counsel for the Respondent (Moving Party), Canadian Transportation Agency

TO: Registrar
Federal Court of Appeal

AND TO: **SIMON LIN**
Evolink Law Group
4388 Still Creek Drive, Suite 237
Burnaby, B.C.
V5C 6C6
Email: simonlin@evolinklaw.com

Counsel for the Applicant (Responding Party)

c.c.: Applicant, lukacs@airpassengerights.ca

Court File No.:

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicant

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Respondent

AFFIDAVIT OF DR. GÁBOR LUKÁCS

(Affirmed: April 7, 2020)

I, **DR. GÁBOR LUKÁCS**, of the City of Halifax in the Province of Nova Scotia, AFFIRM THAT:

1. I am the President of the Applicant, Air Passenger Rights. As such, I have personal knowledge of the matters to which I depose, except as to those matters stated to be on information and belief, which I believe to be true.
 - A. Public interest advocacy activities**
2. Since 2008, in my personal capacity, I have been an air passenger rights advocate, and I have been volunteering my time and expertise for the benefit of the travelling public. I have filed more than two dozen successful regulatory complaints with the Canadian Transportation Agency [**Agency**] that resulted in airlines being ordered to amend their terms and conditions and/or their websites and/or their signage, and to offer better protection to passengers. An excerpt from a 2017 brief, summarizing my activities, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “A”**.
3. On September 4, 2013, the Consumers’ Association of Canada recognized my achievements in the area of air passenger rights by awarding me its Order of

Merit for “singlehandedly initiating Legal Action resulting in revision of Air Canada unfair practices regarding Over Booking.”

4. In a 2013 review article on aviation law in Canada, a copy of which is attached and marked as **Exhibit “B”**, Mr. Carlos Martins, a lawyer at the Bersenas Jacobsen Chouest Thomson Blackburn firm, described my advocacy as follows:

In the consumer protection landscape, for the last several years, the field has largely been occupied by Gabor Lukács, a Canadian mathematician who has taken an interest in challenging various aspects of the tariffs filed by air carriers with the regulator, the Canadian Transportation Agency (the Agency). The majority of Mr Lukács’ complaints centre on the clarity and reasonableness of the content of the filed tariffs, as well as the extent to which air carriers are applying their tariffs, as filed, in the ordinary course of business.

Mr Lukács’ efforts have created a significant body of jurisprudence from the Agency - to the extent that his more recent decisions often rely heavily upon principles enunciated in previous complaints launched by him.

5. I have successfully challenged, in the public interest, the legality of the Agency’s actions on a number of occasions before this Honourable Court:
- (a) *Lukács v. Canada (Transport, Infrastructure and Communities)*, 2015 FCA 140, relating to the open court principle in proceedings before the Canadian Transportation Agency;
 - (b) *Lukács v. Canada (Canadian Transportation Agency)*, 2015 FCA 269, relating to denied boarding compensation; and
 - (c) *Lukács v. Canada (Canadian Transportation Agency)*, 2016 FCA 220, relating to standing to bring a complaint about discrimination against large passengers without being personally affected.

6. In *Lukács v. Canada (Transportation Agency)*, 2016 FCA 174, at paragraph 6, the Federal Court of Appeal recognized my genuine interest in air passenger rights and the legality of the Agency's decisions and actions, and granted me public interest standing on that basis.
7. In October 2017, I appeared before the Supreme Court of Canada. The court's judgment was delivered on January 19, 2018, and is indexed as *Delta Air Lines Inc. v. Lukács*, 2018 SCC 2.
8. In October 2018, I delivered two invited lectures on air passenger rights at McGill University Faculty of Law's Institute of Air and Space Law.
9. In *Lukács v. Canada (Transportation Agency)*, 2019 FC 1148, at paragraphs 46 and 50, the Federal Court recognized my reputation, continued interest, and expertise in advocating for passenger rights.
10. In March 2020, I was granted leave to intervene by the Federal Court of Appeal in the appeal of the International Air Transport Association and a number of airlines against certain provisions of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* in File No. A-311-19:

[...] the Court is of the view that the case engages the public interest, that the proposed intervener would defend the interests of airline passengers in a way that the parties cannot, that the interests of justice favour allowing the proposed intervention in the appeal, and that the proposed intervention would be of assistance to the Court in deciding the appeal;

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the Order of the Federal Court of Appeal granting leave to to intervene, dated March 3, 2020, is attached and marked as **Exhibit "C"**.

11. I offer *pro bono* assistance to passengers in their disputes with airlines to the extent that I am permitted to do so by law. For example:
 - (a) In *Lachance v. Air Canada*, 2014 NSSM 14, I obtained a judgment requiring Air Canada to compensate the passenger, who had been bumped.
 - (b) Since 2015, I have been assisting and representing Ms. Nayla Farah and Ms. Amal Haddad of Toronto, Ontario, who were harassed and discriminated against by airline crew due to their visual impairment and reliance on the assistance of Seeing Eye service dogs. In October 2018, the Canadian Human Rights Commission decided to refer the case to the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal for an inquiry.
 - (c) In *Paine v. Air Canada*, 2018 NSSC 215, I was granted permission to represent passengers in an appeal before the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia in a case relating to denied boarding compensation.
- (i) **Involvement with the *Transportation Modernization Act* and the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations***
12. I testified twice with respect to the *Transportation Modernization Act*:
 - (a) in September 2017, before the House of Commons' Standing Committee on Transport, Infrastructure and Communities (TRAN); and
 - (b) in March 2018, before the Standing Senate Committee on Transport and Communications.
13. The Agency recognized me as a stakeholder in the consultation process leading to the development of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* [APPR]:
 - (a) In June 2018, I had a 2-hour bilateral consultation session with officials from the Agency.

- (b) In August 2018, I submitted a 26-page brief to the Agency with respect to the *APPR*.
- (c) In January 2019, after the proposed *APPR* were prepublished in Canada Gazette Part I, I had a 1.5-hour bilateral consultation session with officials from the Agency.
- (d) In February 2019, I submitted a 52-page brief to the Agency with respect to the proposed *APPR*.

For greater clarity, these bilateral consultation sessions were organized only for those whom the Agency identified as “stakeholders,” and were distinct from the Agency’s townhall meetings for the general public.

14. As noted earlier, the Federal Court of Appeal granted me leave to intervene in the appeal of the International Air Transport Association and a number of airlines against certain provisions of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* (Exhibit “C”).

(ii) Involvement with accessibility

15. In October 2018, I submitted a brief to the House of Commons’ Standing Committee on Human Resources, Skills and Social Development and the Status of Persons with Disabilities with respect to the *Act to ensure a barrier-free Canada* (Bill C-81).
16. In April 2019, I submitted a brief to the Agency about the draft *Accessible Transportation for Persons with Disabilities Regulations* that were prepublished in Canada Gazette Part I.
17. In April 2019, I submitted a brief to the Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science, and Technology with respect to the *Act to ensure a barrier-free Canada* (Bill C-81).

18. In February 2020, I submitted a brief to the Agency about phase 2 of the *Accessible Transportation for Persons with Disabilities Regulations*.

B. The Applicant: Air Passenger Rights

19. Air Passenger Rights [APR] is a non-profit organization, formed under the *Canada Not-for-profit Corporations Act*, SC 2009 on or about May 2019, to expand and continue the air passenger advocacy work that I have initiated in my personal capacity for the last decade. A copy of APR's articles of incorporation are attached and marked as **Exhibit "D"**.
20. APR's mandate is to engage in public interest advocacy for air passengers, continuing the same work that I have been engaging in personally for the past decade, including advocating on behalf of the travelling public before Parliament, administrative agencies and tribunals, and the courts, when necessary.
21. I am the President and a director of APR, and I actively lead all the work of APR. APR operates on a non-profit basis and its directors, including myself, are not paid any salaries or wages.
22. APR currently receives small donations, on a non-recurring and irregular basis, from a limited number of passengers that have benefited from APR's work or my work. Those donations only cover some out-of-pocket expenses incurred by myself and APR in undertaking the public interest advocacy work.
23. APR does not own real property or personal property. As such, it has no available means to provide an undertaking to this Court to pay damages that may arise from an issuance of the interim or interlocutory orders.
24. APR promotes air passenger rights by referring passengers mistreated by airlines to legal information and resources through the press, social media, and the

AirPassengerRights.ca website.

25. APR's Facebook group, entitled "Air Passenger Rights (Canada)," has more than 23,700 members as of the date of this Affidavit [**APR Facebook Group**].
26. The APR Facebook Group is a platform for passengers to share their concerns regarding air travel and passenger rights, and to discuss their issues and concerns with other passengers.
27. A small group of volunteers, led by me, regularly responds to every passengers' Facebook post on the APR Facebook Group and provides passengers with information whenever possible.

C. The COVID-19 Pandemic and Air Travel

28. Since the start of the COVID-19 disruptions in various countries in early March, I observed that the APR Facebook Group began to regularly receive Facebook posts from passengers concerning disruption of their travel plans to countries that were heavily affected by COVID-19 during that time, such as the People's Republic of China and Italy.
29. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic. A copy of the WHO's press release is attached and marked as **Exhibit "E"**.
30. On March 13, 2020, the Government of Canada issued an advisory advising those within Canada to avoid non-essential travel abroad, and those abroad to consider returning to Canada earlier as options were becoming more limited. A copy of the news release issued by Global Affairs Canada is attached and marked as **Exhibit "F"**.

31. At around the time of the Global Affairs Canada advisory above, the government of Canada began urging Canadians to stem the spread of COVID-19. A copy of a news report published by Reuters, which appeared in the National Post online on March 16, 2020, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “G”**.
32. After the March 11 WHO announcement and the March 13 Global Affairs Canada advisory, internet traffic to the APR Facebook Group has increased substantially, despite individuals refraining from air travel for a number of reasons. The majority of that increased traffic relates to passengers experiencing difficulties obtaining a full refund of unused travel services, mostly air fare, in light of the COVID-19 situation.
33. During the period of February 2 to April 2, 2020, the daily number of new Facebook posts to the APR Facebook Group has increased by 189%, to 3,210 posts for the entire period. The graph generated by Facebook is reproduced below.

Feb 2, 2020 - Apr 2, 2020

3.2K Posts



3,210
Posts
+189%

34. During the same time period, the daily number of comments to the new Facebook posts (above) has increased by 196%, to 53,205 for the entire period. The graph generated by Facebook is reproduced below.

Feb 2, 2020 - Apr 2, 2020

53.2K Comments**53,205**Comments
+196%

35. During the same time period, the APR Facebook Group increased from approximately 15,700 members to 23,709 members as of the date of this Affidavit. The graph generated by Facebook is reproduced below.

Feb 2, 2020 - Apr 2, 2020

23.7K Members**23,709**Total Members
+50%

36. Based on my ongoing and daily involvement with assisting passengers through the APR Facebook Group, I believe that COVID-19 affected and continues to affect passengers' air travel in the following ways:

- (a) passengers could not travel to some countries, such as France and Italy, because they closed their borders to foreign nationals;

- (b) passengers adhering to the government travel advisories or health warnings decided to cancel their travel plans in the near term; and/or
- (c) air carriers cancelled some or all of their flights.

D. The Agency's Lawful Actions in Response to COVID-19

37. Since March 13, 2020 and up to the date of this affidavit, the Agency has issued some orders and/or determinations in response to COVID-19, which are not the subject of challenge on this judicial review application.

(i) Exemptions of Air Carriers from Minimum Compensation and Accommodations under the *APPR*

38. On March 13, 2020, the Agency issued [Determination No. A-2020-42](#) suspending and/or relaxing some of the air carriers' obligation to pay minimum compensation to passengers and the obligation to rebook passengers, under the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations*, SOR/2019-150 [**APPR**] until April 30, 2020. A copy of Determination No. A-2020-42 is attached and marked as **Exhibit "H"**.

39. On March 25, 2020, the Agency issued [Determination No. A-2020-47](#) extending the exemptions under Decision No. A-2020-42 (above) to June 30, 2020, and further allowed air carriers to respond to compensation requests within 120 days after June 30, 2020, instead of the usual 30 days after receipt. A copy of Determination A-2020-47 is attached and marked as **Exhibit "I"**.

(ii) Suspension of All Existing and New Passenger Dispute Resolutions

40. On March 18, 2020, the Agency issued [Order No. 2020-A-32](#), suspending all of the Agency's new and existing dispute resolution activities, including passenger complaints, until April 30, 2020. A copy of Order No. 2020-A-32 is attached and marked as **Exhibit "J"**.

41. On March 25, 2020, the Agency issued [Order No. 2020-A-37](#), extending the suspension of all passenger dispute resolution activities to June 30, 2020. A copy of Order No. 2020-A-37 is attached and marked as **Exhibit “K”**.

E. The Agency’s Impugned and Unlawful Actions on this Judicial Review

(i) The Agency’s “Statement on Vouchers”

42. On March 25, 2020, at approximately the same time as the orders and determinations of the Agency on March 25, 2020 (above), the Agency posted a “Statement on Vouchers” [**Statement**] on its website.
43. The Statement makes no reference to the names of the appointed members of the Agency. The contents of the Statement are excerpted in full below.

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline’s control, the Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines’ tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in force majeure situations.

The legislation, regulations, and tariffs were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic. It’s important to consider how to strike a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines’ operational realities in these extraordinary and unprecedented circumstances.

On the one hand, passengers who have no prospect of completing their planned itineraries with an airline’s assistance should not simply be out-of-pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

The CTA will continue to provide information, guidance, and services to passengers and airlines as we make our way through this challenging period.

The French version of the Statement is attached and marked as **Exhibit “L”**.
The English version of the Statement is attached and marked as **Exhibit “M”**.

44. I have long been recognized as a stakeholder for passenger rights. Since I was not informed by the Agency about the Statement beforehand and I could not find any indication of any consultation on the Statement, I believe that the Agency’s Statement was issued without any input or submissions from the passengers’ perspective.
45. On the other hand, based on the email sent by Westjet to a passenger, Mr. Jeff Chamberlain (below), I believe that the Statement was the culmination of cooperation between the Agency and air carriers, with extensive input from air carriers in the absence of passengers.

We recognize that the cancellation of flights and the current economic uncertainty for many of our guests has created a great deal of frustration. A viable and consistent decision was reached in conjunction with the Canada Transportation Agency regarding the refund of itineraries immediately affected by the COVID-19 crisis period.

We appreciate that your view is that the Canadian Transportation Agency has issued two different initiatives however they act as the governing agency for all Canadian agencies and we operate within the policies that they set out.

We assure you that should future discussions result in an alter-

nate policy adjustment that you will be contacted via email to advise you of such.

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the email that Westjet sent to Mr. Chamberlain on April 5, 2020, which Mr. Chamberlain provided to me, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “N”**.

(ii) The Agency’s COVID-19 Agency Page

46. On March 18, 2020, the Agency posted to their internet website a page dedicated to COVID-19 matters [**COVID-19 Agency Page**].

47. On March 25, 2020, I believe at approximately the same time as the posting of the Statement, the COVID-19 Agency Page was updated to include four references to the Statement and a URL linking to the Statement.

Air Passenger Protection Obligations During COVID-19 Pandemic

[...]

In addition to the APPR, carriers must also follow their tariffs. In light of the COVID-19 Pandemic, CTA has issued a Statement on Vouchers.

Delays and Cancellations

[...]

The CTA has identified a number of situations related to the COVID-19 pandemic that are considered outside the airline’s control. These include:

- flight disruptions to locations that are covered by a government advisory against travel or unnecessary travel due to COVID-19;
- employee quarantine or self-isolation due to COVID-19; and
- additional hygiene or passenger health screening processes put in place due to COVID-19.

Airlines may make decisions to cancel or delay flights for other reasons. Whether these situations are within or outside the airline's control would have to be assessed on a case-by-case basis.

Airline obligations

[...]

Situations outside airline control (including COVID-19 related situations mentioned above)

In these situations, airlines must:

- Rebook passengers [...]
 - Please refer to the CTA's Statement on Vouchers [...]

Situations within airline control

In these situations, airlines must:

- Rebook passengers [...]
 - Please refer to the CTA's Statement on Vouchers [...]

Situations within airline control, but required for safety

In these situations, airlines must:

- Rebook passengers [...]
 - Please refer to the CTA's Statement on Vouchers [...]

A copy of the French version of the COVID-19 Agency Page, entitled “Information importante pour les voyageurs pour la période de la COVID-19,” is attached and marked as **Exhibit “O”**. A copy of the English version of the COVID-19 Agency Page, entitled “Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19,” is attached and marked as **Exhibit “P”**.

(iii) **The Agency's Usage and Dissemination of the "Statement on Vouchers"**

48. Based on my experience that the CTA typically repeats template answers to passengers, and on comments from passengers on the APR Facebook Group that I believe to be true, I believe that after the Agency's posting of the Statement and updating of the COVID-19 Agency Page with specific references to the Statement, the Agency took it upon itself to disseminate those publications to as many passengers as possible, as outlined in the examples below.

49. On March 25, 2020, the Agency responded to public Twitter tweets from passengers using the following text:

Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers> Thank you.
CTA social media

A copy of the Agency's tweets on March 25, 2020 are attached and marked as **Exhibit "Q"**.

50. Twitter also permits account holders to privately respond to messages. I do not know if the Agency privately sent the Statement to passengers.

51. Between March 20 to 27, 2020, the Agency was responding to inquiries from a passenger named Tammy Pedersen. On March 20, 2020 at 1:08AM, Ms. Pedersen asked the Agency about her rights to a refund when Swoop is cancelling the flight, without reference to the COVID-19 situation:

Hello,

I booked a flight with Swoop Airlines for next month and they are cancelling the flight and only offering me a future credit. The flight is from Abbotsford, B.C. to Las Vegas, Nevada and return.

Am I not entitled to a refund back to my card?

Thank you,

52. On March 20, 2020 at 7:43AM, the Agency replied and referred to the COVID-19 situation. The Agency then cited to Ms. Pedersen material portions of Determination No. A-2020-42 (Exhibit “H”) relating to the relaxing of an air carriers’ obligation to pay the minimum compensation under the *APPR* and that the air carrier “would have to make sure the passenger completes the itinerary.”
53. On March 20, 2020 at 11:25AM, Ms. Pedersen wrote back to the Agency indicating she did not understand the Agency’s answer. Ms. Pedersen specifically inquired what her rights would be if the air carrier is unable to complete her itinerary, which reads as follows:

Hello,

Thank you for your response, but I don’t understand the answer.

“However, they would have to make sure the passenger completes their itinerary.” If the carrier doesn’t - what form of compensation am I entitled to? A refund in the form of a future credit or a refund in the original form of payment?

I have them my money in exchange for a service they are unable to provide. This is also outside of my control and a financial burden to me. All I want is my money returned.

Any info/clarification would be appreciated.

Thank you.

54. On March 27, 2020 at 10:25AM, the Agency responded to Ms. Pedersen’s inquiry from March 20, 2020 with a copy of material portions of the Statement as follows:

Hello Tammy,

Thanks for following up.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline’s control, the Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations only require that the airline ensure passengers can com-

plete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that may relieve the airline of such obligations in force majeure situations.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

55. A copy of the email chain between the Agency and Ms. Pedersen between March 20 to 27, 2020, provided to me by Ms. Pedersen, is attached and marked as **Exhibit "R"**.
56. On March 27, 2020, another passenger named Ms. Jennifer Mossey received from the Agency a similar email as Ms. Pedersen that repeats the Statement. The Agency's response did not answer Ms. Mossey's concern about Sunwing initially agreeing to a refund pursuant to their own policies, only to change the policy days after and deny any refunds. In particular, the Agency provided a generic response as follows:

[...]

The CTA has taken steps to address the major impact that the COVID-19 pandemic is having on the airlines industry by making temporary exemptions to certain requirements of the Air Passenger Protection Regulations (APPR). These exemptions apply to flight disruptions that occur from March 13, 2020 until June 30, 2020.

[...]

You should first contact your airline to try and resolve the issues you have raised. Given circumstances, please be patient and provide your airline time to respond to you ? a minimum of 30 days. If you do not hear back from your airline, or you are dissatisfied with the response you receive, you may file a complaint with the CTA.

If you decide to file, or have already filed, a complaint with the CTA, please note that in light of the extraordinary circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, the CTA has decided to temporarily pause communications with airlines on complaints against them. This includes all new complaints received, as well as those currently in the facilitation process. The pause is currently set to continue until June 30, and is aimed at allowing the airlines to focus on immediate and urgent operational demands, like getting Canadians home.

Also, effective March 25, 2020, the deadline for a carrier to respond to claims filed by passengers for payment of the compensation for inconvenience is suspended until June 30, 2020 (or any further period that the Agency may order). Once the suspension is over, carriers will have 120 days to respond to claims received before or during the suspension.

Rest assured that once the pause is lifted, we will deal with every complaint. The delay will not change the outcome of our review.

A copy of the exchange between the Agency and Ms. Mossey, provided to me by Ms. Mossey, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “S”**.

57. Based on my interactions with numerous passengers and my understanding of the Agency’s usual practice of giving boilerplate answers, I believe that many passengers that contacted the Agency would have received similar generic responses from the Agency.
58. Based on my experience of managing the APR Facebook Group, I believe that many passengers rely on the APR Facebook Group for information and/or guidance as a reliable resource when the passengers cannot receive satisfactory resolution or assistance from the air carrier, as demonstrated in Ms. Mossey’s situation above.

(iv) **The Agency’s Own Code of Conduct**

59. Since the Agency is a quasi-judicial tribunal that engages in the adjudication of disputes between air carriers and passengers, the Agency published a *Code of Conduct* for its members. The *Code of Conduct* provides, in part, that:

(40) Members shall not publicly express an opinion about any past, current, or potential cases or any other issue related to the work of the Agency, and shall refrain from comments or discussions in public or otherwise that may create a reasonable apprehension of bias.

A copy of the Agency’s *Code of Conduct* is attached and marked as **Exhibit “T”**.

F. Immediate Prejudice to the Passengers Arising from the Agency’s Unlawful Actions

(i) **Air Carriers and Travel Agents Misrepresenting the Effects of the Agency’s “Statement on Vouchers” to Passengers**

60. On March 27, 2020, Sunwing issued a letter that was distributed to travel agents, including an accompanying FAQ, both of which contained the text below:

Initially, we offered customers booked on our flights during this suspension the choice between a future travel credit valid for 12 months and a full cash refund. However, after the Government of Canada’s non-essential travel advisory, we adjusted our policy to be aligned with all other Canadian airlines and tour operators. This decision is also consistent with the ruling made by the Canadian Transportation Agency on March 26, 2020.

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the letter to the travel agents is attached and marked as **Exhibit “U”**.

A copy of the accompanying FAQ is attached and marked as **Exhibit “V”**.

61. On March 31, 2020, WestJet communicated with a passenger, Ms. Steffany Christopher, via Facebook Messenger, stating that:

We understand the challenges our guest have been faced with. However, the Canadian Transport Agency has approved us to issue refunds to the travel bank. [...]

[Emphasis added.]

A screenshot of those Facebook messages, provided to me by Ms. Christopher, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “W”**.

62. On April 1, 2020, Air Canada wrote in response to an email from Mr. David Foulkes, a passenger, demanding a refund:

[...] Hello / Bonjour Mr. Foulkes,

I would like to attach two links from the Canadian Transportation Agency website as they may help clarify some of your questions. The CTA has issued temporary exemptions to the Air Passenger Protection Regulations regarding refund request and extension of ticket validity.

<https://www.otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/content/canadian-transportation-agency-issues-temporary-exemptions-certain-air-passenger-protection>

<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers> [...]

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the email chain between Air Canada and Mr. Foulkes, provided to me by Mr. Foulkes, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “X”**.

63. On March 27, 2020, Air Canada wrote in response to an email from Mr. Ahren Belisle, a passenger, demanding a refund:

As mention previously the maximum we can provide is to keep your ticket as a credit for 24 months (2 years) [...] The policy we follow at the moment is supported by the CTA (Canadian air transportation agency).

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the email chain between Air Canada and Mr. Belisle, provided to me by Mr. Belisle, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “Y”**.

64. On March 26, 2020, Air Transat responded to a personal message on Twitter from a passenger, Mr. Adam Bacour, as follows:

[...] We strongly believe that the 24-month credit offered to our customers to compensate for their cancelled travel plans is a flexible proposition in these exceptional circumstances [...] In this regard, the Canadian Transportation Agency recently issued an opinion on the subject, which supports our decision and emphasizes that the solution proposed by Transat, among others, is appropriate given the current situation.

[Emphasis added.]

A screenshot of that Twitter message, which was provided to me by Mr. Bacour, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “Z”**.

65. On March 28, 2020, Swoop responded to an email request for a refund from a passenger, Ms. Susan Simpson, as follows:

We do understand that a refund would be preferred, however we are only offering Swoop credits at this time for cancelled flights.

On March 25, the Canadian Transportation Agency clarified its position on providing credit for travel due to the uncertain times we are in. This clarification stated that airlines could offer travel credit for cancelled flights, and the credit should be valid for a reasonable amount of time, which was indicated to be 24 months. If you would like more information please visit the CTA’s website here: <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

A copy of the email chain between Swoop and Ms. Simpson, which was provided to me by Ms. Simpson, is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AA”**.

66. On March 25, 2020, a travel agency based in Ontario named TravelOnly, made the following Facebook post on their Facebook page, citing the Agency's Statement as follows:

To all of our amazing clients - thank you for putting your trust in TravelOnly and our amazing advisors. Over the course of the past two weeks, our advisors have been on hold for upwards of 12+ hours to help you get home or cancel or rebook your trips. No doubt this will continue for the foreseeable future – we are here for you and hope that you will remember the value of using a travel advisor in the future!

Some of you have reached out to enquire how the new Air Passenger Protection Regulations would impact the requirements of airlines when flights were cancelled and/or rebooked.

The Canadian Transportation Agency has provided a statement which provides direction for you and your travel advisor regarding the issuing of future travel vouchers. In summary, the CTA believes that providing affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel is appropriate and reasonable. We understand that you may have questions on your voucher and how to use it for future travel and we encourage you to reach out to your TravelOnly advisor or our offices for assistance at any time. Please note that most vouchers will be issued within the next 4-6 weeks depending on the airline and travel supplier.

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the Facebook post is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AB”**.

(ii) Other Entities Mischaracterizing the Agency's “Statement on Vouchers”

67. On March 31, 2020, the Travel Industry Council of Ontario (the organization that regulates travel agents in Ontario) released a bulletin targeted towards licensed Ontario travel agents entitled “Registrar Bulletin: Vouchers or Similar Documents.” The article, suggesting that the Travel Industry Council of Ontario understands the Agency's Statement as a form of approval for the issuance of vouchers, states in part as follows:

If you sold only air transportation on an airline regulated by the Canadian Transportation Agency (CTA):

The CTA has indicated that to sustain the economic viability of the airline industry, the airlines under their jurisdiction may issue vouchers for future travel in lieu of refunds. Please click [here](#) for the CTA's statement. Please note that TICO does not have jurisdiction over airlines, which are federally regulated.

A copy of the article is attached and marked as **Exhibit "AC"**.

68. On April 3, 2020, a news article entitled "Tactful and tough, agents have effective strategies for dealing with refund demands" was published in Travel Week, a weekly publication targeting travel agents. The news article referred to the Agency's Statement and outlined an example of how travel agents can utilize the Statement to cause passengers to accept a voucher, in part, as follows:

[...] On March 25 the Canadian Transportation Agency waded into the fray, issuing a special statement saying that while specific cases may get further analysis, in general, vouchers are appropriate in these extraordinary circumstances.

[...]

A letter that Vanderlubbe and his team have ready for any client making persistent refund requests or launching credit card chargebacks is strongly worded but fair, and explains the situation from the retailer's side. The letter cites the CTA statement and reads, in part: "We too are experiencing financial damage from the COVID19 pandemic, paying our staff for more than 5 weeks now with little or no revenue coming, in order to help our customers return home, process future travel credits, and we will be re-booking for months later."

The letter also notes: "The Federal Government has issued a plain language statement which you can read from the link below [<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>] that states that, as far as the air travellers protection regime goes, it was never intended to cover acts of God, or a force majeure situation. In short, they state that a future travel credit for 2 years is sufficient compensation under this circumstance.

“Further, the Travel Industry Council of Ontario, that administers the Ontario Travel Industry Act, has issued a statement that ‘under Ontario law, there is no requirement for a travel company to refund or offer alternative travel services if a government travel advisory is in effect’. In short, our suppliers are not even obligated to provide a future travel credit, but they are.

[*sic*] Your chargeback through your credit card is unreasonable given that you are being offered a travel credit good for two years, and that you had the opportunity to purchase cancellation insurance at the time of booking, and you declined to do so.

[*sic*] We ask that you contact your credit card company and ‘reverse the chargeback request’. We need evidence of this in order to process your future travel credit.”

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the article is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AD”**.

(iii) Inconsistency with a Lawful Directive of the US Regulator

69. The United States Department of Transportation [USDOT] is the federal regulator of commercial US and foreign airlines that fly to, from, or within the United States. Unlike the Agency in Canada, the USDOT **does not** adjudicate or mediate disputes between passengers and the air carriers.
70. On April 3, 2020, the USDOT issued a formal enforcement notice, citing various legal authorities and signed by the USDOT Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, entitled “Enforcement Notice Regarding Refunds by Carriers Given the Unprecedented Impact of the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency On Air Travel” [USDOT Enforcement Notice]. The USDOT Enforcement Notice specifies that:

Although the COVID-19 public health emergency has had an unprecedented impact on air travel, the airlines’ obligation to refund passengers for cancelled or significantly delayed flights remains unchanged.

[Emphasis added.]

A copy of the USDOT Enforcement Notice is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AE”**.

(iv) The Statement’s Impacts on Passengers

71. Based on various public statements made by the air carriers and information posted by passengers on the APR Facebook Group, which I believe to be true, I believe that the overwhelming majority of passengers whose travel was affected by COVID-19 have not received full refunds for their unused airfares.
72. Based on the experiences shared by passengers on the APR Facebook Group, which I believe to be true, I believe that the air carriers and/or travel agents are avoiding their obligations to refund passengers by presenting vouchers as the passengers’ only viable option:
- (a) Many passengers received an automatic template email from the air carrier and/or their travel agent indicating that either:
- i. a voucher would be automatically issued to the passenger shortly and the passenger need not contact the air carrier or travel agent;
or
 - ii. the passenger can elect between rebooking their flight or accepting a voucher, subject to conditions and expiry;
- (b) Passengers who initiate contact with the air carrier and/or travel agent are being informed that their only options are:
- i. rebooking their flight for a future date;
 - ii. accepting a voucher, subject to conditions and expiry; or

- iii. receiving a partial refund, usually of less than 50% of the price originally paid, consisting only of the applicable taxes and fees, or after deduction of cancellation fees from the price originally paid.
73. Based on information posted by passengers on the APR Facebook Group that I believe to be true, and as demonstrated in the exhibits referred to above, after March 25, 2020, passengers that contacted air carriers or travel agents for a refund would have the Statement cited to the passengers as support for refusing issuing refunds.
74. On April 1, 2020, the Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association, a voluntary association representing 99 percent of Canada's life and health insurance business, published a press release entitled "Advisory: Travel cancellation insurance and airline vouchers or credits" that specifically relies on the Statement, suggesting that passengers may be unable to claim against their travel insurance policies as follows:

[...] On March 25, 2020, the Canadian Transportation Agency updated its endorsement of the use of vouchers or credits as an appropriate approach for Canada's airlines as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time.

Travel insurers are advising policyholders that if you have been offered this type of full credit, or voucher for future use by an airline, train or other travel provider, in many instances, under the terms of your insurance policy you will not be considered to have suffered an insurable loss.

[...]

Disputes over refunds and credits should be directed to your travel service provider, transportation carrier or the Canadian Transportation Agency. [...] [Emphasis added.]

A copy of the press release is attached and marked as **Exhibit "AF"**.

G. The CTA’s Failure to Address Any of the Passengers’ Prejudice from the “Statement on Vouchers”

75. On March 30, 2020, I sent a letter to the Agency on behalf of APR, specifically raising a concern that the Statement is misleading. APR specifically requested that the Agency remove the Statement by March 31, 2020. A copy of that letter is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AG”**.
76. On March 30, 2020, the Secretariat of the Agency sent an email acknowledging receipt of my letter of March 30, 2020. A copy of that acknowledgement email is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AH”**.
77. Until the time of affirming this Affidavit, the Agency has not responded to APR’s letter of March 30, 2020, except for the acknowledgement email above. The Agency also did not remove the Statement, or make any modifications or clarifications to the Statement.
78. APR retained pro-bono counsel, Mr. Simon Lin who is also a director of APR, to issue the Notice of Application and bring this Motion to this Honourable Court to seek an injunction. A draft, unfiled copy of the Notice of Application is attached and marked as **Exhibit “AI”**.

AFFIRMED before me at the
City of Halifax, Nova Scotia
on April 7, 2020.

“Simon Lin”

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits
in the Province of Ontario

Simon P. Lin, Barrister & Solicitor
Evolink Law Group
4388 Still Creek Drive, Suite 237
Burnaby, BC V5C 6C6

“Dr. Gábor Lukács”

Dr. Gábor Lukács

Halifax, NS

Tel:

lukacs@AirPassengerRights.ca

This is **Exhibit “A”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Halifax, NS

AirPassengerRights.ca

lukacs@AirPassengerRights.ca



The Transportation Modernization Act (Bill C-49)

**Submissions to the Standing Committee
on Transport, Infrastructure and Communities**

by Air Passenger Rights

September 2017

About *Air Passenger Rights*

Air Passenger Rights (APR) is an independent nonprofit network of volunteers, devoted to empowering travellers through education, advocacy, investigation, and litigation.

- **Educate** passengers about their rights and enforcement of those rights.
- **Advocate** for the enforcement of the existing rights of passengers and for better consumer protection for travel by air within, to, and from Canada.
- **Investigate and expose** anomalies affecting travellers, including, but not limited to: non-compliance of airlines with their own terms and conditions or the law; misinformation and deception of passengers by airlines; practices that put passengers' safety at risk; and collusion between the airline industry and regulatory or administrative bodies mandated to oversee the activities of airlines.
- **Litigate** to foster: compliance of airlines with their own terms and conditions and the law; conformity of the terms and conditions of airlines with the law; transparency, reasonableness, and legality of the actions of regulatory and administrative bodies in their dealings with passengers and airlines; and reasonable and correct interpretation of legislation affecting the rights of passengers.

APR was founded and is coordinated by Dr. Gábor Lukács, a Canadian air passenger rights advocate, who volunteers his time and expertise for the benefit of the travelling public.

Gábor Lukács, PhD (Founder and Coordinator)

Since 2008, Dr. Lukács has filed **more than two dozen successful complaints**¹ with the Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency), challenging the terms, conditions, and practices of air carriers, resulting in orders directing them to amend their conditions of carriage and offer better protection to passengers. He has also appeared before the Federal Court of Appeal, and successfully challenged the Agency's lack of transparency and the reasonableness of the Agency's decisions.

In 2013, the Consumers' Association of Canada awarded Dr. Lukács its Order of Merit for singlehandedly initiating legal action resulting in the revision of Air Canada's unfair practices regarding overbooking. His advocacy in the public interest and expertise in the area of air passenger rights have also been recognized by both the Federal Court of Appeal² and the legal profession.³

¹ See Appendix A.

² *Lukács v. Canada*, 2015 FCA 140 at para. 1; *Lukács v. Canada*, 2015 FCA 269 at para. 43; and *Lukács v. Canada*, 2016 FCA 174 at para. 6.

³ Carlos Martins: Aviation Practice Area Review (September 2013), WHO'SWHOLEGAL.

Appendix

A. Final Decisions Arising from Dr. Lukács's Successful Complaints (Highlights)

1. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 208-C-A-2009;
2. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 313-C-A-2010;
3. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 477-C-A-2010
(leave to appeal denied, Federal Court of Appeal File No.: 10-A-41);
4. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 483-C-A-2010
(leave to appeal denied, Federal Court of Appeal File No.: 10-A-42);
5. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 291-C-A-2011;
6. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 418-C-A-2011;
7. *Lukács v. United Airlines*, Decision No. 182-C-A-2012;
8. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 250-C-A-2012;
9. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 251-C-A-2012;
10. *Lukács v. Air Transat*, Decision No. 248-C-A-2012;
11. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 249-C-A-2012;
12. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 252-C-A-2012;
13. *Lukács v. United Airlines*, Decision No. 467-C-A-2012;
14. *Lukács v. Porter Airlines*, Decision No. 16-C-A-2013;
15. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 204-C-A-2013;
16. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 227-C-A-2013;
17. *Lukács v. Sunwing Airlines*, Decision No. 249-C-A-2013;
18. *Lukács v. Sunwing Airlines*, Decision No. 313-C-A-2013;
19. *Lukács v. Air Transat*, Decision No. 327-C-A-2013;
20. *Lukács v. Air Canada*, Decision No. 342-C-A-2013;
21. *Lukács v. Porter Airlines*, Decision No. 344-C-A-2013;
22. *Lukács v. British Airways*, Decision No. 10-C-A-2014;
23. *Lukács v. Porter Airlines*, Decision No. 31-C-A-2014;
24. *Lukács v. Porter Airlines*, Decision No. 249-C-A-2014;
25. *Lukács v. WestJet*, Decision No. 420-C-A-2014; and
26. *Lukács v. British Airways*, Decision No. 49-C-A-2016.

This is **Exhibit “B”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

AVIATION PRACTICE AREA REVIEW

SEPTEMBER 2013

Carlos Martins of Bersenas Jacobsen Chouest Thomson Blackburn outlines recent developments in aviation law in Canada.



There have been a number of developments in Canada in the realm of aviation law that promise to make for interesting times in the months ahead. In this review, we will consider some of these decisions, their implications and how they may play out in the coming year.

Warsaw/Montreal Liability

On the airline liability front, the Supreme Court of Canada will hear the appeal of the Federal Court of Appeal's decision in *Thibodeau v Air Canada*, 2012 FCA 246. This case involves a complaint by Michel and Lynda Thibodeau, passengers on a series of Air Canada flights between Canada and the United States in 2009. On some of the transborder legs of those journeys, Air Canada was not able to provide the Thibodeaus with French-language services at check-in, on board the aircraft or at airport baggage carousels. The substantive aspect of the case is of limited interest to air carriers because the requirement that air passengers be served in both official languages applies only to Air Canada as a result of the Official Languages Act (Canada), an idiosyncratic piece of legislation that continues to apply to Air Canada even though it was privatised in 1988.

However, from the perspective of other air carriers, the most notable facet of the Supreme Court's decision will be whether that Court will uphold the Federal Court of Appeal's "strong exclusivity" interpretation of the Warsaw/Montreal Conventions. If it does, it will incontrovertibly bring the Canadian law in line with that of the United States and the United Kingdom – meaning that passengers involved in international air travel to which either of the Conventions apply are restricted to only those remedies explicitly provided for in the Conventions. At present, the Federal Court of Appeal's decision in *Thibodeau* provides the most definitive statement to date that "strong exclusivity" is the rule in Canada.

YQ Fares Class Action

The battle over "YQ Fares" is expected to continue in a British Columbia class action. The case relates to the practice of several air carriers identifying the fuel surcharge levied on their tickets in a manner that may cause their passengers to believe that these charges are taxes collected on behalf of a third party when, in fact, fuel surcharges are collected by the air carrier for its own benefit. In the British Columbia action, the plaintiffs complain that this practice contravenes the provincial consumer protection legislation which provides that service providers shall not engage in a "deceptive act or practice".

Last year, an issue arose as to whether air carriers can be subject to the provincial legislation given that, in Canada, matters relating to aeronautics are in the domain of the federal government. Most recently, in *Unlu v Air Canada*, 2013 BCCA 112, the British Columbia Court of Appeal held that the complaint should be allowed to proceed on the basis that, among other things, there was no operational conflict between the workings of the provincial legislation and the regime imposed under the federal Air Transportation Regulations, SOR/88-58, that deal with airfare advertising. Leave to appeal the Court of Appeal's decision to the Supreme Court of Canada was denied in August 2013.

Regulatory/Passenger Complaints

In the consumer protection landscape, for the last several years, the field has largely been occupied by Gabor Lukács, a Canadian mathematician who has taken an interest in challenging various aspects of the tariffs filed by air carriers with the regulator, the Canadian Transportation Agency (the Agency). The majority of Mr Lukács' complaints centre on the clarity and reasonableness of the content of the filed tariffs, as well as the extent to which air carriers are applying their tariffs, as filed, in the ordinary course of business.

Mr Lukács' efforts have created a significant body of jurisprudence from the Agency – to the extent that his more recent decisions often rely heavily upon principles enunciated in previous complaints launched by him.

Since 2012, Mr Lukács has been involved in complaints arising from, among other things:

- air carriers' online and airport communications to the public as to the extent to which baggage claims involving "wear and tear" must be paid (*Lukács v United Airlines*, CTA Decision Nos. 182/200-C-A-2012);
- lack of compliance of tariff liability provisions with the Montreal liability regime (*Lukács v Porter Airlines*, CTA Decision No. 16-C-A-2013);

- the reasonableness of imposing releases of liability as a precondition for the payment of compensation provided for in a tariff (*Lukács v WestJet*, CTA Decision No. 227-C-A-2013);
- the reasonableness of air carriers engaging in overselling flights for commercial reasons (*Lukács v Air Canada*, CTA Decision No. 204-C-A-2013);
- the amount of denied boarding compensation to be paid to involuntarily bumped passengers in the event of a commercial overbooking (*Lukács v Air Canada*, CTA Decision No. 342-C-A-2013);
- the amount of compensation to be paid to passengers who miss their flight as a result of an early departure (*Lukács v Air Transat*, CTA Decision No. 327-C-A-2013); and
- the use of cameras by passengers onboard aircraft (*Lukács v United Airlines*, CTA Decision No. 311-C-A-2013)

It is expected that, in 2014, Mr Lukács will continue in his quest to ensure that air carrier tariffs are reasonable, clear and faithfully applied.

Although it may not be initiated by Mr Lukács, we expect that, in 2014, the Agency will consider the issue of whether air carriers should be able to charge a fee for booking a specific seat for a child travelling with a parent or guardian.

Regulatory/ Notices to Industry

Wet Leasing

On 30 August 2013, the Agency released its new policy on wet leasing of foreign aircraft. It applies to operators who wet lease foreign aircraft for use on international passenger services for arrangements of more than 30 days. The key changes are that, in order for the Agency to approve such an arrangement:

- the number of aircraft leased by an operator is capped at 20 per cent of the number of Canadian-registered aircraft on the lessees' Air Operator Certificate at the time the application was made;
- small aircraft are excluded from the number of Canadian-registered aircraft described above; and
- small aircraft is defined as an aircraft equipped for the carriage of passengers and having a certificated maximum carrying capacity of not more than 39 passengers.

In addition to the above, the lessee is required to provide a rationale as to why the wetlease arrangement (or its renewal) is necessary. The Agency has stated that it:

- will not deny an application solely on the basis of the rationale for the use of foreign aircraft with flight crew, as long as the cap is not exceeded; and
- may renew approvals of wet-lease applications of more than 30 days as long as the cap is not exceeded.

There is some flexibility for short-term arrangements and where unexpected events require an exception.

All-Inclusive Fare Advertising

In December 2012, the Agency approved new regulations with respect to all-inclusive fare advertising. Initially, the regulations were enforced through a "proactive and collaborative educational approach". The Agency has recently released a notice to the industry advising that it will now take a firmer stance in ensuring compliance. It has recently issued administrative monetary penalties (AMPs) against two online travel retailers for not advertising the total all-inclusive price on their online booking systems. In one case, the AMP amounted to \$40,000 due to the lack of initial response from the retailer. In another, the AMP was \$8,000 in a situation where that retailer complied in the case of booking through its main website, but not with respect to booking on its mobile website.

Baggage Rules

The Agency has recently completed a consultation process with the industry and with the public with respect to the issue of baggage rules. The issues under contemplation include à la carte pricing, regulatory change and carriers' attempts to further monetize the transportation of baggage. At present, there are two regimes being used in Canada: one of which was adopted by the International Air Transport Association (Resolution 302) and the other by way of recently promulgated

regulations to be enforced by the United States Department of Transportation (14 CFR part 399.87). The Agency has gone on the record to state that it expects to make a decision on the appropriate approach to apply for baggage being transported to/from Canada in the fall of 2013.

Defining the Boundaries of Regulation

In the arena of business aviation, the Appeal Panel of the Transportation Appeal Tribunal of Canada is expected to revisit the extent to which the Canadian Transportation Agency should regulate business-related aviation in Canada. The facts arise from the practice of a casino based in Atlantic City, New Jersey, offering voluntary air transfers to the casino to some of its most valued clients. In evidence that has already been led in these proceedings, the casino has asserted that the complimentary flights are at the sole discretion of the casino; no customer was entitled to such a service; and the provision of the flights is not based on the amount spent by the customers at the casino.

The core of the issue is whether the casino requires a licence from the Agency in order to offer this benefit to its customers. Under the applicable legislation, those who offer a "publicly available air service" in Canada require such a licence and are subject to all of the requirements imposed on licensees. In *Marina District Development Company v Attorney General of Canada*, 2013 FC 800, the Federal Court was asked by the casino, on a judicial review, to overturn the Appeal's panel's previous finding that the casino's air service did, in fact, trigger the Agency's oversight. The Federal Court found that the legal test imposed by the Appeal Panel for determining whether an air service was publicly available bordered on tautological but declined to answer the question itself. The matter was sent back to the Appeal Panel for reconsideration. A new decision is expected in 2014. In our view, it is likely that the matter will be sent back to the Federal Court, possibly before the end of 2014 as well, regardless of which party prevails.

Copyright © 2013 Law Business Research Ltd. All rights reserved. | <http://www.lbresearch.com>
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK | Tel: +44 20 7908 1180 / Fax: +44 207 229 6910
<http://www.whoswholegal.com> | editorial@whoswholegal.com

This is **Exhibit “C”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Federal Court of Appeal



Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20200303

Docket: A-311-19

Ottawa, Ontario, March 3, 2020

Present: NEAR J.A.

BETWEEN:

**INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION,
AIR TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA DBA
AIRLINES FOR AMERICA, DEUTSCHE LUFTHANSA AG,
SOCIÉTÉ AIR FRANCE, S.A., BRITISH AIRWAYS PLC,
AIR CHINA LIMITED, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS CO., LTD.,
CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS LIMITED,
SWISS INTERNATIONAL AIRLINES LTD.,
QATAR AIRWAYS GROUP Q.C.S.C., AIR CANADA,
PORTER AIRLINES INC., AMERICAN AIRLINES INC.,
UNITED AIRLINES INC., DELTA AIR LINES INC.,
ALASKA AIRLINES INC., HAWAIIAN AIRLINES, INC. and
JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION**

Appellants

and

**CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY and
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA**

Respondents

and

DR. GÁBOR LUKÁCS

Intervener

ORDER

WHEREAS Dr. Gábor Lukács moves for an order permitting him to intervene in this appeal;

AND WHEREAS the Court has read the proposed intervener's motion record, the appellants' responding motion record in response to the motion to intervene, correspondence from the respondent Canadian Transportation Agency, and the proposed intervener's reply;

AND WHEREAS the appellants oppose the proposed intervener's motion, and the respondents take no position;

AND WHEREAS the Court has considered the factors relevant to granting leave to intervene under rule 109 of the *Federal Courts Rules*, SOR/98-106;

AND WHEREAS the Court is of the view that the case engages the public interest, that the proposed intervener would defend the interests of airline passengers in a way that the parties cannot, that the interests of justice favour allowing the proposed intervention in the appeal, and that the proposed intervention would be of assistance to the Court in deciding the appeal;

AND WHEREAS the Court is nevertheless of the view that the proposed intervention in the motion for a stay is not in the interests of justice, and would not be of assistance to the Court;

THIS COURT ORDERS that:

1. Dr. Lukács's motion to intervene in this appeal is granted in part. Dr. Lukács may intervene in the appeal subject to the terms described below. Dr. Lukács may not intervene in the motion for a stay.

2. The style of cause shall be amended by including Dr. Lukács as an intervener as appears in this Order, and shall be used on all further documents in this appeal.
3. Dr. Lukács's intervention in the appeal shall be subject to the following terms:
 - i. Dr. Lukács may serve and file a memorandum of fact and law of no more than twenty (20) pages with respect to the appeal within twenty (20) days of the service of the Respondents' memoranda;
 - ii. Dr. Lukács shall have the right to make oral submissions at the hearing of the appeal for no more than twenty (20) minutes; and
 - iii. Dr. Lukács may not seek costs, nor shall costs be awarded against him.

"D. G. Near"

J.A.

This is **Exhibit “D”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



Form 4001
Articles of Incorporation
Canada Not-for-profit Corporations
Act (NFP Act)

Formulaire 4001
Statuts constitutifs
Loi canadienne sur les
organisations à but non lucratif
(Loi BNL)

- 1 Corporate name
Dénomination de l'organisation
Air Passenger Rights

- 2 The province or territory in Canada where the registered office is situated
La province ou le territoire au Canada où est maintenu le siège
NS

- 3 Minimum and maximum number of directors
Nombres minimal et maximal d'administrateurs
Min. 3 Max. 9

- 4 Statement of the purpose of the corporation
Déclaration d'intention de l'organisation
See attached schedule / Voir l'annexe ci-jointe

- 5 Restrictions on the activities that the corporation may carry on, if any
Limites imposées aux activités de l'organisation, le cas échéant
See attached schedule / Voir l'annexe ci-jointe

- 6 The classes, or regional or other groups, of members that the corporation is authorized to establish
Les catégories, groupes régionaux ou autres groupes de membres que l'organisation est autorisée à établir
See attached schedule / Voir l'annexe ci-jointe

- 7 Statement regarding the distribution of property remaining on liquidation
Déclaration relative à la répartition du reliquat des biens lors de la liquidation
See attached schedule / Voir l'annexe ci-jointe

- 8 Additional provisions, if any
Dispositions supplémentaires, le cas échéant
See attached schedule / Voir l'annexe ci-jointe

- 9 **Declaration:** I hereby certify that I am an incorporator of the corporation.
Déclaration : J'atteste que je suis un fondateur de l'organisation.

Name(s) - Nom(s)

Gabor Lukacs

Signature

Gabor Lukacs

A person who makes, or assists in making, a false or misleading statement is guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months or to both (subsection 262(2) of the NFP Act).

La personne qui fait une déclaration fautive ou trompeuse, ou qui aide une personne à faire une telle déclaration, commet une infraction et encourt, sur déclaration de culpabilité par procédure sommaire, une amende maximale de 5 000 \$ et un emprisonnement maximal de six mois ou l'une de ces peines (paragraphe 262(2) de la Loi BNL).

You are providing information required by the NFP Act. Note that both the NFP Act and the *Privacy Act* allow this information to be disclosed to the public. It will be stored in personal information bank number IC/PPU-049.

Vous fournissez des renseignements exigés par la Loi BNL. Il est à noter que la Loi BNL et la *Loi sur les renseignements personnels* permettent que de tels renseignements soient divulgués au public. Ils seront stockés dans la banque de renseignements personnels numéro IC/PPU-049.

Schedule / Annexe**Purpose Of Corporation / Déclaration d'intention de l'organisation**

1. To educate air passengers and the public at large as to their rights and the means for the enforcement of these rights, by researching and making available the results of such research on the matter of the law relating to air passenger rights on domestic and international flights.
2. To act as a liaison between other public interest or citizens' groups engaged in public interest advocacy.
3. To assist in and promote the activity of public interest group representation throughout Canada and elsewhere.
4. To make representations to governing authorities on behalf of the public at large and on behalf of public interest groups with respect to matters of public concern and interest with respect to air passenger rights, and to teach public interest advocacy skills and techniques.

Schedule / Annexe**Restrictions On Activities / Limites imposées aux activités de l'organisation**

The Corporation shall have all the powers permissible by the Canada Not-for-profit Corporations Act, save as limited by the by-laws of the Corporation.

Nothing in the above purposes, however, shall be construed or interpreted as in any way empowering the Corporation to undertake functions normally carried out by barristers and solicitors.

Schedule / Annexe
Classes of Members / Catégories de membres

There shall be two classes of members: Ordinary Members and voting General Members. The criteria for admission to both classes shall be governed by the by-laws of the Corporation.

Schedule / Annexe**Distribution of Property on Liquidation / Répartition du reliquat des biens lors de la liquidation**

Upon liquidation, the property of the Corporation shall be disposed of by being donated to an eligible donee, as defined in the Income Tax Act (Canada).

Schedule / Annexe
Additional Provisions / Dispositions supplémentaires

- a) Any amendment or repeal of the Corporation's By-Laws shall require confirmation by a Special Resolution of two-thirds of the General Membership prior to taking effect.
- b) The Corporation shall be carried on without the purpose of gain for its Members, and any profits or other accretions shall be used in furtherance of its purposes.
- c) Directors shall serve without remuneration, and no Director shall directly or indirectly receive any profit from his or her position as such, provided that Directors may be reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

This is **Exhibit “E”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020

11 March 2020

Good afternoon.

In the past two weeks, the number of cases of COVID-19 outside China has increased 13-fold, and the number of affected countries has tripled.

There are now more than 118,000 cases in 114 countries, and 4,291 people have lost their lives.

Thousands more are fighting for their lives in hospitals.

In the days and weeks ahead, we expect to see the number of cases, the number of deaths, and the number of affected countries climb even higher.

WHO has been assessing this outbreak around the clock and we are deeply concerned both by the alarming levels of spread and severity, and by the alarming levels of inaction.

We have therefore made the assessment that COVID-19 can be characterized as a pandemic.

Pandemic is not a word to use lightly or carelessly. It is a word that, if misused, can cause unreasonable fear, or unjustified acceptance that the fight is over, leading to unnecessary suffering and death.

Describing the situation as a pandemic does not change WHO's assessment of the threat posed by this virus. It doesn't change what WHO is doing, and it doesn't change what countries should do.

We have never before seen a pandemic sparked by a coronavirus. This is the first pandemic caused by a coronavirus.

And we have never before seen a pandemic that can be controlled, at the same time.

WHO has been in full response mode since we were notified of the first cases.

And we have called every day for countries to take urgent and aggressive action.

We have rung the alarm bell loud and clear.

===

As I said on Monday, just looking at the number of cases and the number of countries affected does not tell the full story.

Of the 118,000 cases reported globally in 114 countries, more than 90 percent of cases are in just four countries, and two of those – China and the Republic of Korea - have significantly declining epidemics.

81 countries have not reported any cases, and 57 countries have reported 10 cases or less.

We cannot say this loudly enough, or clearly enough, or often enough: all countries can still change the course of this pandemic.

If countries detect, test, treat, isolate, trace, and mobilize their people in the response, those with a handful of cases can prevent those cases becoming clusters, and those clusters becoming community transmission.

Even those countries with community transmission or large clusters can turn the tide on this virus.

Several countries have demonstrated that this virus can be suppressed and controlled.

The challenge for many countries who are now dealing with large clusters or community transmission is not whether they **can** do the same – it's whether they **will**.

Some countries are struggling with a lack of capacity.

Some countries are struggling with a lack of resources.

Some countries are struggling with a lack of resolve.

We are grateful for the measures being taken in Iran, Italy and the Republic of Korea to slow the virus and control their epidemics.

We know that these measures are taking a heavy toll on societies and economies, just as they did in China.

All countries must strike a fine balance between protecting health, minimizing economic and social disruption, and respecting human rights.

WHO's mandate is public health. But we're working with many partners across all sectors to mitigate the social and economic consequences of this pandemic.

This is not just a public health crisis, it is a crisis that will touch every sector – so every sector and every individual must be involved in the fight.

I have said from the beginning that countries must take a whole-of-government, whole-of-society approach, built around a comprehensive strategy to prevent infections, save lives and minimize impact.

Let me summarize it in four key areas.

First, prepare and be ready.

Second, detect, protect and treat.

Third, reduce transmission.

Fourth, innovate and learn.

I remind all countries that we are calling on you to activate and scale up your emergency response mechanisms;

Communicate with your people about the risks and how they can protect themselves – this is everybody's business;

Find, isolate, test and treat every case and trace every contact;

Ready your hospitals;

Protect and train your health workers.

And let's all look out for each other, because we need each other.

===

There's been so much attention on one word.

Let me give you some other words that matter much more, and that are much more actionable.

Prevention.

Preparedness.

Public health.

Political leadership.

And most of all, people.

We're in this together, to do the right things with calm and protect the citizens of the world. It's doable.

I thank you.

[Subscribe to the WHO newsletter →](#)

This is **Exhibit “F”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#) > [Global Affairs Canada](#)

Government of Canada advises Canadians to avoid non-essential travel abroad

From: [Global Affairs Canada](#)

News release

March 13, 2020 - Ottawa, Ontario - Global Affairs Canada

The Honourable François-Philippe Champagne, Minister of Foreign Affairs, today announced that Canada has issued an official global travel advisory to avoid non-essential travel abroad.

In an attempt to limit the spread of the coronavirus (COVID-19), many governments have implemented special entry and exit and movement restrictions for their territories. New restrictions could be imposed, and could severely disrupt Canadians' travel plans.

As a result, the Government of Canada is advising Canadians to avoid non-essential travel outside of Canada until further notice.

Canadians currently outside the country should find out what commercial options are still available and consider returning to Canada earlier than planned if these options are becoming more limited.

We encourage Canadians abroad to register with the [Registration of Canadians Abroad](#) service.

Canadians abroad in need of emergency consular assistance can call Global Affairs Canada's 24/7 Emergency Watch and Response Centre in Ottawa at +1 613-996-8885 (collect calls are accepted where available) or email sos@international.gc.ca.

Quotes

“We are monitoring the situation abroad to provide credible and timely information to Canadians to help them make well-informed decisions regarding their travel. We also continue to work around the clock to provide assistance and consular services to Canadians abroad affected by COVID-19.”

- *François-Philippe Champagne, Minister of Foreign Affairs*

Associated links

- [Travel Advice and Advisories](#)
- [Canadian travellers: Avoid all cruise ship travel due to COVID-19](#)
- [Coronavirus disease \(COVID-19\): Outbreak update](#)
- [Coronavirus disease \(COVID-19\): Resources for Canadian businesses](#)

Contacts

Syrine Khoury

Press Secretary

Office of the Minister of Foreign Affairs

Syrine.Khoury@international.gc.ca

Media Relations Office

Global Affairs Canada

343-203-7700

media@international.gc.ca

Search for related information by keyword: [GV Government and Politics](#) |

[Global Affairs Canada](#) | [Canada](#) | [Canada and the world](#) | [general public](#) | [news releases](#) | [Hon. François-Philippe Champagne](#)

Date modified:

2020-03-13

This is **Exhibit “G”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Canada closes borders, says people should stay at home to stop virus- PM Trudeau



REUTERS

March 16, 2020
2:06 PM EDT

Filed under
PMN Health

Comment

Facebook

Twitter

Reddit

Email

More

OTTAWA — Canada closed its borders to all foreign nationals, except U.S. citizens, on Monday, and Prime Minister Justin Trudeau urged people to stay at home to help stem the spread of the new coronavirus.

"We will be denying entry into Canada to people who are not Canadian citizens or permanent residents," Trudeau told reporters at a news conference outside his home, where he is under quarantine. (Reporting by Kelsey Johnson and David Ljunggren, writing by Steve Scherer Editing by Chizu Nomiya)

RELATED STORIES:

Trudeau remaining in isolation longer despite wife recovering from COVID-19

Canada faces 'critical week' in coronavirus crisis, death toll jumps

Canada's Trudeau wants to recall MPs to back massive coronavirus aid package

1 Comments

[Join the conversation →](#)

This is **Exhibit “H”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#) → [Decisions and determinations](#)

Determination No. A-2020-42

March 13, 2020

DETERMINATION by the Canadian Transportation Agency relating to COVID-19 pandemic – Temporary exemptions to certain provisions of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations*, SOR/2019-150 (APPR).

Case number: 20-02750

[1] On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization assessed the outbreak of COVID-19 as a pandemic.

[2] Since the outbreak of the virus, a number of countries, including Canada, have imposed travel bans, restrictions, or advisories.

[3] Public health experts have also recommended behaviours, such as enhanced hygiene practices and social distancing, to mitigate the spread of the virus.

[4] The situation is evolving rapidly, and further restrictions relating to travel may be implemented.

[5] The pandemic is causing a significant decrease in demand for air travel. Flying with many empty aircraft seats can result in significant financial difficulties for air carriers, which may therefore decide to cancel or consolidate flights. Due to the evolving nature of the situation and public behaviours, these decisions may need to be made much closer to a scheduled flight day than would normally be the case.

[6] Other aspects of air carrier operations may also be impacted by the pandemic, including but not limited to staff shortages due to quarantines or refusals to work, additional hygiene practices onboard the aircraft, and passenger health screenings. These factors may result in flight delays.

[7] Under the APPR, air carriers have minimum obligations to passengers when flights are cancelled or delayed. Those obligations depend on whether the disruption was within the control of the air carrier, within the air carrier's control but required for safety, or outside the carrier's control:

- Situations within the air carrier's control: keep the passenger informed, provide standards of treatment (such as food and water), compensate the passenger for inconvenience, and rebook or refund the passenger.
- Situations within the air carrier's control but required for safety: keep the passenger informed, provide standards of treatment, and rebook or refund the passenger.
- Situations outside the air carrier's control: keep the passenger informed and rebook the passenger so the passenger can complete their itinerary.

[8] Section 10 of the APPR provides a non-exhaustive list of situations considered outside the air carrier's

control (the third category above). These include medical emergencies and orders or instructions from state officials. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the following would be considered outside a carrier's control:

- flight disruptions to locations that are covered by a government advisory against travel or unnecessary travel due to COVID-19;
- employee quarantine or self-isolation due to COVID-19;
- employee refusal to work under Part II of the *Canada Labour Code*, R.S.C, 1985, c. L-2, (or equivalent law) due to COVID-19; and
- additional hygiene or passenger health screening processes put in place due to COVID-19.

[9] Beyond such situations, air carriers may make decisions that are influenced by the pandemic, including decisions to cancel and consolidate flights due to dropping passenger volumes. Whether such situations are within or outside carrier control would have to be assessed on a case-by-case basis. If the disruption was within the air carrier's control, the air carrier would be subject to more onerous obligations.

[10] In the extraordinary context of this pandemic, reasonable expectations regarding air travel have changed, taking into account government travel bans, restrictions, and advisories; public health practices; and impacts on travel demand and air carrier operations.

CONCLUSION

[11] The Agency finds that, in the context of the significant declines in passenger volumes and disruptions to air carrier operations caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, temporary exemptions to the APPR should be made to provide air carriers with increased flexibility to adjust flight schedules without facing prohibitive costs.

[12] Specifically, the Agency finds it undesirable, in the current extraordinary circumstances, that carriers be obligated to provide compensation for inconvenience to passengers who were informed of a flight delay or a flight cancellation more than 72 hours before their original scheduled departure or to passengers who were delayed at destination by less than six hours. The Agency further finds it undesirable that carriers be required to offer alternative travel arrangements that include flights on other air carriers with which they have no commercial agreement.

ORDER

[13] The Agency orders that all air carriers be exempted from:

- the obligation, under paragraphs 19(1)(a) and 19(1)(b) of the APPR, to pay compensation for inconvenience
 - if the flight delay or the flight cancellation is communicated to passengers more than 72 hours before the departure time indicated on the passengers' original ticket; or,
 - if the flight delay or the flight cancellation is communicated to the passengers within 72 hours of the departure time indicated on the original ticket, on condition that the carrier pays the passengers the following compensation for inconvenience; in the case of a large carrier,
 - in the case of a large carrier,
 - \$400, if the arrival of the passenger's flight at the destination that is indicated on the original ticket is delayed by six hours or more, but less than nine hours, or

- \$700, if the arrival of the passenger's flight at the destination that is indicated on the original ticket is delayed by nine hours or more; and
 - in the case of a small carrier,
 - \$125, if the arrival of the passenger's flight at the destination that is indicated on the original ticket is delayed by six hours or more, but less than nine hours, or
 - \$250, if the arrival of the passenger's flight at the destination that is indicated on the original ticket is delayed by nine hours or more.
- the obligation, under subsection 19(2) of the APPR to pay compensation for inconvenience to passengers who opted to obtain a refund instead of alternative travel arrangement, if the flight delay or the flight cancellation is communicated to passengers more than 72 hours before the departure time indicated on the passengers' original ticket;
- the obligation, under paragraphs 17(1)(a)(ii), 17(1)(a)(iii), and 18(1)(a)(ii) of the APPR to provide a confirmed reservation on a flight operated by a carrier with which the carrier does not have any commercial agreement.

[14] The exemption is effective immediately, will remain valid until April 30, 2020, and may be extended by a further determination of the Agency, if required.

Member(s)

Scott Streiner
Elizabeth C. Barker

[Back to rulings](#)

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-13

This is **Exhibit ‘I’** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#) → [Decisions and determinations](#)

Determination No. A-2020-47

March 25, 2020

DETERMINATION by the Canadian Transportation Agency relating to COVID-19 pandemic – Additional temporary exemptions to certain provisions of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations*, SOR/2019-150 (APPR) and extension of the temporary exemption period.

Case number: 20-03254

[1] On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization assessed the outbreak of COVID-19 as a pandemic.

[2] On March 13, 2020, the Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency) found in Determination No. [A-2020-42](#) that it is undesirable that carriers be obligated to follow certain requirements of the APPR in these circumstances.

[3] Specifically, in the context of the significant declines in passenger volumes and disruptions to air carrier operations caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Agency granted temporary exemptions from APPR requirements related to compensation for inconvenience and to rebooking with competitors, to provide air carriers with increased flexibility to adjust flight schedules without facing prohibitive costs.

[4] To allow air carriers to continue focusing on immediate and urgent operational demands, including bringing Canadians home from abroad, the Agency considers it temporarily undesirable for air carriers to have to meet the APPR's 30-day deadline to respond to passengers' claims for the payment of compensation for inconvenience.

[5] Further, considering that the major impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the air sector are unlikely to be resolved by April 30, 2020, the Agency finds it appropriate to extend the duration of the exemptions in Determination No. [A-2020-42](#).

ORDER

[6] Pursuant to subsection 80(1) of the *Canada Transportation Act*, S.C., 1996, c. 10, as amended, the Agency orders that all air carriers be exempted from the requirement under subsection 19(4) of the APPR to respond to requests for compensation, on the condition that air carriers respond to such requests within 120 day of the expiry of this order.

[7] This Order is effective immediately and will remain valid until June 30, 2020.

[8] The Agency further orders that the exemptions granted by Determination No. [A-2020-42](#) remain valid until June 30, 2020.

[9] Exemptions granted under this determination and Determination No. A-2020-42 may be extended by a further determination of the Agency, if required.

Member(s)

Scott Streiner
Elizabeth C. Barker

[Back to rulings](#)

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-25

This is **Exhibit “J”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#) → [Decisions and determinations](#)

Order No. 2020-A-32

March 18, 2020

IN THE MATTER OF an immediate and temporary stay of all dispute proceedings involving air carriers.

Case number: 20-02915

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization assessed the outbreak of COVID-19 as a pandemic. Since the outbreak of the virus, a number of countries, including Canada, have imposed travel bans, restrictions, or advisories. On March 13, 2020, in Determination No. [A-2020-42](#), the Agency ordered that all air carriers be temporarily exempted from certain provisions of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations*, SOR/2019-150. On March 16, 2020, the Government of Canada announced several new COVID 19 responses which directly affect air carriers. Air carriers are now required to conduct a basic health assessment of all passengers, and to deny boarding for international flights to Canada to passengers who present COVID-19 symptoms, and to many non citizens and non-residents. As of March 18, 2020, arrivals of international flights are restricted to four airports in Canada.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on air carriers and passengers is significant and continues to evolve. Air carrier resources are highly stretched as carriers work to bring Canadians home from abroad, implement new Government of Canada directions, and adjust to rapidly dropping passenger volumes and travel restrictions.

The Agency finds that in light of these extraordinary circumstances, it would be just and reasonable to temporarily stay dispute proceedings involving air carriers to permit them to focus on immediate and urgent operational demands.

ORDER

Pursuant to subsection 5(2), paragraph 41(1)(d), and section 6 of the *Canadian Transportation Agency Rules (Dispute Proceedings and Certain Rules Applicable to All Proceedings)*, SOR/2014-104, the Agency, on its own motion, orders that all dispute proceedings before the Agency involving air carriers be stayed until April 30, 2020. The stay is effective immediately and applies to all current applications currently before the Agency, as well as any applications received for dispute adjudication during the stay period. On or before April 30, 2020, the Agency will determine if the stay should end on that date or be extended to a later date. In exceptional circumstances, the Agency may lift the stay on individual cases sooner, where necessary in the interests of justice.

Member(s)

Scott Streiner
Elizabeth C. Barker
J. Mark MacKeigan
Heather Smith
Mary Tobin Oates
Gerald Dickie
Lenore Duff

[Back to rulings](#)

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-18

This is **Exhibit “K”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#) → [Decisions and determinations](#)

Order No. 2020-A-37

March 25, 2020

IN THE MATTER OF an extension of the stay of proceedings ordered in Order No. 2020-A-32.

Case number: 20-03246

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization assessed the outbreak of COVID-19 as a pandemic.

On March 18, 2020, the Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency) found that in light of these extraordinary circumstances related to the pandemic, it would be just and reasonable to temporarily stay dispute proceedings involving air carriers to permit them to focus on immediate and urgent operational demands.

Considering that the major impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the air sector are unlikely to be resolved by April 30, 2020, the Agency finds it is just and reasonable to extend the duration of the stay of proceedings ordered in Order No. 2020 A-32 until June 30, 2020.

ORDER

Pursuant to subsection 5(2), paragraph 41(1)(d), and section 6 of the Canadian Transportation Agency Rules (Dispute Proceedings and Certain Rules Applicable to All Proceedings), SOR/2014-104, the Agency, on its own motion, orders that all dispute proceedings before the Agency involving air carriers be stayed until June 30, 2020, including any applications received for dispute adjudication during the stay period.

On or before June 30, 2020, the Agency will determine if the stay should end on that date or be extended to a later date. In exceptional circumstances, the Agency may lift the stay on individual cases sooner, where necessary in the interests of justice.

Member(s)

Scott Streiner
Elizabeth C. Barker
J. Mark MacKeigan
Mary Tobin Oates
Heather Smith
Gerald Dickie
Lenore Duff

[Back to rulings](#)

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-25

This is **Exhibit “L”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Accueil](#)

Message concernant les crédits

La pandémie de COVID-19 a gravement perturbé le transport aérien intérieur et international.

En ce qui concerne les perturbations de vol indépendantes de la volonté de la compagnie aérienne, la *Loi sur les transports au Canada* et le *Règlement sur la protection des passagers aériens* exigent seulement que la compagnie aérienne veille à ce que les passagers effectuent leur itinéraire au complet. Certaines compagnies aériennes ont intégré dans leurs tarifs des règles prévoyant des remboursements dans certaines situations. Elles peuvent également y avoir prévu des dispositions par lesquelles elles se croient exemptées de telles obligations dans des cas de force majeure.

Les différentes dispositions législatives, réglementaires et tarifaires ont été rédigées pour des perturbations à court terme relativement localisées. Aucune n'a été envisagée pour les types d'annulations de vols massives à l'échelle de la planète qui sont survenues au cours des dernières semaines en conséquence de la pandémie. Il est important de tenir compte de la façon dont nous devons établir un équilibre qui soit juste et rationnel entre les mesures visant à protéger les passagers et les réalités opérationnelles des compagnies aériennes dans ces circonstances extraordinaires et sans précédent.

D'une part, les passagers qui n'ont aucune possibilité d'effectuer au complet l'itinéraire prévu avec l'assistance d'une compagnie aérienne ne devraient pas avoir à assumer des dépenses pour des vols annulés. D'autre part, on ne peut pas s'attendre à ce que les compagnies aériennes qui voient leurs volumes de passagers et leurs revenus baisser de façon vertigineuse prennent des mesures qui risqueraient de menacer leur viabilité économique.

L'Office des transports du Canada (OTC) examinera le bien-fondé de chaque situation précise qui lui sera présentée, mais il estime que, de façon générale, une solution qui serait convenable dans le contexte actuel serait que les compagnies aériennes fournissent aux passagers touchés des bons ou des crédits pour des vols futurs qui n'expireront pas dans un délai déraisonnablement court (un délai de 24 mois serait jugé raisonnable dans la plupart des cas).

L'OTC continuera de fournir des renseignements, des conseils et des services aux passagers et aux compagnies aériennes, à mesure que nous passerons à travers cette période difficile.

 Partagez cette page

Date de modification :
2020-03-25

This is **Exhibit “M”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#)

Statement on Vouchers

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the *Canada Transportation Act* and *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in force majeure situations.

The legislation, regulations, and tariffs were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic. It's important to consider how to strike a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines' operational realities in these extraordinary and unprecedented circumstances.

On the one hand, passengers who have no prospect of completing their planned itineraries with an airline's assistance should not simply be out-of-pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

The CTA will continue to provide information, guidance, and services to passengers and airlines as we make our way through this challenging period.

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-25

This is **Exhibit “N”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: GuestFeedback <guestfeedback@westjet.com>
Sent: April 5, 2020 11:43 AM
To: jeff_chamberlain@hotmail.com <jeff_chamberlain@hotmail.com>
Subject: DXHICF

Thank you for contacting WestJet.
To ensure you receive emails from WestJet, please add us to your contacts.



Mr. Chamberlain

Re: DXHICF

Thank you for calling WestJet.

It is unfortunate that our views on what WestJet is required to do regarding the refund of reservations during the unfolding COVID-19 pandemic. As I attempted to explain, our executive leadership team is currently focused on the necessary steps to address the repatriation of Canadians abroad.

We recognize that the cancellation of flights and the current economic uncertainty for many of our guests has created a great deal of frustration. A viable and consistent decision was reached in conjunction with the Canada Transportation Agency regarding the refund of itineraries immediately affected by the COVID-19 crisis period.

We appreciate that your view is that the Canadian Transportation Agency has issued two different initiatives however they act as the governing agency for all Canadian agencies and we operate within the policies that they set out.

We assure you that should future discussions result in an alternate policy adjustment that you will be contacted via email to advise you of such.

Should this not be acceptable to you, we respectfully direct you to contact the CTA directly once they have placed notice on their website that they are entertaining complaints again.

Thank you

Paula | Guest Support Specialist



[Privacy_policy](#)

You received this email because you submitted a question or comment on [westjet.com](https://www.westjet.com). This email may be privileged and/or confidential and must not be disclosed, copied, forwarded or distributed without authorization. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message.

© WestJet. All rights reserved.

WestJet, [22 Aerial Place NE, Calgary, AB T2E 3J1, Canada](#)

This is **Exhibit “O”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Accueil](#)

Information importante pour les voyageurs pour la période de la COVID-19

ⓘ Avertissement officiel global aux voyageurs du gouvernement du Canada

⚠ Suspension des activités liées aux règlements des différends aériens

Pendant cette période difficile, et malgré la pratique de nos employés pour favoriser la distanciation sociale, l'Office des transports du Canada (OTC) demeure en opération. Nos employés dévoués travaillent à distance et sont disponibles par moyen électronique pour continuer à fournir nos services. Vous pouvez continuer à nous acheminer vos demandes de services, dépôts d'applications et effectuer des opérations normales à travers nos canaux.

Par contre, veuillez noter que l'OTC a temporairement suspendu toutes instances de règlement des différends concernant les compagnies aériennes jusqu'au 30 juin 2020, afin de permettre à celles-ci de se concentrer sur leurs exigences opérationnelles immédiates et urgentes. Bien que vous pouvez toujours déposer une plainte avec nous et que toute plainte sera traitée en temps opportun, il se peut que nous ne pouvons répondre rapidement. L'OTC déterminera, au plus tard le 30 juin 2020, si la suspension doit se terminer à cette date ou si elle doit être prolongée jusqu'à une date ultérieure.

Obligations en matière de protection des passagers aériens durant la pandémie de COVID-19

Le 11 mars 2020, l'Organisation mondiale de la santé a décrété que la COVID-19 était devenue pandémique. Depuis l'écllosion du virus, plusieurs pays, y compris le Canada, ont imposé des interdictions, des restrictions ou des avis concernant les voyages. Des autorités ont également recommandé certains comportements, comme de meilleures pratiques d'hygiène et des mesures de distanciation sociale, dans le but d'atténuer la propagation du virus. La situation évolue rapidement et d'autres restrictions concernant les déplacements pourraient être mises en place.

L'Office des transports du Canada (OTC), soucieux d'atténuer les graves conséquences de la pandémie de COVID-19 sur l'industrie du transport aérien, a accordé des exemptions temporaires à l'application de certaines dispositions du *Règlement sur la protection des passagers aériens* (RPPA) qui seront en vigueur **du 13 mars au 30 juin 2020**.

Le présent document explique ces changements temporaires et comment le RPPA s'applique à certaines perturbations de vol attribuables à la COVID-19.

En plus de respecter le RPPA, les compagnies aériennes doivent aussi respecter leur tarifs. À la lumière de la pandémie de la COVID-19, l'OTC a publié un [message concernant les crédits](#).

Liens

[Transporteurs aériens – exemptions relativement à la pandémie de la COVID-19](#)

A-2020-42 | Détermination | 2020-03-13

[Air Canada exerçant également son activité sous le nom d'Air Canada rouge et d'Air Canada Cargo - obtenir une exemption temporaire de l'obligation de fournir le préavis exigé à l'article 64 de la LTC](#)

2020-A-36 | Arrêté | 2020-03-25

[Prolongement de la suspension - COVID-19 - suspension immédiate et temporaire de toutes les instances de règlement des différends concernant les transporteurs aériens](#)

2020-A-37 | Arrêté | 2020-03-25

[Transporteurs aériens – exemptions prolongées relativement à la pandémie de la COVID-19](#)

A-2020-47 | Détermination | 2020-03-25

Retards et annulations

Le RPPA définit les obligations des compagnies aériennes envers les passagers. Ces obligations varient selon que la situation **est attribuable à la compagnie aérienne, attribuable à la compagnie aérienne, mais nécessaire par souci de sécurité**, ou encore **indépendante de la volonté de la compagnie aérienne**. Ces différentes catégories sont décrites dans le [Guide sur les types et catégories de perturbations de vol](#).

L'OTC a indiqué un certain nombre de situations liées à cette pandémie qui sont considérées comme étant indépendantes de la volonté de la compagnie aérienne, notamment :

- Perturbation de vols vers des lieux indiqués dans un avis du gouvernement interdisant les voyages ou les voyages non essentiels en raison de la COVID-19;
- Quarantaine ou isolement volontaire d'employés en raison de la COVID-19;
- Ajouts de mesures de contrôle sanitaire ou de processus de dépistage auprès de passagers en raison de la COVID-19.

Des compagnies aériennes pourraient décider d'annuler ou de retarder des vols pour d'autres raisons. Il faudrait évaluer les situations au cas par cas afin de déterminer si de telles situations sont attribuables aux compagnies aériennes ou indépendantes de leur volonté.

Obligations des compagnies aériennes

En cas de retard ou d'annulation de vol, les compagnies aériennes doivent toujours tenir les passagers informés de leurs droits et de la cause de la perturbation du vol. Elles doivent également les aider à effectuer leur itinéraire complet (en leur réservant un siège sur d'autres vols).

Si la cause de la perturbation lui est attribuable, les compagnies aériennes auront des obligations additionnelles (plus de détails ci-après).

Situations indépendantes de la volonté des compagnies aériennes (y compris les situations susmentionnées liées à la COVID-19)

Dans de telles situations, les compagnies aériennes doivent :

- Réacheminer les passagers à bord d'un prochain vol exploité par elles ou un partenaire.
 - *En ce qui concerne les perturbations de vol qui surviennent entre le 13 mars et le 30 juin 2020, les compagnies aériennes n'ont pas à suivre les obligations du RPPA qui consistent à réacheminer les passagers à bord de vols de compagnies aériennes avec lesquelles elles n'ont pas d'entente commerciale.*
 - Veuillez vous référer au message concernant les crédits.
 - Cette obligation ne requiert pas que les compagnies aériennes réacheminent les passagers qui ont déjà complété leur itinéraire (incluant d'autres moyens tels les vols rapatriement).

Situations attribuables à la compagnie aérienne

Dans ces situations, les compagnies aériennes doivent :

- **Appliquer des** normes de traitement
- Réacheminer les passagers à bord d'un prochain vol exploité par elles ou un partenaire, ou accorder un remboursement si les nouveaux arrangements ne répondent plus aux besoins du passager;
 - *En ce qui concerne les perturbations de vol qui surviennent entre le 13 mars et le 30 juin 2020, les compagnies aériennes n'ont pas à suivre les obligations du RPPA qui consistent à réacheminer les passagers à bord de vols de compagnies aériennes avec lesquelles elles n'ont pas d'entente commerciale.*
 - Veuillez vous référer au message concernant les crédits.
 - Cette obligation ne requiert pas que les compagnies aériennes réacheminent les passagers qui ont déjà complété leur itinéraire (incluant d'autres moyens tels les vols rapatriement).

Fournir des indemnités : *En ce qui concerne les perturbations de vol qui surviennent entre le 13 mars et le 30 juin 2020, différentes obligations au titre des indemnités sont en vigueur.* Si la compagnie aérienne a avisé les passagers d'un retard ou d'une annulation moins de 72 heures d'avance, elle doit fournir des indemnités qui varieront en fonction du nombre d'heures de retard à l'arrivée du passager à destination (sauf si le passager a accepté le remboursement de son billet) :

- *Grande compagnie aérienne :*
 - 6-9 heures : 400 \$
 - 9+ heures : 700 \$
- *Petite compagnie aérienne :*
 - 6-9 heures : 125 \$
 - 9+ heures : 250 \$
- À compter du 25 mars 2020, le délai pour un transporteur de répondre aux demandes d'indemnisation pour un inconvénient déposé par un passager est suspendu jusqu'au 30 juin 2020 (ou toute autre période ultérieure que l'Office pourrait ordonner). Une fois la suspension terminée, les transporteurs auront 120 jours pour répondre aux demandes reçues avant ou pendant la suspension.

Situations attribuables à la compagnie aérienne, mais nécessaires par souci de sécurité

Dans ces situations, les compagnies aériennes doivent :

- **Appliquer des** normes de traitement;

- Réacheminer les passagers à bord d'un prochain vol exploité par elles ou un partenaire, ou accorder un remboursement si les nouveaux arrangements ne répondent plus aux besoins du passager.
 - *En ce qui concerne les perturbations de vol qui surviennent entre le 13 mars et le 30 juin 2020, les compagnies aériennes n'ont pas à suivre les obligations du RPPA qui consistent à réacheminer les passagers à bord de vols de compagnies aériennes avec lesquelles elles n'ont pas d'entente commerciale.*
 - Veuillez vous référer au message concernant les crédits.
 - Cette obligation ne requiert pas que les compagnies aériennes réacheminent les passagers qui ont déjà complété leur itinéraire (incluant d'autres moyens tels les vols rapatriement).

Autres exigences du RPPA

Tous les autres droits des passagers prévus dans le RPPA restent en vigueur, notamment ceux concernant les communications claires, les retards sur l'aire de trafic et l'attribution de sièges aux enfants. Pour plus d'information, consultez la page de l'OTC intitulée [Connaissez vos droits](#).

Refus de transport

Le gouvernement du Canada a interdit aux étrangers provenant de tout pays, à l'exception des États-Unis, d'entrer au Canada (avec quelques exceptions). De plus, les compagnies aériennes ont reçu pour instruction d'interdire aux voyageurs de toute nationalité qui présentent des symptômes de la COVID-19 de monter à bord des vols internationaux à destination du Canada.

Les obligations prévues dans le RPPA visant les perturbations de vol ne s'appliqueraient pas dans ces situations.

 Partagez cette page

Date de modification :
2020-03-18

This is **Exhibit “P”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#)

Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19

Official Global Travel Advisory from the Government of Canada

Suspension of all air dispute resolution activities

During these difficult times, the Canadian Transportation Agency (CTA) continues to maintain its normal operations while our employees practice social distancing. Our dedicated employees are working remotely and are available through electronic means to provide service. You can continue to request CTA services, file applications, and do normal business with us through our normal channels.

Please note, however, that the CTA has temporarily paused all dispute resolution activities involving air carriers until June 30, 2020, to permit them to focus on immediate and urgent operational demands. While you can continue to file air passenger complaints with us and all complaints will be processed in due course, we may not be able to respond quickly. On or before June 30, 2020, the Agency will determine if the pause should end on that date or be extended to a later date.

Air Passenger Protection Obligations During COVID-19 Pandemic

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization assessed the outbreak of COVID-19 as a pandemic. Since the outbreak of the virus, a number of countries, including Canada, have imposed travel bans, restrictions, or advisories. Officials have also recommended behaviours, such as enhanced hygiene practices and social distancing, to mitigate the spread of the virus. The situation is evolving rapidly, and further restrictions relating to travel may be implemented.

The Canadian Transportation Agency (CTA) has taken steps to address the major impacts that the COVID-19 pandemic is having on the airline industry by making temporary exemptions to certain requirements of the *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* (APPR) that apply **from March 13, 2020 until June 30, 2020**.

This guide explains these temporary changes and how the APPR apply to certain flight disruptions related to COVID-19.

In addition to the APPR, carriers must also follow their tariffs. In light of the COVID-19 Pandemic, CTA has issued a Statement on Vouchers.

Related Links

[Air carriers - Exemptions due to COVID-19 pandemic
A-2020-42 | Determination | 2020-03-13](#)

[Air Canada also carrying on business as Air Canada rouge and as Air Canada Cargo - temporary exemption from the advance notice requirements of section 64 of the CTA
2020-A-36 | Order | 2020-03-25](#)

[Extension of stay - COVID-19 - immediate and temporary stay of all dispute proceedings involving air carriers
2020-A-37 | Order | 2020-03-25](#)

[Air carriers - further exemptions due to COVID-19 pandemic
A-2020-47 | Determination | 2020-03-25](#)

Delays and Cancellations

The APPR set airline obligations to passengers that vary depending on whether the situation is **within the airline's control, within the airline's control and required for safety purposes, or outside the airline's control**. Descriptions of these categories can be found in [Types and Categories of Flight Disruption: A Guide](#).

The CTA has identified a number of situations related to the COVID-19 pandemic that are considered outside the airline's control. These include:

- flight disruptions to locations that are covered by a government advisory against travel or unnecessary travel due to COVID-19;
- employee quarantine or self-isolation due to COVID-19; and
- additional hygiene or passenger health screening processes put in place due to COVID-19.

Airlines may make decisions to cancel or delay flights for other reasons. Whether these situations are within or outside the airline's control would have to be assessed on a case-by-case basis.

Airline obligations

In the event of a flight delay or cancellation, airlines must always keep passengers informed of their rights and the cause of a flight disruption. Airlines must also always make sure the passengers reach their destinations (re-booking them on other flights).

If the cause of the disruption is within an airline's control, there are additional obligations, as outlined below.

Situations outside airline control (including COVID-19 related situations mentioned above)

In these situations, airlines must:

- [Rebook passengers](#) on the next available flight operated by them or a partner airline.
 - *For disruptions between March 13, 2020 and June 30, 2020, airlines do not have to follow APPR requirements to rebook passengers using an airline with which they have no commercial agreement.*
 - Please refer to the CTA's [Statement on Vouchers](#).
 - This obligation does not require air carriers to rebook passengers who have already completed

their booked trip (including by other means such as a repatriation flight).

Situations within airline control

In these situations, airlines must:

- **Meet** standards of treatment
- **Rebook passengers** on the next available flight operated by them or a partner airline or a refund, if rebooking does not meet the passenger's needs;
 - *For disruptions between March 13, 2020 and June 30, 2020, airlines do not have to follow APPR requirements to rebook passengers using an airline with which they have no commercial agreement.*
 - Please refer to the CTA's [Statement on Vouchers](#).
 - This obligation does not require air carriers to rebook passengers who have already completed their booked trip (including by other means such as a repatriation flight).
- **Provide compensation:** *For disruptions between March 13, 2020 and June 30, 2020, different compensation requirements are in effect.* If the airline notified the passengers of the delay or cancellation less than 72 hours in advance, they must provide compensation based on how late the passenger arrived at their destination (unless the passenger accepted a ticket refund):
 - *Large airline:*
 - 6-9 hours: \$400
 - 9+ hours: \$700
 - *Small airline:*
 - 6-9 hours: \$125
 - 9+ hours: \$250
- Effective March 25, 2020, the deadline for a carrier to respond to claims filed by passengers for payment of the compensation for inconvenience is suspended until June 30, 2020 (or any further period that the Agency may order). Once the suspension is over, carriers will have 120 days to respond to claims received before or during the suspension.

Situations within airline control, but required for safety

In these situations, the airline must:

- **Meet** standards of treatment;
- **Rebook passengers** on the next available flight operated by them or a partner airline or a refund, if rebooking does not meet the passenger's needs.
 - *For disruptions between March 13, 2020 and June 30, 2020, airlines do not have to follow APPR requirements to rebook passengers using an airline with which they have no commercial agreement.*
 - Please refer to the CTA's [Statement on Vouchers](#).
 - This obligation does not require air carriers to rebook passengers who have already completed their booked trip (including by other means such as a repatriation flight).

Other APPR requirements

All other air passenger entitlements under the APPR remain in force, including clear communication, tarmac delays and seating of children. For more information visit the CTA's [Know Your Rights](#) page.

Refusal to transport

The Government of Canada has barred foreign nationals from all countries other than the United States from entering Canada (with some exceptions). Airlines have also been instructed to prevent all travellers who present COVID-19 symptoms, regardless of their citizenship, from boarding international flights to Canada.

The APPR obligations for flight disruptions would not apply in these situations.

 Share this page

Date modified:

2020-03-18

This is **Exhibit “Q”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



< 🔍 "please refer to this link that will answer your question ...



Top Latest People Photos Videos



CTA.gc.ca @CTA_gc · Mar 25
Replying to @johnpeterc88 @TV_SteveWilks and @AirCanada
Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-... Thank you. CTA social media

🗨️ 📄 ↺️ ❤️ 1 ↗️

CTA.gc.ca @CTA_gc · Mar 25
Replying to @asha_jibril @TravelGoC and 2 others
Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-... Thank you. CTA social media

🗨️ 2 📄 ↺️ ❤️ ↗️

CTA.gc.ca @CTA_gc · Mar 25
Replying to @FerrisCatWheel @libbyconser and 5 others
Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-... Thank you. CTA social media

🗨️ 2 📄 ↺️ ❤️ ↗️

CTA.gc.ca @CTA_gc · Mar 25
Replying to @ungraceful_mi and @airtransat
Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-... Thank you. CTA social media

🗨️ 1 📄 ↺️ ❤️ ↗️

CTA.gc.ca @CTA_gc · Mar 25
Replying to @lan_saucy and @Westjet
Good afternoon, please refer to this link that will answer your question: otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-... Thank you. CTA social media

🗨️ 📄 ↺️ ❤️ ↗️



This is **Exhibit “R”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca>
Date: March 27, 2020 at 10:25:26 AM PDT
To: Tammy 2019 <tammylyn2019@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: SWOOP AIRLINES

Hello Tammy,

Thanks for following up.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that may relieve the airline of such obligations in force majeure situations.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

Best,

info@ Team
Office des transports du Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Tél: 1-888-222-2592 / ATS: 1-800-669-5575
Suivez-nous : Twitter / YouTube

Canadian Transportation Agency / Government of Canada
info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Telephone 1-888-222-2592
Follow us: Twitter / YouTube

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy 2019 <tammylyn2019@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 11:25 AM
To: Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: SWOOP AIRLINES

Hello,

Thank you for your response, but I don't understand the answer.

"However, they would have to make sure the passenger completes their itinerary." If the carrier doesn't - what form of compensation am I entitled to? A refund in the form of a future credit or a refund in the original form of payment?

I have them my money in exchange for a service they are unable to provide. This is also outside of my control and a financial burden to me. All I want is my money returned.

Any info/clarification would be appreciated.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 20, 2020, at 7:43 AM, Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca> wrote:

Hello Tammy,

Thanks for contacting the Canadian Transportation Agency.

Air Passenger Protection Regulations provide a list of situations considered 'outside the air carrier's control', including medical emergencies and orders or instructions from state officials. The CTA has identified a number of situations related to this pandemic that are considered 'outside of the air carrier's control'. These include flight disruptions to locations that are covered by a government advisory against travel or unnecessary travel due to COVID-19; <https://rppa-appr.ca/eng/obligations-and-level-control>

In these situations, air carriers would not be required to provide standards of treatment or compensation for inconvenience. However, they would have to make sure the passenger completes their itinerary.

Until April 30th, the time at which passengers will be entitled to compensation for inconvenience related to flight cancellations or delays will be adjusted, to provide air carriers with more flexibility to modify schedules and combine flights. Air carriers will be allowed to make schedule changes without owing compensation to passengers until 72 hours before a scheduled departure time (instead of 14 days), and air carriers will be obligated to compensate passengers for delays on arrival that are fully within the air carrier's control once those delays are 6 hours or more in length (instead of 3 hours).

The CTA has also exempted air carriers from offering alternative travel arrangements that include flights on other air carrier's with which they have no commercial agreement.

Best,

info@ Team

Office des transports du Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Tél: 1-888-222-2592 / ATS: 1-800-669-5575

Suivez-nous : Twitter / YouTube

Canadian Transportation Agency / Government of Canada

info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Telephone 1-888-222-2592

Follow us: Twitter / YouTube

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy 2019 <tammylyn2019@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 1:08 AM

To: Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca>

Subject: SWOOP AIRLINES

Hello,

I booked a flight with Swoop Airlines for next month and they are cancelling the flight and only offering me a future credit. The flight is from Abbotsford, B.C. to Las Vegas, Nevada and return.

Am I not entitled to a refund back to my card?

Thank you,

Tammy Pedersen

604-308-6926

This is **Exhibit “S”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca>
Date: March 27, 2020 at 1:57:05 PM EDT
To: Jenn Mossey <themosseys@rogers.com>
Subject: RE: trip cancelled

Hello,

Thanks for contacting the Canadian Transportation Agency.

The CTA has taken steps to address the major impact that the COVID-19 pandemic is having on the airlines industry by making [temporary exemptions](#) to certain requirements of the Air Passenger Protection Regulations (APPR). These exemptions apply to flight disruptions that occur from March 13, 2020 until June 30, 2020.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that may relieve the airline of such obligations in force majeure situations.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with [vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time \(24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases\)](#).

You should first contact your airline to try and resolve the issues you have raised. Given circumstances, please be patient and provide your airline time to respond to you – a minimum of 30 days. If you do not hear back from your airline, or you are dissatisfied with the response you receive, you may file a complaint with the CTA.

If you decide to file, or have already filed, a complaint with the CTA, please note that in light of the extraordinary circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, the CTA has decided to [temporarily pause communications](#) with airlines on complaints against them. This includes all new complaints received, as well as those currently in the facilitation process. The pause is currently set to continue until June 30, and is aimed at allowing the airlines to focus on immediate and urgent operational demands, like getting Canadians home.

Also, effective March 25, 2020, the deadline for a carrier to respond to claims filed by passengers for payment of the compensation for inconvenience is [suspended until June 30, 2020](#) (or any further period that the Agency may order). Once the suspension is over, carriers will have 120 days to respond to claims received before or during the suspension.

Rest assured that once the pause is lifted, we will deal with every complaint. The delay will not change the outcome of our review.

Best,

[info@ Team](#)

Office des transports du Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Tél: 1-888-222-2592 / ATS: 1-800-669-5575

Suivez-nous : [Twitter](#) / [YouTube](#)

Canadian Transportation Agency / Government of Canada

info@otc-cta.gc.ca / Telephone 1-888-222-2592

Follow us: [Twitter](#) / [YouTube](#)

From: Jenn Mossey <themosseys@rogers.com>

Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 1:08 PM

To: Info <Info@otc-cta.gc.ca>

Subject: trip cancelled

Good Afternoon,

My trip was cancelled by Sunwing vacations. At which point they were offering a refund (they did this for ONE day).

I filled out the form online and got confirmation that I would be

getting a refund as did I get the same paperwork from I-travel 2000.

They are now telling me that I will not be getting a refund but a voucher.

This was BEFORE you changed the policy to (in my opinion) suit the airlines.

We need our money back since we can't afford to have that money tied up right now because my husband may lose his job permanently after all of this, so there will be no vacations.

Once something is in writing (an email) and they post the policy and you do what you are told during the posted policy you are owed the money.

I am attaching my documentation of confirmation and the policy that was posted when I completed my refund request.

I would like your assistance during these uncertain times.

My husband and I both work in trucking and are currently still working to keep goods flowing.

Jennifer Mossey

519-471-9949

Sent from my iPhone

This is **Exhibit “T”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



[Home](#)

Code of Conduct for Members of the Agency

A. CONTEXT

Mandate of the Agency

(1) The Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency) is an independent, quasi-judicial, expert tribunal and regulator which has, with respect to all matters necessary for the exercise of its jurisdiction, all the powers of a superior court.

(2) The Agency and has three core mandates:

- a. Helping ensure that the national transportation system runs efficiently and smoothly in the interests of all Canadians: those who work and invest in it; the producers, shippers, travellers and businesses who rely on it; and the communities where it operates.
- b. Protecting the fundamental human right of persons with disabilities to an accessible transportation network.
- c. Providing consumer protection for air passengers.

Roles of the Agency's Chair, Vice-Chair, Members, and staff

(3) The Agency is comprised of up to five regular Members appointed by the Governor in Council (GIC), including the Agency's Chair and Vice-Chair, and up to three temporary Members appointed by the Minister of Transport from a roster approved by the GIC.

(4) Members make adjudicative decisions and regulatory determinations¹. Their responsibilities in these regards cannot be delegated.

(5) The Chair, who is the also Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and a Member, is responsible for overall leadership of the Agency. He or she sets the Agency's strategic priorities, serves as its public voice, reports on its plans and results to Parliament through the Minister of Transport, and handles relations with Ministers, Parliamentarians, Deputy Ministers, and analogous bodies in other jurisdictions. He or she assigns cases to Members, supervises and directs their work, and chairs regular Members meetings. And as CEO, he or she is the most senior manager of the public servants working in the organization, serves as Deputy Head and Accounting Officer with a broad range of related responsibilities under the Financial Administration Act and other statutes, and chairs the Executive Committee.

(6) The Vice-Chair, who is also a Member, sits on the Executive Committee and assumes the responsibilities of the Chair if the Chair is absent or incapacitated.

(7) Members other than the Chair and Vice-Chair do not have any managerial functions within the Agency.

(8) All Members are supported in the discharge of their decision-making duties by the Agency's public servants, who are responsible for giving Members frank, impartial, evidence-based advice; fully implementing Members' direction; and other tasks assigned to them by the Chair, their managers, or legislation.

B. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Purpose, guiding principles, and application of the Code

(9) This Code establishes the standards for the conduct of Members and applies to all regular and temporary Members. It supplements, and should be read in conjunction with, any applicable requirements and standards set out in the Canada Transportation Act; other legislation administered by the Agency; other legislation establishing ethical and conduct obligations, such as the Conflict of Interest Act; relevant regulations, policies, and guidelines; other relevant codes; and letters of appointment.

(10) The Code reflects:

- a. the Agency's commitment to independent, impartial, fair, transparent, credible, and efficient decision making; and
- b. the Agency's organizational values of respect for democracy, respect for people, integrity, stewardship, and excellence.

(11) Members shall:

- a. adhere to all elements of the Code and other applicable instruments;
- b. uphold the highest ethical standards at all times;
- c. arrange their private affairs in a manner that ensures they have no conflicts of interest;
- d. conduct themselves with integrity, avoid impropriety or the appearance of impropriety, and eschew any action that could cast doubt on their ability to perform their duties with impartiality;
- e. not accept gifts, hospitality, or other advantages or benefits from any party that has an interest in matters handled by the Agency;
- f. recuse themselves from any proceeding where they know or reasonably should know that, in the making of the decision, they would be in a conflict of interest, or where their participation might create a reasonable apprehension of bias. In such case, they shall immediately inform the Chair and provide reason for their recusal. Members are encouraged to seek the advice of the Chair and the General Counsel when dealing with any situation where recusal is contemplated; and
- g. immediately inform to the Chair if they become aware of a situation that may adversely affect the integrity or the credibility of the Agency, including possible non-compliance with the Code.

(12) The Chair is responsible for the administration of the Code, including any matters regarding its interpretation. Members are accountable to the Chair for their compliance with the Code.

Members' expertise and work arrangements

(13) Members have a responsibility to maintain the highest levels of professional competence and expertise required to fulfil their duties. Members are expected to pursue the development of knowledge and skills related to their work, including participation in training provided by the Agency.

(14) Regular, full-time Members must devote at least 37.5 hours per week to the performance of their duties during their term of appointment. If a regular Member is authorized by the Chair to continue to hear

one or more matters before them upon expiry of their term, they shall only request remuneration for actual time worked during the period of continuation.

(15) When temporary Members are appointed on a full-time basis, they must devote at least 37.5 hours per week to the performance of their duties. When temporary Members are appointed on a part-time basis, they shall only request remuneration for actual time worked.

(16) Members' designated workplace is at the Agency's head office. They shall only work from home or other off-site locations with the prior written approval of the Chair.

C. DECISION MAKING

Impartiality

(17) Members must approach each case with an open mind and must be, and be seen to be, impartial and objective at all times.

Natural justice and fairness

(18) Members must respect the rules of natural justice and procedural fairness.

(19) Members must ensure that proceedings are conducted in a manner that is transparent, fair, and seen to be fair.

(20) Members shall render each decision on the merits of the case, based on the application of the relevant legislation and jurisprudence to the evidence presented during the proceeding.

(21) Members shall not be influenced by extraneous or improper considerations in their decision making. Members shall make their decisions free from the improper influence of any other person, institution, stakeholder or interest group, or political actor.

Preparation

(22) Members shall carefully review and consider relevant material – including applications, pleadings, briefing notes, and draft decisions – before attending case-related briefing sessions, meetings, or oral hearings.

Timeliness

(23) Members shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that proceedings progress in a timely fashion, avoiding unnecessary delays but always complying with the rules of natural justice and procedural fairness. Members shall render decisions as soon as possible after pleadings have closed and ensure, to the greatest extent possible, that statutory timelines and internal service standards for the issuance of decisions are met.

Quality

(24) Members shall ensure that their decisions are written in a manner that is clear, logical, complete without being unnecessarily repetitive or lengthy, and consistent with any guidelines or standards established by the Agency regarding the quality and format of decisions.

Consistency

(25) Members shall be cognizant of the importance of consistency in Agency decisions, notwithstanding the fact that prior decisions on similar matters do not constitute binding precedents. Members should not depart from the principles established in previous decisions unless they have a reasonable basis, and provide well-articulated reasons, for doing so.

Respect for parties and participants

(26) Members shall conduct proceedings, including oral hearings, in a courteous and respectful manner, while ensuring that proceedings are orderly and efficient.

(27) Members shall conduct proceedings such that those who have cases before the Agency understand its procedures and practices and can participate meaningfully, whether or not they are represented by counsel.

(28) Members must be responsive to accessibility-related needs and implement reasonable accommodation measures to facilitate meaningful participation of parties and other participants with disabilities in Agency hearings.

(29) Members shall be responsive to diversity, gender, and other human rights considerations when conducting proceedings; for example, in the affirmation/swearing in of witnesses and the scheduling of oral hearings. Members shall avoid words, phrases, and actions that could be understood to manifest bias or prejudice based on factors such as disability, race, age, national origin, gender, religion, sexual orientation, or socio-economic status, and shall never draw inferences on a person's credibility on the basis of such factors.

Case-related communications

(30) Members shall not communicate directly or indirectly with any party, counsel, witness, or other non-Agency participants appearing before them in a proceeding with respect to that proceeding, except in the presence of all parties or their counsel.

(31) Members shall not disclose information about a case or discuss any matter that has been or is in the process of being decided by them or the Agency, except as required in the performance of, and in the circumstances appropriate to, the formal conduct of their duties. Members shall refrain from discussing any case or Agency-related matter in public places.

D. WORKING RELATIONS AND INTERACTIONS

Relations with other Members

(32) Members shall foster civil, collegial relations with other Members.

(33) Members should have frank discussions and openly debate issues, while showing respect for one another's expertise, opinions, and roles. Members shall not comment on another Member's views, decisions, or conduct, except directly and privately to that Member himself or herself, or to the Chair pursuant to subsection 11.g of this Code.

(34) Members assigned together to a Panel should strive to reach consensus decisions whenever

possible, but respectfully agree to disagree and prepare a majority opinion and a dissenting opinion where consensus cannot be achieved within a reasonable time period.

(35) Members should share their knowledge and expertise with other Members as requested and appropriate, without attempting to influence decisions in cases to which they are not assigned.

Relation with Agency staff

(36) Members shall at all times treat Agency staff with courtesy and be respectful of their views and recommendations, recognizing that staff are professional public servants who are required to offer their best advice to Members, who make the final decisions.

(37) Any concerns about staff performance should not be communicated directly to working-level employees but rather should be shared with the relevant Branch Head if the concerns are relatively minor and with the Chair if they are significant or systemic.

Interactions with non-Agency individuals and organizations

(38) Members shall not communicate with the news media. Enquiries from the media or members of the public shall be referred to the Chair's Office.

(39) Members shall not communicate with political actors or officials of other federal departments and agencies, provincial or foreign governments, or international organizations regarding a matter that is, was, or could be before the Agency.

(40) Members shall not publicly express an opinion about any past, current, or potential cases or any other issue related to the work of the Agency, and shall refrain from comments or discussions in public or otherwise that may create a reasonable apprehension of bias.

(41) Members shall not disclose or make known, either publicly or privately, any information of a confidential nature that was obtained in their capacity as a Member.

(42) Members shall not use their position or the Agency's resources (e.g., an Agency email account or letterhead) for personal gain.

(43) Members should exercise caution when using social media for personal purposes, and should not identify themselves as Members of the Agency on social media sites, except professional sites such as LinkedIn.

E. OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES

(44) Members shall not accept invitations to attend social events such as receptions or dinners with stakeholder representatives or with persons who are, or may become, a party, counsel, witness, or other non-Agency participants in an Agency proceeding, except in rare instances where there is a compelling justification and the Chair provides prior written approval.

(45) Members may take part in other outside activities that are not incompatible with their official duties and responsibilities and do not call into question their ability to perform their duties objectively, with the prior written approval of the Chair. Such activities may include participation in conferences and training seminars, speeches, teaching assignments, and volunteering.

(46) Requests for the Chair's approval of participation in social events or other outside activities must be

made in writing at least two weeks before those events or activities begin, and must fully disclose all relevant details. Members are also responsible for obtaining any other approval required by applicable legislation, guidelines, codes, or other instruments.

(47) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Chair may, from time to time, confer with stakeholder representatives, counsel, or other parties in his role as the Agency's public voice, to discuss matters unrelated to any specific proceeding.

F. AFFIRMATION

(48) Members shall review and affirm their commitment to and compliance with the Code upon initial appointment and every year thereafter on or near the anniversary of their appointment.

1
.... In this Code, "decisions" shall be understood to refer to both adjudicative decisions, which deal with disputes between parties, and regulatory determinations, which deal typically involve a single party.

- Code of Conduct for Members of the Agency last update: March 26, 2018

 Share this page

Date modified:
2014-01-22

This is **Exhibit “U”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



Dear travel agents,

We would like to thank you for your continued support and patience. As you can imagine, we are moving quickly during this unprecedented time. That is why, as part of our efforts to keep our employees and customers safe, we were the first airline in Canada to suspend all southbound flights and focus solely on bringing our customers home.

Last week, we expanded our repatriation efforts to offer vacant seats free to any Canadian stranded in destination on our ongoing northbound flights. On March 23rd, we completed our repatriation efforts by bringing home more than 60,000 people including 3,300 stranded Canadians that were non-Sunwing customers.

Initially, we offered customers booked on our flights during this suspension the choice between a future travel credit valid for 12 months and a full cash refund. However, after the Government of Canada's non-essential travel advisory, we adjusted our policy to be aligned with all other Canadian airlines and tour operators. This decision is also consistent with the ruling made by the Canadian Transportation Agency on March 26, 2020. All customers booked on our flights will receive a future travel credit and, as a further gesture, we have extended the validity of this credit for two years. Your commission for bookings will be protected; however, no further commission will be paid when customers re-book using their future travel credit.

While we understand that some customers would have preferred a refund, we are confident that during the next two years they will be able to take the flights or vacations they had planned.

We want to reiterate that any customer who purchased travel insurance is still eligible for a refund in accordance with the terms of their policy. Customers that purchased the Worry Free Cancellation Waiver may be entitled to a partial refund with their future travel credit. These partial refunds will be processed as quickly as possible as we continue to work through adjusting thousands of backlogged files. We ask for your patience as we work through our backlog.

As a reminder, all our southbound flights up to and including April 30, 2020, have been cancelled. We have introduced a new flexible policy for departures between May 1 and June 30, 2020 where final payments can be provided up to 25 days before the departure date (as opposed to the standard 45 days).

Please continue to check our website for important updates.

Thank you for your continued support and stay well.

This is **Exhibit “V”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

COVID-19 Frequently Asked Questions

Where can I find more information about COVID-19?

Canadians are encouraged to consult the destination page on www.travel.gc.ca for the latest advice – the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) is constantly updating this page with advice for travellers based on the latest science available. Anyone travelling should also register with the Government of Canada at www.travel.gc.ca/register prior to travel.

I've tried emailing and calling, why is it taking so long for someone to get back to me?

We know that it can be frustrating waiting for a reply, and we apologize for the long delays. As you can imagine, we have been inundated with calls and emails from concerned customers. Over the past few weeks we have handled over 77,000 calls. Our focus has been ensuring the safety of all our passengers and staff during this challenging time and bringing Canadians home. All our operations were moved from our head offices in Toronto and Montreal to be home-based in order to keep our employees safe per government recommendations regarding social distancing. Now that our repatriation efforts are completed and we have ensured the safety of our employees, we're answering your calls and messages as quickly as possible. Please note that all files with departures between March 17th and April 30th are being processed by our finance team as quickly as possible and there is no need to contact us.

My clients are scheduled to travel between now and April 30, 2020 – what do I need to do?

Customers with departure dates for flights or vacation packages between March 17th and April 30th are

eligible to receive a future travel credit in the value of the original amount paid. No action is needed from you or your customers to receive this. Their original booking number will be the code of their future travel credit. We will communicate formally via the email address we have on file (including group travel bookings). You and your client do not need to contact us. This credit can be redeemed against future travel for travel up to 24 months from original departure date to anywhere Sunwing Airlines operates.

Why are my clients receiving a future travel voucher instead of a full cash refund?

While we initially offered customers booked on our flights a choice between a future travel credit valid for 12 months and a full cash refund, after the announcement of the Government of Canada's non-essential travel advisory, we adjusted our policy to be aligned with all other Canadian airlines and tour operators. This decision is also consistent with the ruling made by the Canadian Transportation Agency on March 26, 2020. All customers booked on our flights will be offered a future travel credit, and as a further gesture, we have extended the validity of this credit to two years.

My clients submitted a request for a refund before the policies changed – will they still receive a refund?

All non-processed refund requests were automatically transferred over to our new policy and customers will be receiving a future travel credit. We understand that some customers would have preferred a refund, but we are confident that during the next two years they will be able to take the flights or vacations they had planned.



COVID-19 Frequently Asked Questions

What is the future travel credit process and how does it work?

We've made the travel credit process quite simple for our customers to redeem. When your clients are ready to rebook their vacation, the previous booking number is the key to their credit. Customers will only need to answer security questions to access and apply this credit to their new booking. If they do not use the full amount, it will remain as a credit on file and can be used at a later date.

When will booking cancellations be processed?

Our finance team has been working around the clock to process thousands of files. We hope to have the majority of them complete by April 9, 2020.

My clients purchased the Worry Free Cancellation Waiver – will they receive a refund?

Sunwing's Worry Free Cancellation Waiver lets customers cancel their vacation for any reason up to three hours prior to departure. Depending on when your clients cancelled, they may be entitled to a partial refund in combination with a future travel voucher. Please see our [website](#) for full terms and conditions. These partial refunds will be processed as quickly as possible as we continue to work through adjusting thousands of backlogged files. We ask for your patience as we work through our backlog.

What are my clients' next steps if they purchased travel insurance through an insurance provider?

Once your clients' file has been processed, we will let them know via the email address on file. At that point, they can then provide this document to their insurance provider who will guide them through next steps.

My clients made a deposit on a vacation departing after May 1 – what are their options?

We have adjusted our policy to make it more flexible for customers on final payment. We have introduced a new flexible policy for departures between May 1 and June 30, 2020 where final payments can be provided up to 25 days before the departure date (as opposed to the standard 45 days). By extending our final payment window, your clients can make a more informed decision about their travel. Please note that all other terms and conditions apply and cancelling will result in the loss of your clients' deposit.

When will I receive my commission?

All commissions are paid 21 days prior to departure dates and all bookings with unpaid commissions will be looked at in the next couple of weeks. We need to finalize all booking cancellations before we can issue commissions payments and we appreciate your patience.

Is my commission protected with future travel credits?

Your commission for bookings will be protected; however, no further commission will be paid when customers rebook using their future travel credit.

Can my clients still make a future booking?

Of course! Our sales centre and website are fully operational with our schedule for the upcoming summer and winter seasons in place and up to date. Our team is also ready to assist with all you group and wedding bookings. New bookings can be made on available packages departing from May 1, 2020 onwards.

This is **Exhibit “W”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

10:02 PM Tue Mar 31



Chats



Search



Your Story



Donald



Chrystal



Karina



WestJet

We understand the c... · 10:01 pm



FlySwoop

To talk to an agent, pl... · 3:29 pm



Maureen Bowman

sorry the link won't w... · 3:13 pm



Alan Jackson

Alan sent a photo. · 1:55 pm



Kalpna Joshi

Kalpna sent a photo. · 8:15 am



Dave Christopher

Dave sent an attachment. · Mon



WestJet



canceled these flights and have actually broken our contract for the services we agreed to when I booked. It's in your service agreement for my refund,the Ontario service laws and the new government laws too. Please I do understand it's a crazy time for all of you too,but I am requesting a refund because my children are having to also deal with the fallout of this through thier employment . Yes things are changing daily so if we have to help them we would like to,since we are retired and on a budget too

We understand the challenges our guest have been faced with. However, the Canadian Transport Agency has approved us to issue refunds to travel bank. We have extended the travel bank to 24-months, when normally it is 12 months, therefore giving guest more flexibility to book with us in the future.



Aa



Sooo

I

Thanks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

Q

W

E

R

T

Y

U

I

O

P



@

#

\$

&

*

(

)

'

"

A

S

D

F

G

H

J

K

L

return



Z

X

C

V

B

N

M

!

?



.?123



.?123



10:22 PM Tue Mar 31



Chats



Search



Your Story



Donald



Chrystal



Andrea



WestJet

You: I would also like... · 10:21 pm



FlySwoop

To talk to an agent, pl... · 3:29 pm



Maureen Bowman

sorry the link won't w... · 3:13 pm



Alan Jackson

Alan sent a photo. · 1:55 pm



Kalpna Joshi

Kalpna sent a photo. · 8:15 am



Dave Christopher

Dave sent an attachment. · Mon



WestJet



We understand the challenges our guest have been faced with. However, the Canadian Transport Agency has approved us to issue refunds to travel bank. We have extended the travel bank to 24-months, when normally it is 12 months, therefore giving guest more flexibility to book with us in the future.

10:19 PM

They have not gone before parliament to change it yet just because they have thought it's fair doesn't change the business laws of Canada really I am not impressed with your response it's all over the news again I'm sooo sorry you're having to deal with all this craziness but I'm respectfully as for a refund to original payment please

I would also like to see the link to the CTA show me this new approval



Aa



Sooo

I

Thanks

1 Q

2 W

3 E

4 R

5 T

6 Y

7 U

8 I

9 O

0 P



@ A

S

\$ D

& F

* G

(H

) J

' K

" L

return



% Z

- X

+ C

= V

/ B

: N

: M

! ,

? .



.?123



.?123



10:22 PM Tue Mar 31



Chats



Search



Your Story



Donald



Chrystal



Sue



WestJet

Sure, one second pl... · 10:22 pm



FlySwoop

To talk to an agent, pl... · 3:29 pm



Maureen Bowman

sorry the link won't w... · 3:13 pm



Alan Jackson

Alan sent a photo. · 1:55 pm



Kalpna Joshi

Kalpna sent a photo. · 8:15 am



Dave Christopher

Dave sent an attachment. · Mon



WestJet



flexibility to book with us in the future.

10:19 PM

They have not gone before parliament to change it yet just because they have thought it's fair doesn't change the business laws of Canada really I am not impressed with your response it's all over the news again I'm sooo sorry you're having to deal with all this craziness but I'm respectfully as for a refund to original payment please

I would also like to see the link to the CTA show me this new approval

Our apologies, but we are unable to accept that request. If you wish to further discuss, you may reach out to the Canadian Transport Agency, or your insurance provider. Thank you.



Sure, one second please.



Aa



Sooo

I

Thanks

QWERTYUIOP keyboard layout with various function keys like return, shift, and emojis.

10:24 PM Tue Mar 31



Chats



Search



Your Story



Sue



Chrystal



Audrey



WestJet

<https://ms.spr.ly/618...> · 10:23 pm



FlySwoop

To talk to an agent, pl... · 3:29 pm



Maureen Bowman

sorry the link won't w... · 3:13 pm



Alan Jackson

Alan sent a photo. · 1:55 pm



Kalpna Joshi

Kalpna sent a photo. · 8:15 am



Dave Christopher

Dave sent an attachment. · Mon



Cindy Hamilton

Cindy sent a video. · Fri



Donald Waybrant

You: Yup and we also have l... · Fri



Linda Louise Payeur

You sent a photo. · Fri



Trish Desjardins

Trish sent an attachment. · Thu



Chats



People



WestJet



83%

things are changing daily so if we have to help them we would like to, since we are retired and on a budget too

We understand the challenges our guest have been faced with. However, the Canadian Transport Agency has approved us to issue refunds to travel bank. We have extended the travel bank to 24-months, when normally it is 12 months, therefore giving guest more flexibility to book with us in the future.

10:19 PM

They have not gone before parliament to change it yet just because they have thought it's fair doesn't change the business laws of Canada really I am not impressed with your response it's all over the news again I'm sooo sorry you're having to deal with all this craziness but I'm respectfully as for a refund to original payment please

I would also like to see the link to the CTA show me this new approval

Our apologies, but we are unable to accept that request. If you wish to further discuss, you may reach out to the Canadian Transport Agency, or your insurance provider. Thank you.

Sure, one second please.

<https://ms.spr.ly/6189TeO4T>



Aa





Steffany Christopher
9 mins

When I opened it

[Home](#)

1 Comment

Statement on Vouchers



The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the *Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations* only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in force majeure situations.

The legislation, regulations, and tariffs were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic. It's important to consider how to strike a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines' operational realities in these extraordinary and unprecedented circumstances.

On the one hand, passengers who have no prospect of completing their planned itineraries with an airline's assistance should not simply be out-of-pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

The CTA will continue to provide information, guidance, and services to passengers and airlines as we make our way through this challenging period.

[Share this page](#)

Date modified: 2020-03-25

[About us](#)



Comment

[News](#)



Options

Send in Message

This is **Exhibit “X”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: Air Canada Concierge <conciierge@aircanada.ca>
Date: April 1, 2020 at 12:29:49 EDT
To: Michael Foulkes <Michael.foulkes@rogers.com>
Subject: Re: Booking MMHHTM

Hello / Bonjour Mr. Foulkes,

I would like to attach two links from the Canadian Transportation Agency website as they may help clarify some of your questions. The CTA has issued temporary exemptions to the Air Passenger Protection Regulations regarding refund request and extension of ticket validity.

<https://www.otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/content/canadian-transportation-agency-issues-temporary-exemptions-certain-air-passenger-protection>

<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

Kind Regards.

Yda

Air Canada
Concierge Desk / Bureau Concierge
conciierge@aircanada.ca

From: Michael Foulkes <michael.foulkes@rogers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 12:24
To: Air Canada Concierge <conciierge@aircanada.ca>
Subject: Re: Booking MMHHTM

Thank you for your prompt reply.

I don't believe these options are in accordance with applicable tariffs or Canadian or EU regulations. Before choosing which way to proceed I will look into this more closely, as well as consult with both the Expedia for TD and TD Visa where the booking was made.

Thank you again for your response. Best personal regards for your well-being.

Michael Foulkes

MICHAEL A FOULKES | 67 THORNCREST ROAD ETOBICOKE ONTARIO CANADA M9A 1S8 | TEL: +1-416-999-9422 | FAX: +1-416-234-9618 | MICHAEL.FOULKES@ROGERS.COM

On Apr 1, 2020, at 9:53 AM, Air Canada Concierge <conciierge@aircanada.ca> wrote:

Hello / Bonjour Mr. Foulkes,

Thank you for contacting the Air Canada Concierge Desk.

I am sorry hear that your return flight was cancelled. Due to the COVID-19 crisis, our schedule change policy has been modified. Itineraries that have been affected by an schedule change (in your case cancel flight) actioned after the 19th of March are not refunded. Your flight was cancelled on the 27th of March. We can offer you two options:

- Put your reservation aside for future use. You will have no change fee for the first re-booking (which is 500cad per passenger). You have 24 months to use this credit since the day of the schedule change; in this case 27 March 2022.
- Refund your ticket with a cancellation penalty of 600cad per passenger.

Please let me know how you will like to proceed.

Kind Regards.

Yda

Air Canada

Concierge Desk / Bureau Concierge
concierge@aircanada.ca

From: Michael Foulkes <michael.foulkes@rogers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 09:32
To: Air Canada Concierge <concierge@aircanada.ca>
Subject: Booking MMHHTM

I received the attached email from Air Canada on Monday regarding a previously booked flight. I would appreciate your assistance in having this reservation refunded.

I request a full refund for this reservation as the return portion has apparently already been cancelled by Air Canada. I have not received any formal notification of the cancellation, but the May 31 return from Dublin Ireland (on the same booking reference) has disappeared from my Air Canada App itinerary and is no longer shown on your schedule. It is my understanding that under these circumstances, a cash refund is applicable and I would appreciate it you could direct this request to the appropriate area to have it processed.

If this is not possible, I would appreciate a written explanation.

Thank you.

Michael Foulkes
718-542-434

MICHAEL A FOULKES | 67 THORNCREST ROAD ETOBICOKE ONTARIO CANADA M9A 1S8 | TEL: +1-416-999-9422 | FAX: +1-416-234-9618 | MICHAEL.FOULKES@ROGERS.COM

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Air Canada" <communications@Mail.aircanada.com>
Subject: Confirm or cancel your booking / Confirmation ou annulation de votre réservation
Date: March 30, 2020 at 8:00:16 PM EDT
To: <MICHAEL.FOULKES@ROGERS.COM>
Reply-To: "Air Canada" <communications@Mail.aircanada.com>

[Web version](#)



VERSION FRANÇAISE ↓

Confirm or cancel your booking

Booking reference: MMHHTM

As the global impact of COVID-19 continues to evolve, we would like to know whether this has impacted your travel plans.

I wish to confirm my booking

If you still plan to fly from Toronto (YYZ) to London (LHR), please review any applicable entry requirements [here](#). If you are eligible to fly, please confirm below:

CONFIRM MY BOOKING

I wish to cancel my booking

Alternatively, we can appreciate that you may wish to alter your upcoming trip from Toronto (YYZ) to London (LHR), or are not able to travel due to new entry restrictions found [here](#).

To give you more flexibility, we've waived change fees and are making an exception on non-refundable fares by providing the unused ticket value to be used towards a future ticket purchase. If you would like to cancel your booking but have been unable to reach your travel agency, you may be able to do so directly on our easy Air Canada self-service form.

Can I cancel my Travel Agency flight booking online with Air Canada directly?

I purchased a flight only:

- Yes, you can cancel your flight and receive **100% of the unused value of your ticket** as a future travel credit. This credit is valid for travel before March 31, 2021.

CANCEL MY BOOKING

I purchased a package (flight + hotel, car rental, etc.):

- No, unfortunately you will need to connect directly with your travel agency.

Your patience and understanding is greatly appreciated as we continue to adapt to this dynamic situation.

ENGLISH VERSION ↑

Confirmation ou annulation de votre réservation

Numéro de réservation : MMHHTM

Alors que l'impact mondial de la COVID-19 continue d'évoluer, nous souhaitons savoir si la pandémie a des conséquences sur vos plans de voyage.

Je souhaite confirmer ma réservation

Si vous prévoyez toujours de voyager au départ de Toronto (YYZ) et à destination de Londres (LHR), veuillez passer en revue [ici](#) les exigences d'entrée applicables. Si vous êtes autorisé à voyager, veuillez le confirmer ci-dessous :

CONFIRMER MA RÉSERVATION

Je souhaite annuler ma réservation

Il se peut aussi que vous souhaitiez annuler la réservation de votre voyage à venir au départ de Toronto (YYZ) et à destination de Londres (LHR), ou que vous ne puissiez voyager en raison des nouvelles restrictions d'entrée que vous trouverez [ici](#).

Pour vous donner plus de flexibilité, nous avons annulé les frais de modification et faisons une exception pour les tarifs non remboursables : vous pouvez obtenir un crédit intégral à utiliser pour un prochain voyage. Si vous souhaitez annuler votre réservation, mais que vous n'êtes pas en mesure de communiquer avec votre agence de voyages, vous pouvez le faire directement au moyen du formulaire en libre-service d'Air Canada, facile à utiliser.

Puis-je annuler en ligne, directement auprès d'Air Canada, ma réservation faite à l'origine par une agence de voyages?

J'ai uniquement acheté un billet d'avion :

- Oui, vous pouvez annuler votre vol et recevoir **la valeur intégrale de votre billet inutilisé** sous la forme d'un crédit pour un voyage effectué d'ici le 31 mars 2021.

ANNULER MA RÉSERVATION

J'ai acheté un forfait (vol + hôtel, voiture de location, etc.) :

- Non, vous devez malheureusement communiquer directement avec votre agence de voyages.

Nous vous remercions de votre patience et de votre compréhension dans ce contexte de changements rapides.

If you have made changes to your flights within the past 48 hours, this email may not reflect your current booking. Please refer to your booking reference for current flight information.

Please do not reply to this email, as this inbox is not monitored. If you have any questions please visit aircanada.com.

Si vous avez apporté des modifications à vos vols au cours des 48 dernières heures, ce courriel peut ne pas être pertinent pour votre réservation actuelle. Veuillez vous reporter à votre source de réservation pour les informations sur le vol à jour.

To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add communications@Mail.aircanada.com to your address book or safe list.

This service email was sent by Air Canada to MICHAEL.FOULKES@ROGERS.COM because you purchased an Air Canada flight and provides important flight information that must be communicated to you. This service email is not a promotional email.

Your privacy is important to us. To learn how Air Canada collects, uses, and protects the personal information you provide, please view our [Privacy Policy](#).

Please do not reply to this email, as this inbox is not monitored. If you have any questions please visit aircanada.com.

Air Canada, PO Box 64239, RPO Thorncliffe, Calgary, Alberta, T2K 6J7

Pour assurer la livraison de vos courriels, veuillez ajouter communications@Mail.aircanada.com à votre carnet d'adresses ou liste de contacts.

Ce courriel de service a été envoyé par Air Canada à MICHAEL.FOULKES@ROGERS.COM parce que vous avez acheté un vol Air Canada et il vous fournit d'importants renseignements sur votre vol. Ce courriel de service n'est pas un courriel promotionnel.

Votre vie privée est importante pour nous. Pour savoir comment Air Canada collecte, utilise et protège les informations privées que vous nous transmettez, veuillez consulter la politique d'Air Canada sur [la protection des renseignements personnels](#).

Veuillez ne pas répondre à ce courriel, car cette boîte de réception n'est pas surveillée. Si vous avez des questions, veuillez visiter aircanada.com.

Air Canada, C.P. 64239, RPO Thorncliffe, Calgary (Alberta) T2K 6J7.

This is **Exhibit “Y”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: **AC Medical** <acmedical@aircanada.ca>
Date: Fri., Mar. 27, 2020, 1:30 p.m.
Subject: 21MAR BELISLE AHREN N4N4CA additional information
To: Ahren Belisle <belisle.ahren@gmail.com>

Good day Mr. Belisle,

Thank you for your email.

Please be advised that we will not be able to accommodate your request.

As mention previously the maximum we can provide is to keep your ticket as a credit for 24 months (2 years).

If I look at this link you provided this seems to be a law for resale agency we are an direct seller and provider as an airline.

The policy we follow at the moment is supported by the CTA (Canadian air transportation agency).

Please contact customer relation directly for any additional question as this is not something the medical desk can assist you with any further.

<https://acc-prod.microsoftcrmpartals.com/en-CA/air-canada-contact-us/>

Best regards,

Nancy

AC_logo

Medical Desk/ Bureau Médical

T 1-800-667-4732 | 514-369-7039 | F 1-888-334-7717

MON-FRI: 6AM – 8PM ET | SAT-SUN: 6AM - 6PM ET

LUN-VEN: 0600-2000 | SAM-DIM: 0600-1800 heure de l'est

ACmedical@aircanada.ca

From: Ahren Belisle <belisle.ahren@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 3:11 PM
To: AC Medical <acmedical@aircanada.ca>
Subject: Re: Verbal disability NANCY WILL SPEAK TO GABE ON 27MAR

How do I get this cert? What tangible Code do I get?

I request a refund or a gift card with no expiry instead.

I've attached the law

Kind Regards,

Ahren Belisle

On Wed., Mar. 25, 2020, 2:11 p.m. AC Medical, <acmedical@aircanada.ca> wrote:

Good day,

The credit is valid for **24 Months (2 years)**.

This is the policy we have been given, if you wish to communicate with customer relations in regards to this policy you can do so by emailing then via the Air Canada website.

Regards,

Jesyka

From: Ahren Belisle <belisle.ahren@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 11:53 AM
To: AC Medical <acmedical@aircanada.ca>
Subject: Re: Verbal disability

I actually meant 2021 in my original email. A voucher that is only good until December 2020 is not sufficient in this crisis as I will not be traveling by then.

My flights got cancelled by the airline and as per the law, I am entitled to a full refund.

I will accept a gift card with no expiry date, or a refund. A voucher that must be used by December is not sufficient. Please respond.

Kind Regards,

Ahren Belisle

On Mon., Mar. 16, 2020, 4:46 p.m. AC Medical, <acmedical@aircanada.ca> wrote:

Good day,

Thank you for your email.

Air Canada's good will policy is applicable.

We are waiving a 1 time change fee, any fare difference is applicable.

You must begin travel by 18 December 2020.

The flights have been cancelled, and the ticket is being held as a credit.

You may refer to your booking reference N4N4C when rebooking.

Best regards,

Linda

Medical Desk/ Bureau Médical

T 1-800-667-4732 | 514-369-7039 | F 1-888-334-7717

MON-FRI: 6AM – 8PM ET | SAT-SUN: 6AM - 6PM ET

LUN-VEN: 0600-2000 | SAM-DIM: 0600-1800 heure de l'est

ACmedical.aircanada.ca

From: Ahren Belisle <belisle.ahren@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 16, 2020 4:37 PM

To: AC Medical <acmedical@aircanada.ca>

Subject: Verbal disability

Hello, I have a speech disability and I would like to cancel my flight from yyz to yvr on Saturday.

Reservation code n4n4ca

Last name Belisle.

I will accept credit for future travel in 2020. Can you help me in this medium?

cheers,

Ahren Belisle

3 attachments

Duty of registrant who resells travel services

46. If a registrant acquires rights to travel services for resale to other registrants or to customers and the supplier fails to provide the travel services paid for by a customer, the registrant who acquired the rights for resale shall reimburse the customer or provide comparable alternate travel services acceptable to the customer. O. Reg. 26/05, s. 46.

FB_IMG_1585249781078.jpg

18K



image001.jpg

4K



This is **Exhibit “Z”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



Air Transat (@airtransat) has sent you a Direct Message on Twitter!

1 message

Air Transat (via Twitter) <notify@twitter.com>
To: Adam Bacour <flitox@laposte.net>

Thu, Mar 26, 2020 at 3:55 PM



Air Transat sent you a Direct Message.

Hello, Sorry for the late reply. As you can imagine, we've been receiving high volumes of messages in the past few days, and we're working hard to respond as soon as possible. We strongly believe that the 24-month credit offered to our customers to compensate for their cancelled travel plans is a flexible proposition in these exceptional circumstances. We also continue to be flexible in our payment terms to meet the needs of our customers. In this regard, the Canadian Transportation Agency recently issued an opinion on the subject, which supports our decision and emphasizes that the solution proposed by Transat, among others,

is appropriate given the current situation. Jessica_AirTransat

Reply

[Settings](#) | [Help](#) | [Opt-out](#) | [Download app](#)

Twitter, Inc. 1355 Market Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94103

This is **Exhibit “AA”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

From: Swoop <support@flyswoop.freshdesk.com>
Sent: March 28, 2020 4:44 AM
To: susanannsimpson@msn.com <susanannsimpson@msn.com>
Subject: Re: REFUND ON CREDIT CARD NOT A CREDIT VOUCHER

Hi Susan,

Thank you for reaching out.

We do understand that a refund would be preferred, however we are only offering Swoop credits at this time for cancelled flights.

On March 25, the Canadian Transportation Agency clarified its position on providing credit for travel due to the uncertain times we are in. This clarification stated that airlines could offer travel credit for cancelled flights, and the credit should be valid for a reasonable amount of time, which was indicated to be 24 months. If you would like more information please visit the CTA's website here: <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

Kind regards,



Aris
 Traveller Support
 Swoop Inc. | FlySwoop.com



On Wed, 25 Mar at 9:00 AM , Susan Simpson
 <susanannsimpson@msn.com> wrote:

I have e-mailed you 8 times to privacy@flyswoop.com and as of today, Wednesday March 25th I have not heard a word from you either by e-mail or phone.

I HAD NOT PURCHASED A TRAVEL CREDIT/VOUCHER. TRAVEL CREDIT IS IRRELEVANT TO THIS DISCUSSION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS ISSUED A WARNING TO ALL NOT TO TRAVEL ... I AM TAKING THIS SERIOUSLY ALONG WITH EVERYONE ELSE. I HAVE COPIES OF ALL MY OTHER E-MAILS THAT I HAVE SENT TO YOU AND WOULD GLADLY FORWARD THEM ON TO YOU. MY E-MAIL ADDRESS IS:

susanannsimpson@msn.com

Here is a copy of my last e-mail I sent you on March 23rd at 10:57a.m.

Susan Simpson

Mon 2020-03-23 10:57 AM



- privacy@flyswoop.com



Here I am again....still no reply from you on my other 7 e-mails.

I called you again this morning and on hold for 31 minutes and was

then cut off.

I can't afford these calls from Cambridge, Ontario to Calgary.

I am really angry now and so frustrated with your Public Relations...

As I've said before I totally understand you are overwhelmed with all of this Covid-19.

But even an e-mail or call to say that you will at least look into my file would be common courtesy.

I will not be travelling to Tampa or anywhere that Swoop flies so therefore I would like my FULL REFUND that I am entitled to.

Under the advice from the Government we have been told NOT to travel and I am taking that seriously like many others.

As I mentioned before I accepted the Terms and Conditions when I cancelled my flight for Sunday, March 22nd (you cancelled my return on April 6) because I had no other choice. YOU CAN HAVE YOUR VOUCHER BACK ALL I WANT IS MY REFUND ON MY CREDIT CARD.

I have been given counsel and I am ENTITLED to a FULL REFUND ON MY CREDIT CARD.

The Ontario's Frustrated Contracts Act states that I should be able to get a FULL REFUND on my credit card and NOT a CREDIT VOUCHER, that will be of no use to me at all.

I WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE A REPLY FROM A SUPERVISOR!!

Thank you

Susan Simpson

1-519-623-7610

Reservation Code: X4K2RF

This is **Exhibit “AB”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



TravelOnly
@travelonly

- Home
- Reviews
- Photos
- Videos
- Posts**
- Events
- About
- Community
- Contest
- Pinterest
- Welcome

Create a Page



 Like  Follow  Share 

Get Quote

Send Message

 **TravelOnly**
March 25 at 5:12 PM · 

To all of our amazing clients - thank you for putting your trust in TravelOnly and our amazing advisors. Over the course of the past two weeks, our advisors have been on hold for upwards of 12+ hours to help you get home or cancel or rebook your trips. No doubt this will continue for the foreseeable future -- we are here for you and hope that you will remember the value of using a travel advisor in the future!

Some of you have reached out to enquire how the new Air Passenger Protection Regulations would impact the requirements of airlines when flights were cancelled and/or rebooked.

The Canadian Transportation Agency has provided a statement which provides direction for you and your travel advisor regarding the issuing of future travel vouchers. In summary, the CTA believes that providing affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel is appropriate and reasonable. We understand that you may have questions on your voucher and how to use it for future travel and we encourage you to reach out to your TravelOnly advisor or our offices for assistance at any time. Please note that most vouchers will be issued within the next 4-6 weeks depending on the airline and travel supplier.

<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

NADIAN
ANSPORT
ENCY

OTC-CTA.GC.CA

Statement on Vouchers | Canadian Transportation Agency

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel. For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's...

 17  23 Shares

 Like  Comment  Share  Buffer 

 Write a comment...    

Create Post

 Write a post...

4.6 **4.6 out of 5** · Based on the opinion of 81 people

Community See All

-  Invite your friends to like this Page
-  7,778 people like this
-  7,728 people follow this
-  45 check-ins

About See All



202-325A West Street
Brantford, Ontario N3R 3V6

[Get Directions](#)

+1 866-106-0860

 **Chat (20)**   

www.travelonly.com

Travel Service · Cruise Agency

Price Range \$\$

Opens Monday
Closed Now

 Suggest Edits

Page Transparency See More

Facebook is showing information to help you better understand the purpose of a Page. See actions taken by the people who manage and post content.

 Page created - February 6, 2012

Pages Liked by This Page >

This is **Exhibit “AC”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



ONTARIO'S
TRAVEL
REGULATOR

(<https://www.tico.ca/>)

Registrar Bulletin: Vouchers or Similar Documents

TICO has been receiving questions from registrants and customers about the use of vouchers or similar documents as a form of reimbursement for travel services that have been cancelled or indefinitely delayed.

Registrants' obligations depend on the details of the travel services that were sold. These protections only apply to customers who purchased travel services through a TICO-registered travel agency or tour operator:

If you have engaged suppliers to bundle multiple travel services (e.g., airfare, accommodations, cruise and/or ground transportation), which you advertised and re-sold to either other registrants or customers for a single price:

In this scenario, if one of the suppliers fails to provide the travel service (e.g., airline, cruise line, coach operator), the registrant is required to provide one of the following to the customer:

a refund;

comparable alternate travel services acceptable to the customer; or

a voucher or similar document that is acceptable to the customer for future redemption towards travel services.

During this unprecedented global pandemic, there is a time-limited exemption under section 46, which allows registrants to elect to only provide a voucher or similar document for future redemption towards travel services where a supplier fails to provide the travel services on or after these changes came into effect and that supplier's failure to provide travel services is related to COVID-19. If the exemption is applicable, the voucher or similar document issued must meet specified requirements. This time-limited exemption is revoked on April 1, 2021.

If the voucher or similar document is issued by the registrant on or after March 30, 2020 due to the supplier failing to provide a travel service and that failure is related to COVID-19:

The voucher or similar document must be for at least equivalent value to the travel services that were not provided to the customer and must be redeemable for a minimum of one year from the date the voucher or similar document was issued. The travel can happen beyond the one year mark.

A voucher provided by the registrant or an end supplier is considered acceptable so long as the equivalent value of the travel services is provided. The registrant shall provide a voucher to the customer where a voucher, if any, from the supplier is less than the original value of the travel services.

Registrants cannot add additional fees unless disclosed at the time of booking (e.g., a fee for issuing the voucher).

Where a registrant has only sold another registrant's package, and not acquired any rights to those components for resale, the registrant that sells to the customer is not subject to this provision.

Relevant section of the Regulation: 36 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050026#BK39>), 38 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050026#BK41>), 46 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050026#BK49>) (prior to March 30, 2020) 46 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/r20101?search=101%2F20>) (after March 30, 2020)

If you sold travel services that did not form part of a pre-bundled package (e.g., accommodations and/or cruise):

Customers who have travel bookings that are affected by COVID-19 are subject to the terms and conditions of the booking from the registrant and applicable suppliers (e.g., hotel or cruise line) and the policies in effect. Some suppliers may choose to issue a voucher or similar document to their customers. TICO does not have jurisdiction over end-suppliers.

In addition to the terms and conditions from suppliers, customers are also subject to the terms and conditions of either the retail travel agency and/or tour operator from whom they purchased their travel services from. These terms and conditions would need to be disclosed to the customer at the time of booking and included on the registrant's invoice to the customer.

TICO expects and requires registrants to honour all of their contractual obligations made with customers. Registrants must fully consider all of their contractual and legal obligations in determining how to address the situation. Please note: terms and conditions of travel services sold cannot override your obligations under the *Travel Industry Act, 2002* and Ontario Regulation 26/05.

Relevant sections of the Regulation: 36 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050026#BK39>) and 38 (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050026#BK41>)

If you sold only air transportation on an airline regulated by the Canadian Transportation Agency (CTA):

The CTA has indicated that to sustain the economic viability of the airline industry, the airlines under their jurisdiction may issue vouchers for future travel in lieu of refunds. Please click here (<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>) for the CTA's statement. Please note that TICO does not have jurisdiction over airlines, which are federally regulated.

Registrants issuing vouchers or similar documents for future travel should consider having a dialogue with customers to determine if a full or partial reimbursement has been processed by other means (e.g., travel insurance).

Additional information

Full text or regulatory changes on e-laws (<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/r20101?search=101%2F20>)

Registrar Bulletin (<https://www.tico.ca/news/registrar-bulletins/398-registrar-bulletin-ontario-government-to-provide-burden-relief-to-ontario-s-registered-travel-agencies-and-tour-operators-in-response-to-the-covid-19-pandemic.html>): Ontario government to provide burden relief to Ontario's registered travel agencies and tour operators in response to the COVID-19 pandemic

Additional Registrar Bulletins (<https://www.tico.ca/news/registrar-bulletins.html>) concerning COVID-19

Customer information (<https://www.tico.ca/blog/happens-health-incident-like-coronavirus-impacts-travel-plans>): FAQs that you can share with your clients

To contact TICO

TICO is operating remotely, but we are here to assist you. For more information, [please click here \(https://www.tico.ca/news/registrar-bulletins/393-registrar-bulletin.html\)](https://www.tico.ca/news/registrar-bulletins/393-registrar-bulletin.html).

Any questions can be directed to [tico@tico.ca \(mailto:tico@tico.ca\)](mailto:tico@tico.ca) or 1-888-451-TICO (8426).

Richard Smart

Registrar, *Travel Industry Act, 2002*


This is **Exhibit “AD”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Travelweek NEWS

Airlines | Cruise | Destinations | Hotels & Resorts | Other News | Tour Operators | Travel Agent Issues



Tactful and tough, agents have effective strategies for dealing with refund demands

Tweet

submit to reddit

Friday, April 3, 2020
Posted by Kathryn Folliott

This story originally ran in the April 2, 2020 issue of Travelweek magazine. To get Travelweek delivered to your agency for free, subscribe here.

TORONTO — Financial concerns are mounting. Work and stress levels are at all-time highs. The retail travel sector is facing never-before-seen challenges amid the coronavirus pandemic. And unbelievably this has all come to a head in just a few short weeks.

Not surprising then that the last thing any travel agent wants to hear from a client right now is ‘forget the voucher, I want a refund’.

Thankfully unprecedented times call for unprecedented measures and in recent days both the Canadian Transportation Agency (CTA) and the Ontario government have come out with new directives in favour of vouchers / future travel credits, aimed at mitigating the impact of the hundreds of thousands of cancellations brought on by the coronavirus pandemic.

Travel agents understand that clients want refunds. They also understand that if suppliers and retailers are on the hook for refunds amid a global health crisis, with all of the worldwide border closures and travel restrictions that have followed in its wake, then an entire industry will be on the brink of collapse.

Some say travel advisors who have been in the habit of taking the client’s side in any dispute with a supplier may have to think twice before doing that this time, adding that there’s a fine line to be walked, between client and supplier, if the advisor’s relationship with both is to survive this pandemic.

Agents facing a financial hit with commission recalls or worse when clients pursue refunds or credit card chargebacks say they’re using every skill at their disposal, from tact to tough talk, to deal with refund requests.

“SUPPLIERS HAVE BEEN VERY GENEROUS, ESPECIALLY THE CRUISE LINES”

Uniglobe Travel’s Michelle Whalen knows first-hand the disappointment of missing out on a trip. Her own anniversary cruise was cancelled amid the coronavirus pandemic. “I see all these suppliers and their staff layoffs and partners I’ve come to have a good rapport with, they will lose their jobs. The Caribbean countries who rely on tourism dollars. My heart goes out to them. I’m not in any way going to demand a refund.”

Whalen says most of her clients are willing to accept vouchers and even happier when they saw that some suppliers were extending the expiry date to up to 24

months. “Many of my clients are keen travellers and will be travelling again at some point. Suppliers have been very generous, especially the cruise lines.”

The downside of the vouchers is that the pricing, itinerary and availability “may not be as desirable” as when clients first booked. Explaining the situation to a client, Whalen says: “I gently said I know it’s disappointing you’re not getting the same trip next time at the same price but they are being flexible, they’re offering a 10% bonus.”

Whalen adds: “I explained that [suppliers] can’t possibly refund everyone’s money at once or the companies would be bankrupt making future travel even more difficult. I’ve tried to highlight to clients that it’s not about the almighty dollar – these suppliers really do care about helping people.”

[More news: Air Canada to reduce capacity and temporarily reduce workforce in Q2](#)

“Airline staff, tour operator staff are experiencing layoffs just like my clients’ workplaces – they have bills to pay, families to feed as well.”

“NONE CONTEMPLATED THE WORLDWIDE MASS FLIGHT CANCELLATIONS”

The small but vocal minority of travellers pursuing refunds has made its case for refunds in consumer media and Facebook groups. Earlier this week came word of a class action suit against airlines including Air Canada, WestJet, Swoop, Sunwing and Transat.

On March 25 the Canadian Transportation Agency waded into the fray, issuing a special statement saying that while specific cases may get further analysis, in general, vouchers are appropriate in these extraordinary circumstances.

The CTA added that legislation, regulations, and tariffs currently on the books “were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic.”

Striking a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines’ operational realities is key, said the CTA.

COMP FUND IS STILL OUT OF DATE AND INADEQUATE: ACTA

This week in Ontario the provincial government announced a number of regulatory amendments to the Travel Industry Act, 2002. In addition to measures aimed at reducing the burden on TICO registrants, the updates include a time-limited exemption that would allow registrants to choose to provide only a voucher in cases where a supplier failed to provide travel services. Also new, eligibility for Comp Fund reimbursements will be expanded to cover consumers with vouchers who do not receive their travel services, potentially due to the failure of a registrant.

ACTA applauded the moves, while cautioning that the funding model for the Comp Fund is still out of date and inadequate. “The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the vulnerability of the significantly inadequate Fund, and as such, ACTA will continue to lobby for recommended changes for the benefit of Ontario travel agencies, and the consumers they represent,” says ACTA President Wendy Paradis.

“IT IS NOT POSSIBLE FOR OUR SUPPLIERS TO ABSORB THESE LOSSES”

Tripcentral.ca President Richard Vanderlubbe says: “While it is understandable that customers are expecting refunds, and from a ‘moral’ point of view, they have paid for something that they have not received, this is not how the global travel industry functions.”

Vanderlubbe, who served on the TICO board for many years and who is a long-time advocate for modernizing the Comp Fund, adds: “It is not possible for our suppliers to absorb these losses, and if they were required to provide refunds, it would bankrupt most of them. Bankrupt suppliers will cause cascading losses for travel agencies due to non-payment of outstanding commissions, and damage future travel plans on the books. Further, bankruptcies will hurt us all by reducing consumer confidence.”

More news: [Holland America CEO speaks out as Zaandam, Rotterdam still stranded](#)

For this reason, he says, “we support suppliers policies of future travel credit, and point out that the federal government, TICO and others are supporting. The

best thing for our customers and the industry is that all of our businesses remain solvent.”

“YOU HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO PURCHASE CANCELLATION INSURANCE ... AND YOU DECLINED TO DO SO”

A letter that Vanderlubbe and his team have ready for any client making persistent refund requests or launching credit card chargebacks is strongly worded but fair, and explains the situation from the retailer’s side. The letter cites the CTA statement and reads, in part: “We too are experiencing financial damage from the COVID19 pandemic, paying our staff for more than 5 weeks now with little or no revenue coming, in order to help our customers return home, process future travel credits, and we will be re-booking for months later.”

The letter also notes: “The Federal Government has issued a plain language statement which you can read from the link below [<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>] that states that, as far as the air travellers protection regime goes, it was never intended to cover acts of God, or a force majeure situation. In short, they state that a future travel credit for 2 years is sufficient compensation under this circumstance.

“Further, the Travel Industry Council of Ontario, that administers the Ontario Travel Industry Act, has issued a statement that ‘under Ontario law, there is no requirement for a travel company to refund or offer alternative travel services if a government travel advisory is in effect’. In short, our suppliers are not even obligated to provide a future travel credit, but they are.

“Your chargeback through your credit card is unreasonable given that you are being offered a travel credit good for two years, and that you had the opportunity to purchase cancellation insurance at the time of booking, and you declined to do so.

“We ask that you contact your credit card company and ‘reverse the chargeback request’. We need evidence of this in order to process your future travel credit.”

TICO has issued a FAQ for consumers inquiring about voucher use, a FAQ that’s helpful for retailer and supplier registrants as well. The FAQ can be found at

TICO's website at tico.ca.

Tags: [COVID-19](#), [TICO](#)

[Next Post >>](#)

This is **Exhibit “AE”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**ENFORCEMENT NOTICE REGARDING REFUNDS BY CARRIERS
GIVEN THE UNPRECEDENTED IMPACT OF THE
COVID-19 PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ON AIR TRAVEL**

The U.S. Department of Transportation’s Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Aviation Enforcement Office), a unit within the Office of the General Counsel, is issuing this notice to remind the traveling public, and U.S. and foreign carriers, operating at least one aircraft having a seating capacity of 30 or more seats, that passengers should be refunded promptly when their scheduled flights are cancelled or significantly delayed. Airlines have long provided such refunds, including during periods when air travel has been disrupted on a large scale, such as the aftermath of the September 11, 2001 attacks, Hurricane Katrina, and presidentially declared natural disasters. Although the COVID-19 public health emergency has had an unprecedented impact on air travel, the airlines’ obligation to refund passengers for cancelled or significantly delayed flights remains unchanged.

The Department is receiving an increasing number of complaints and inquiries from ticketed passengers, including many with non-refundable tickets, who describe having been denied refunds for flights that were cancelled or significantly delayed. In many of these cases, the passengers stated that the carrier informed them that they would receive vouchers or credits for future travel. But many airlines are dramatically reducing their travel schedules in the wake of the COVID-19 public health emergency. As a result, passengers are left with cancelled or significantly delayed flights and vouchers and credits for future travel that are not readily usable.

Carriers have a longstanding obligation to provide a prompt refund to a ticketed passenger when the carrier cancels the passenger’s flight or makes a significant change in the flight schedule and the passenger chooses not to accept the alternative offered by the carrier.¹ The longstanding obligation of carriers to provide refunds for flights that carriers cancel or significantly delay does not cease when the flight disruptions are outside of the carrier’s control (e.g., a result of government restrictions).² The focus is not on whether the flight disruptions are within or outside the carrier’s

¹ See Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections, 76 Fed. Reg. 23110-01, at 23129 (Apr. 25, 2011) (“We reject . . . assertions that carriers are not required to refund a passenger's fare when a flight is cancelled if the carrier can accommodate the passenger with other transportation options after the cancellation. We find it to be manifestly unfair for a carrier to fail to provide the transportation contracted for and then to refuse to provide a refund if the passenger finds the offered rerouting unacceptable (e.g., greatly delayed or otherwise inconvenient) and he or she no longer wishes to travel.”)

² U.S. Dept. of Transportation, Aviation Consumer Protection, Refunds, <https://www.transportation.gov/individuals/aviation-consumer-protection/refunds> (March 4, 2020) (“Am I Entitled to a Refund? When the airline is at fault: Passengers are often entitled to a refund of the ticket price and associated fees when the airline is at fault. . . . Cancelled Flight – A passenger is entitled to a refund if the airline cancelled a flight, regardless of the reason, and the passenger chooses not to be rebooked on a new flight on that airline. . . . Schedule Change/Significant Delay – A passenger is entitled to a refund if the airline made a significant schedule change and/or significantly delays a flight and the passenger chooses not to travel.”).

control, but rather on the fact that the cancellation is through no fault of the passenger.³ Accordingly, the Department continues to view any contract of carriage provision or airline policy that purports to deny refunds to passengers when the carrier cancels a flight, makes a significant schedule change, or significantly delays a flight to be a violation of the carriers' obligation that could subject the carrier to an enforcement action.⁴

In recognition of the fact that the COVID-19 public health emergency has had major impacts on the airline industry, the Aviation Enforcement Office will exercise its prosecutorial discretion and provide carriers an opportunity to become compliant before taking further action. Specifically, the Aviation Enforcement Office will refrain from pursuing an enforcement action against a carrier that provided passengers vouchers for future travel in lieu of refunds for cancelled or significantly delayed flights during the COVID-19 public health emergency so long as: (1) the carrier contacts, in a timely manner, the passengers provided vouchers for flights that the carrier cancelled or significantly delayed to notify those passengers that they have the option of a refund; (2) the carrier updates its refund policies and contract of carriage provisions to make clear that it provides refunds to passengers if the carrier cancels a flight or makes a significant schedule change; and (3) the carrier reviews with its personnel, including reservationists, ticket counter agents, refund personnel, and other customer service professionals, the circumstances under which refunds should be made.

The Aviation Enforcement Office will monitor airline policies and practices and take enforcement action as necessary.

Questions regarding this notice may be addressed to the Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (C-70), U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20590.

By:

Blane A. Workie
*Assistant General Counsel for
Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings*

Dated: April 3, 2020

An electronic version of this document is available at <http://www.dot.gov/airconsumer>

³ *Id.*

⁴ See Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections, 76 Fed. Reg. 23110-01, at 23129 (Apr. 25, 2011) (*citing* July 15, 1996 Industry Letter which advises carriers that “applying . . . nonrefundability/penalty provisions in situations in which the change of flight time or travel date has been necessitated by carrier action or ‘an act of god’, e.g., where the carrier cancels a flight for weather or mechanical reasons . . . is grossly unfair and it violates 49 U.S.C. 41712, as would any contract of carriage or tariff provision mandating such a result” and putting carriers on notice that the Department “will aggressively pursue any cases of this type that come to our attention”).

This is **Exhibit “AF”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature



Source: Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association

April 01, 2020 16:34 ET

Advisory: Travel cancellation insurance and airline vouchers or credits

TORONTO, April 01, 2020 (GLOBE NEWSWIRE) -- Some travel insurance policies provide coverage that may pay for costs that consumers cannot recover when trips are cancelled. In past, travel service providers usually provided consumers with refunds where the service provider was unable to provide service. Over the past month, many service providers have changed this practice and are now offering vouchers or credits that consumers can use for future travel.

On March 25, 2020, the Canadian Transportation Agency updated its endorsement of the use of vouchers or credits as an appropriate approach for Canada's airlines as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time.

Travel insurers are advising policyholders that if you have been offered this type of full credit, or voucher for future use by an airline, train or other travel provider, in many instances, under the terms of your insurance policy you will not be considered to have suffered an insurable loss.

Customers are encouraged to consider the above and review the terms of your policy prior to submitting a claim for trip cancellation coverage. You should also check your insurer's website for guidance that may be posted. Each insurer will assess the particulars of each circumstance in accordance with the terms and conditions of your policy.

Disputes over refunds and credits should be directed to your travel service provider, transportation carrier or the Canadian Transportation Agency.

You can find the contact information for your insurer in your contract or at: <https://www.olhi.ca/for-insurers/member-list/>

About the CLHIA

The CLHIA is a voluntary association whose member companies account for 99 per cent of Canada's life and health insurance business. The industry provides a wide range of financial security products such as life insurance, annuities (including RRSPs, RRIFs and pensions) and supplementary health insurance to almost 29 million Canadians. It

also holds over \$850 billion in assets in Canada and employs more than 156,000 Canadians.

For more information:

Kevin Dorse
Assistant Vice President, Strategic Communications and Public Affairs
(613) 691-6001 / kdorse@clhia.ca

This is **Exhibit “AG”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Halifax, NS

lukacs@AirPassengerRights.ca



AIR
PASSENGER
RIGHTS

March 30, 2020

VIA EMAIL and FAX

Canadian Transportation Agency

Dear Madam or Sir:

Re: “Statement on Vouchers” – Cease and Desist

It has come to our attention that on or around March 25, 2020, the Canadian Transportation Agency [CTA] published a “Statement on Vouchers” on its website purporting to offer unsolicited opinions on an ongoing controversy between passengers and airlines:

<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

Said statement creates the false impression of a legally binding determination by the CTA, and misleads consumers about their rights. Indeed, it has been represented and/or used in such a manner by various air carriers in their dealings with passengers.

This is unacceptable.

We request that the CTA remove the aforementioned statement from its website without delay and inform the public that the statement is not a legal ruling whatsoever, by no later than **Tuesday, March 31, 2020** at noon Eastern Time.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours very truly,



Dr. Gábor Lukács

Enclosed: “Statement on Vouchers”

<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>

3/30/20, 4:07 PM



[Home](#)

Statement on Vouchers

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the *Canada Transportation Act* and *Air Passenger Protection Regulations* only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in force majeure situations.

The legislation, regulations, and tariffs were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic. It's important to consider how to strike a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines' operational realities in these extraordinary and unprecedented circumstances.

On the one hand, passengers who have no prospect of completing their planned itineraries with an airline's assistance should not simply be out-of-pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

The CTA will continue to provide information, guidance, and services to passengers and airlines as we make our way through this challenging period.

[Share this page](#)

Date modified:
2020-03-25

This is **Exhibit “AH”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

RE: "Statement of Vouchers" -- Cease and Desist

secretariat <Secretariat.Secretariat@otc-cta.gc.ca> Mon, Mar 30, 2020 at 5:35 PM
To: Gabor Lukacs <lukacs@airpassengerrights.ca>
Cc: "Services Juridiques / Legal Services (OTC/CTA)" <Servicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca>, Scott Streiner <Scott.Streiner@otc-cta.gc.ca>

The Agency acknowledges receipt of your submission.

Your submission will be reviewed and you will be contacted if it is incomplete or otherwise inadequate.

Kind regards,

Secrétariat
Office des transports du Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
secretariat@otc-cta.gc.ca / Site Web www.otc-cta.gc.ca
Tél. : 819-997-7047 / Télécopieur 819-953-5253 / ATS : 1-800-669-5575

Secretariat
Canadian Transportation Agency / Government of Canada
secretariat@otc-cta.gc.ca / Web site www.otc-cta.gc.ca
Tel: 819-997-7047 / Facsimile 819-953-5253 / TTY: 1-800-669-5575

-----Original Message-----

From: Gabor Lukacs <lukacs@AirPassengerRights.ca>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 3:43 PM
To: secretariat <Secretariat.Secretariat@otc-cta.gc.ca>; Services Juridiques / Legal Services (OTC/CTA) <Servicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca>; Scott Streiner <Scott.Streiner@otc-cta.gc.ca>
Subject: "Statement of Vouchers" -- Cease and Desist

Dear Madam or Sir,

Please refer to the attached letter.

Yours very truly,
Dr. Gabor Lukacs

--

Dr. Gabor Lukacs, Founder and Coordinator
Air Passenger Rights
Tel : (647) 724 1727
Web : <http://AirPassengerRights.ca>
Twitter : @AirPassRightsCA
Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/AirPassengerRights/>

This is **Exhibit “AI”** to the Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács
affirmed before me on April 7, 2020

“Simon Lin”

Signature

Court File No.:

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTS

Applicant

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Respondent

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

TO THE RESPONDENT:

A PROCEEDING HAS BEEN COMMENCED by the Applicant. The relief claimed by the Applicant appears on the following page.

THIS APPLICATION will be heard by the Court at a time and place to be fixed by the Judicial Administrator. Unless the Court orders otherwise, the place of hearing will be as requested by the Applicant. The Applicant requests that this application be heard at the Federal Court of Appeal in **Vancouver, British Columbia**.

IF YOU WISH TO OPPOSE THIS APPLICATION, to receive notice of any step in the application or to be served with any documents in the application, you or a solicitor acting for you must prepare a notice of appearance in Form 305 prescribed by the *Federal Courts Rules* and serve it on the Applicant's solicitor, or where the applicant is self-represented, on the Applicant, **WITHIN 10 DAYS** after being served with this notice of application.

Copies of the *Federal Courts Rules*, information concerning the local offices of the Court and other necessary information may be obtained on request to the Administrator of this Court at Ottawa (telephone 613-992-4238) or at any local office.

**IF YOU FAIL TO OPPOSE THIS APPLICATION, JUDGMENT MAY BE GIVEN
IN YOUR ABSENCE AND WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU.**

Date: April 6, 2020

Issued by: _____

Address of
local office: Federal Court of Appeal
90 Sparks Street, 5th floor
Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0H9

TO: CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

APPLICATION

This is an application for judicial review pursuant to section 28 of the *Federal Courts Act* in respect of two public statements issued on or about March 25, 2020 by the Canadian Transportation Agency [**Agency**], entitled “Statement on Vouchers” [**Statement**] and the “Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19” page [**COVID-19 Agency Page**] that cites the Statement.

These public statements, individually or collectively, purport to provide an unsolicited advance ruling on how the Agency will treat and rule upon complaints of passengers about refunds from air carriers relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Statement was issued without hearing the perspective of passengers whatsoever.

The Applicant makes application for:

1. a declaration that:
 - (a) the Agency’s Statement **is not** a decision, order, determination, or any other ruling of the Agency and has no force or effect of law;
 - (b) the issuance of the Statement on or about March 25, 2020, referencing of the Statement within the COVID-19 Agency Page, and the subsequent distribution of those publications is contrary to the Agency’s own *Code of Conduct* and/or gives rise to a reasonable apprehension of bias for:
 - i. the Agency as a whole, or
 - ii. alternatively, the appointed members of the Agency who supported the Statement;
 - (c) further, the Agency, or alternatively the appointed members of the Agency who supported the Statement, exceeded and/or lost its (their) jurisdiction under the *Canada Transportation Act*, S.C. 1996, c. 10 to rule upon any complaints of passengers about refunds from carriers relating to the COVID-19 pandemic;

2. an interim order (*ex-parte*) that:
 - (a) upon service of this Court's interim order, the Agency shall prominently post the interim clarification (below) at the top portion of both the French and English versions of the "Statement on Vouchers" [**Statement**] and the "Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19" page [**COVID-19 Agency Page**] (both defined in paragraphs 11-12 of the Notice of Application):

The Canadian Transportation Agency's "Statement on Vouchers" is not a decision, order, determination, or any legal ruling of the Canadian Transportation Agency. It does **not** have the force of law. The "Statement on Vouchers" is currently pending judicial review by the Federal Court of Appeal. This notice is posted by Order [insert URL link to PDF of order] of the Federal Court of Appeal.;
 - (b) starting from the date of service of this Court's interim order, the Agency shall bring the above interim clarification to the attention of anyone that contacts the Agency with a formal complaint and/or informal inquiry regarding air carriers' refusal to refund arising from the COVID-19 pandemic;
 - (c) the Agency shall not issue any decision, order, determination, or any other ruling with respect to refunds from air carriers in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic; and
 - (d) this interim order is valid for fourteen days from the date of service of this Court's interim order on the Agency, and may be renewed by the Applicant under Rule 374(2);
3. an interlocutory order that:
 - (a) the Agency shall forthwith completely remove the Statement from the Agency's website including any references to the Statement within the COVID-19 Agency Page and substitute it with this Court's interlocutory order, or alternatively the order renewing the interim clarification (subparagraph 2(a) above), until final disposition of the Application;

- (b) the interim orders in subparagraphs 1(b)-(c) above are maintained until final disposition of the Application;
 - (c) the Agency shall forthwith communicate with persons that the Agency has previously communicated with regarding the Statement and bring those persons' attention to this Court's interlocutory order and the removal or clarification of the Statement; and
 - (d) the Agency shall forthwith communicate with air carriers under the Agency's jurisdiction, the Association of Canadian Travel Agencies, and Travel Pulse and bring those persons' attention to this Court's interlocutory order and the removal or clarification of the Statement;
4. a permanent order that:
- (a) the Agency prominently post at the top portion of the COVID-19 Agency Page that the Agency's Statement has been ordered to be removed by this Court;
 - (b) the Agency remove the Statement, and references to the Statement within the COVID-19 Agency Page, from its website and replace the Statement with a copy of this Court's judgment;
 - (c) in the event the Agency receives any formal complaint or informal inquiry regarding air carriers' refusal to refund in respect of the COVID-19 pandemic, promptly and prominently inform the complainant of this Court's judgment; and
 - (d) the Agency, or alternatively the appointed members of the Agency who supported the Statement, be enjoined from dealing with any complaints involving air carriers' refusal to refund passengers in respect of the COVID-19 pandemic, and enjoined from issuing any decision, order, determination or any other ruling with respect to refunds from air carriers for the COVID-19 pandemic;
5. costs and/or reasonable out-of-pocket expenses of this Application; and

6. such further and other relief or directions as the Applicant may request and this Honourable Court deems just.

The grounds for the application are as follows:

A. Overview

1. The present Application challenges the illegality of the Canadian Transportation Agency's Statement, which purports to provide an unsolicited advance ruling in favour of air carriers without having heard the perspective of passengers beforehand.
2. The Statement and the COVID-19 Agency Page preemptively suggest that the Agency is leaning heavily towards permitting the issuance of vouchers in lieu of refunds. They further suggest that the Agency will very likely dismiss passengers' complaints to the Agency for air carriers' failure to refund during the COVID-19 pandemic, irrespective of the reason for flight cancellation.
3. Despite the Agency having already determined in a number of binding legal decisions throughout the years that passengers have a fundamental right to a refund in cases where the passengers could not travel for events outside of their control, the Agency now purports to grant air carriers a blanket immunity from the law via the Statement, without even first hearing passengers' submissions or perspective as to why a refund is **mandated** by law. This is inappropriate.
4. The Agency, as a quasi-judicial tribunal, must at all times act with impartiality. That impartiality, unfortunately, has clearly been lost, as demonstrated by the Agency's issuance of the unsolicited Statement and usage thereof.
5. The fundamental precept of our justice system is that "*justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done*" (*R. v. Yumnu*, 2012 SCC 73 at para. 39). This fundamental precept leaves no room for any exception, even during difficult times like the COVID-19 pandemic.
6. Impartiality is further emphasized in the Agency's own *Code of Conduct* stipulating that the appointed members of the Agency shall not express an opinion on potential cases.

B. The COVID-19 Pandemic

7. The coronavirus [COVID-19] is a highly contagious virus that originated from the province of Hubei in the Peoples Republic of China, and began spreading outside of the Peoples Republic of China on or around January 2020.
8. On or about March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a global pandemic.
9. On or about March 13, 2020, the Government of Canada issued a blanket travel advisory against non-essential travel outside of Canada until further notice and restricting entry of foreign nationals into Canada, akin to a “declaration of war” against COVID-19, and that those in Canada should remain at home unless absolutely necessary to be outside of their homes [**Declaration**].
10. COVID-19 has disrupted air travel to, from, and within Canada. The disruption was brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic and/or the Declaration, such as:
 - (a) closure of borders by a number of countries, resulting in cancellation of flights by air carriers;
 - (b) passengers adhering strictly to government travel advisories (such as the Declaration) and refraining from air travel (and other forms of travel) unless absolutely necessary; and
 - (c) air carriers cancelling flights on their own initiative to save costs, in anticipation of a decrease in demand for air travel.

C. The Agency’s Actions in Relation to COVID-19, Including the “Statement on Vouchers”

11. Since March 13, 2020 and up to the date of filing this Application, the Agency has taken a number of steps in relation to COVID-19. Those listed in the four sub-paragraphs below are **not** the subject of review in this Application.
 - (a) **On March 13, 2020**, the Agency issued [Determination No. A-2020-42](#) providing, *inter alia*, that various obligations under the *Air Passen-*

ger Protection Regulations, SOR/2019-150 [APPR] are suspended until April 30, 2020:

- i. Compensation for Delays and Inconvenience for those that travel: compensation to passengers for inconvenience has been reduced and/or relaxed (an air carrier's obligation imposed under paragraphs 19(1)(a) and 19(1)(b) of the *APPR*);
 - ii. Compensation for Inconvenience to those that do not travel: the air carrier's obligation, under subsection 19(2) of the *APPR* to pay compensation for inconvenience to passengers who opted to obtain a refund instead of alternative travel arrangement, if the flight delay or the flight cancellation is communicated to passengers more than 72 hours before the departure time indicated on the passengers' original ticket; and
 - iii. Obligation to Rebook Passengers on Other Carriers: the air carrier's obligation, under paragraphs 17(1)(a)(ii), 17(1)(a)(iii), and 18(1)(a)(ii) of the *APPR*.
- (b) **On or about March 25, 2020**, the Agency issued [Determination No. A-2020-47](#) extending the exemptions under Decision No. A-2020-42 (above) to June 30, 2020. This Determination further exempted air carriers from responding to compensation requests within 30 days (s. 19(4) of *APPR*). Instead, air carriers would be permitted to respond to compensation requests 120 days *after* June 30, 2020 (e.g. October 28, 2020).
 - (c) **On or about March 18, 2020**, the Agency issued [Order No. 2020-A-32](#), suspending **all** dispute proceedings until April 30, 2020.
 - (d) **On or about March 25, 2020**, the Agency issued [Order No. 2020-A-37](#), extending the suspension (above) to June 30, 2020.
12. On or about March 25, 2020, almost concurrently with the Order and Determination on the same date (above), the Agency publicly posted the Statement on its website (**French:** <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/fra/message-concernant-credits>; **En-**

glish: <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>) providing that:

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused major disruptions in domestic and international air travel.

For flight disruptions that are outside an airline's control, the Canada Transportation Act and Air Passenger Protection Regulations only require that the airline ensure passengers can complete their itineraries. Some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in force majeure situations.

The legislation, regulations, and tariffs were developed in anticipation of relatively localized and short-term disruptions. None contemplated the sorts of worldwide mass flight cancellations that have taken place over recent weeks as a result of the pandemic. It's important to consider how to strike a fair and sensible balance between passenger protection and airlines' operational realities in these extraordinary and unprecedented circumstances.

On the one hand, passengers who have no prospect of completing their planned itineraries with an airline's assistance should not simply be out-of-pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability.

While any specific situation brought before the CTA will be examined on its merits, the CTA believes that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time (24 months would be considered reasonable in most cases).

The CTA will continue to provide information, guidance, and services to passengers and airlines as we make our way through this challenging period.

13. On or about March 25, 2020, concurrently with the Statement, the Agency posted an amendment to the COVID-19 Agency Page on its website, adding four references to the Statement (French: **Information importante pour les voyageurs pour la période de la COVID-19** [<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/fra/information->

[importante-pour-voyageurs-pour-periode-covid-19](https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/important-information-travellers-during-covid-19)]; English: **Important Information for Travellers During COVID-19** [<https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/important-information-travellers-during-covid-19>]).

14. The COVID-19 Agency Page cites and purports to apply the Statement in the context of an air carrier's legal obligation in three circumstances: (1) situations outside airline control (including COVID-19 situations); (2) situations within airline control; and (3) situations within airline control, but required for safety.
15. In effect, the COVID-19 Agency Page purports to have relieved air carriers from providing passengers with refunds in practically **every** imaginable scenario for cancellation of flight(s), contrary to the Agency's own jurisprudence and the minimum passenger protections under the *APPR*.

D. Jurisprudence on Refunds for Passengers

16. Since 2004, in a number of decisions, the Agency confirmed passengers' fundamental right to a refund when, for whatever reason, an air carrier is unable to provide the air transportation, including those outside of the air carrier's control:
 - (a) *Re: Air Transat*, [Decision No. 28-A-2004](#);
 - (b) *Lukács v. Porter*, [Decision No. 344-C-A-2013](#), para. 88;
 - (c) *Lukács v. Sunwing*, [Decision No. 313-C-A-2013](#), para. 15; and
 - (d) *Lukács v. Porter*, [Decision No. 31-C-A-2014](#), paras. 33 and 137.
17. The Agency's jurisprudence was entirely consistent with the common law doctrine of frustration, the civil law doctrine of *force majeure*, and, most importantly, common sense.
18. The *APPR*, which has been in force since 2019, merely provides **minimum** protection to passengers. The *APPR* does not negate or overrule the passengers' fundamental right to a refund for cancellations in situations outside of a carrier's control.
19. Furthermore, the COVID-19 Agency Page also suggests that the Statement *would* apply to cancellations that are within airline control, or within airline control but required for safety purposes, squarely contradicting the provisions

of subsection 17(7) of the *APPR*. Subsection 17(7) clearly mandates that any refund be in the original form of payment, leaving no room for the novel idea of issuing a voucher or credit.

20. Finally, whether an air carrier's flight cancellation could be characterized as outside their control, or within their control, remains to be seen. For example, if a cancellation was to save costs in light of shrinking demand, it may be considered a situation within an air carrier's control. However, the Statement and the COVID-19 Agency Page presuppose that **any and all** cancellations at this time should be considered outside an air carrier's control.
21. The combined effect of the Statement and the COVID-19 Agency Page purports to ignore decade old and firmly established jurisprudence of the Agency. This all occurred without any formal hearing, adjudication, determination, or otherwise, or even a single legal submission or input from the passengers.
22. As described further below, the Agency does not even outline its legal basis or provide any support for those public statements.
23. The Agency's public statements are tantamount to endorsing air carriers in illegally withholding the passengers' monies, all without having to provide the services that were contracted for. The air carriers all seek to then issue vouchers with varying expiry dates and usage conditions to every passenger, effectively depriving all the passengers of their fundamental right to a refund, which is a right the Agency itself firmly recognized.

E. The Agency's Conduct Gives Rise to a Reasonable Apprehension of Bias

24. The Agency is a quasi-judicial tribunal that is subject to the same rules of impartiality that apply to courts and judges of the courts.
25. Tribunals, like courts, speak through their legal judgments and not media postings or "statements."
26. The Statement and/or the COVID-19 Agency Page is not a legal judgment. They give an informed member of the public the perception that it would be more

likely than not that the Agency, or the members that supported the Statement, will not be able to fairly decide the issue of refunds relating to COVID-19.

27. The Agency has already stipulated a general rule, outside the context of a legal judgment, that refunds need not be provided. No support was provided for this radical departure from the fundamental rights of passengers. The Agency merely provided a bald assertion or conclusion that passengers are not entitled to any refund.
28. The Agency's own Code of Conduct expressly prohibits members of the Agency from expressing an opinion about potential cases or any other issue related to the Agency's work, or comments that may create a reasonable apprehension of bias:

(40) Members **shall not publicly express an opinion about any past, current, or potential cases or any other issue related to the work of the Agency**, and shall refrain from comments or discussions in public or otherwise that may create a reasonable apprehension of bias.

[Emphasis added.]

29. Although neither the Statement, nor the COVID-19 Agency Page, contain the signature or names of any specific member of the Agency, given the circumstances and considering the Agency's own Code of Conduct providing that the professional civilian staff's role are to **fully** implement the appointed member(s)' directions, the Statement and the COVID-19 Agency Page ought to be attributed to the member(s) who supported the Statement either before or after its posting on the internet.
30. In these circumstances, the Court must proactively step in to protect the passengers, to ensure that "justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done," and to ensure that the administration of justice is not put to disrepute.
31. The Court ought to issue an interim, interlocutory, and/or permanent order restricting the Agency's involvement with passengers' COVID-19 related refunds against air carriers.

F. The Applicant

32. The Applicant is a non-profit corporation under the *Canada Not-for-profit Corporations Act*, SC 2009 that is an advocacy group representing the rights of air passengers.
33. Air Passenger Rights is led by a Canadian air passenger rights advocate, Dr. Gábor Lukács, whose work and public interest litigation has been recognized by this Honourable Court in a number of judgments:
- (a) *International Air Transport Assn et al. v. AGC et al.* (Federal Court of Appeal File No. A-311-19, Order of Near J.A., dated March 3, 2020) that:
- [...] the Court is of the view that the case engages the public interest, that the proposed intervener [Dr. Gábor Lukács] would defend the interests of airline passengers in a way that the parties [the Agency, the Attorney General of Canada, and an airlines trade association] cannot, that the interests of justice favour allowing the proposed intervention in the appeal, and that the proposed intervention would be of assistance to the Court in deciding the appeal [...]
- (b) *Lukács v. Canada (Transportation Agency)* [2016 FCA 174 at para. 6](#);
- (c) *Lukács v. Canada (Transport, Infrastructure and Communities)*, [2015 FCA 269 at para. 43](#);
- (d) *Lukács v. Canada (Transport, Infrastructure and Communities)*, [2015 FCA 140 at para. 1](#); and
- (e) *Lukács v. Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2014 FCA 76 at para. 62](#).

G. Statutory provisions

34. The Applicant will also rely on the following statutory provisions:
- (a) *Canada Transportation Act*, S.C. 1996, c. 10 and, in particular, sections

25, 37, and 85.1;

- (b) *Federal Courts Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. F-7, and in particular, sections 18.1, 18.2, 28, and 44; and
- (c) *Federal Courts Rules*, S.O.R./98-106, and in particular, Rules 300, 369, and 372-374; and

35. Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court permits.

This application will be supported by the following material:

1. Affidavit of Dr. Gábor Lukács, to be served.
2. Such further and additional materials as the Applicant may advise and this Honourable Court may allow.

The Applicant requests the Canadian Transportation Agency to send a certified copy of the following material that is not in the possession of the Applicant but is in the possession of the Canadian Transportation Agency to the Registry and to the Applicant:

1. Complete and unredacted copies of all correspondences, meetings, notes, and/or documents involving the appointed members of the Agency relating to the Statement and/or issuance of vouchers or credits in relation to the COVID-19 incident, including both before and after publication of the Statement;
2. The number of times the URLs for the Statements were accessed (**French:** <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/fra/message-concernant-credits>; **English:** <https://otc-cta.gc.ca/eng/statement-vouchers>) from March 24, 2020 onward;
3. Complete and unredacted copies of all correspondences, meetings, notes, and/or documents between the Canadian Transportation Agency and the travel industry (including but not limited to any travel agencies, commercial airlines, industry groups, etc.) from February 15, 2020 to the present in respect to issuing of credits, coupons, or vouchers to passengers in lieu of a refund for travel affected

by COVID-19; and

4. Complete and unredacted copies of all correspondences, e-mails, and/or complaints that the Agency received from passengers between February 15, 2020 to the present in respect to issuing of credits, coupons, or vouchers to passengers in lieu of a refund for travel affected by COVID-19.

April 6, 2020

“Simon Lin”

SIMON LIN

Evolink Law Group

4388 Still Creek Drive, Suite 237

Burnaby, British Columbia, V5C 6C6

Tel: 604-620-2666

Fax: 888-509-8168

simonlin@evolinklaw.com

**Counsel for the Applicant,
Air Passenger Rights**

Court File No.: A-102-20

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTSApplicant
(Responding Party)

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCYRespondent
(Moving Party)

**WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS OF THE MOVING PARTY,
CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY**
(Motion to Strike)

Allan Matte
Senior Counsel
Legal Services Directorate
Canadian Transportation Agency
15 Eddy Street, 19th Floor
Gatineau, Quebec
K1A 0N9

Tel: 819-953-0611

Fax: 819-953-9269

Allan.Matte@otc-cta.gc.caServicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca

TO: Registrar
Federal Court of Appeal

AND TO: **SIMON LIN**
Evolink Law Group
4388 Still Creek Drive, Suite 237
Burnaby, B.C.
V5C 6C6
Email: simonlin@evolinklaw.com

Counsel for the Applicant (Responding Party)

c.c.: Applicant, lukacs@airpassengerrights.ca

Table of Contents

PART I – OVERVIEW & STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
A. Overview	1
B. Statement of Facts	2
The Agency	2
The Applicant	3
The Statement on Vouchers	3
PART II – POINTS IN ISSUE	5
PART III – STATEMENT OF SUBMISSIONS	5
A. Jurisdiction to Strike an Application.....	6
B. The Statements are not subject to Judicial Review.....	6
C. Conclusion	9
PART IV – RELIEF SOUGHT	9
PART V – LIST OF AUTHORITIES	10
A. Appendix A - Statutes and Regulations	10
B. Appendix B - Case Law	10
C. Other Documents	10

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

AIR PASSENGER RIGHTSApplicant
(Responding Party)

– and –

CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCYRespondent
(Moving Party)

**WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS OF THE MOVING PARTY,
CANADIAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY**
(Motion to Strike)

PART I – OVERVIEW & STATEMENT OF FACTS**A. Overview**

1. The Applicant challenges a statement (Statement on Vouchers) that has been published on the website of the Canadian Transportation Agency (Agency). The Statement on Vouchers suggests that a reasonable approach may be for airlines to provide passengers with vouchers when flights are cancelled as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Also challenged is a webpage dealing with issues surrounding travel and the COVID-19 pandemic (COVID-19 page) which references the Statement on Vouchers (collectively, the statements).
2. Judicial review is only available when administrative action affects legal rights, imposes legal obligations or causes prejudicial effects. The Applicant's very position, with which the Agency agrees, is that the Statement on Vouchers is not a binding decision and therefore has no legal effect. The inevitable conclusion which flows from this concession is that judicial review is not available in this case.

3. The Applicant brought a motion, initially on an *ex parte* basis, seeking an *interim* injunction arising from the statements on the Agency's website which was dismissed by Pelletier J.A.¹ The Court then dealt with the Applicant's motion for an *interlocutory* injunction arising from the statements on notice to the Agency which was also dismissed. In dismissing the motion for an interlocutory injunction, Mactavish J.A. found that the statements do not affect rights, impose legal obligations, or cause prejudicial effects on either the Applicant or airline passengers. As a result, Justice Mactavish concluded that the statements being challenged in the application are not amenable to judicial review.²
4. Despite concluding that judicial review is not available, the Court declined to dismiss the application when dismissing the Applicant's motion for an interlocutory injunction. The Court refused to dismiss the application because no formal motion to dismiss was before it, and because such a decision would have to be made by a panel of judges, rather than a single judge.³
5. This motion is therefore in follow-up to the Court's decision determining that the subject of this application is not amenable to judicial review. The application for judicial review should be struck.

B. Statement of Facts

The Agency

6. The Agency is Canada's longest-standing independent, quasi-judicial tribunal and regulator. It has a broad mandate in respect of all transportation matters under the legislative authority of Parliament. The Agency performs two key functions. First, in its role as a quasi-judicial tribunal, it resolves commercial and consumer transportation-related disputes. Second, the Agency functions as a regulator, making regulations and determinations, and issuing

¹ *Air Passenger Rights v Canada (Transportation Agency)* (9 April 2020), Ottawa, ON FCA Court File No.: A-102-20 (*ex parte* interim injunction).

² *Air Passenger Rights v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2020 FCA 92](#) at paras 20, 24 and 27 [*APR v CTA*].

³ *Ibid* at para 39.

licenses and permits to carriers that are within the ambit of Parliament's authority. In both roles, the Agency may be called upon to deal with matters of significant complexity.⁴

The Applicant

7. The Applicant is a non-profit organization which has as its stated purpose to engage in advocacy for air passengers. It was formed in May of 2019. The President is Mr. Gabor Lukacs, who has previously filed numerous complaints with the Agency regarding the rights of passengers.⁵
8. Mr. Lukacs is a mathematician by trade and is also a frequent litigator before the courts. He has filed numerous appeals and applications with the courts involving air passenger rights,⁶ issues related to access to information,⁷ and academic matters.⁸ He has filed proceedings solely in his own name⁹ and has filed as a co-applicant with someone affected by the issue which is the subject of the complaint.¹⁰ This is the first proceeding of which the Agency is aware which has been filed under the name Air Passenger Rights.

The Statement on Vouchers

9. This latest proceeding involves a statement posted on the Agency's website. As a result of the major disruptions in domestic and international air travel as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Agency posted a statement on its website dealing with flight cancellations. The Statement on Vouchers noted that some airlines' tariffs provide for refunds in certain cases, but may have clauses that airlines believe relieve them of such obligations in *force majeure* situations. It was noted that passengers who have no prospect of completing their

⁴ *Lukacs v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2014 FCA 76](#) at paras 50-52 [*Lukacs v CTA 2014*].

⁵ Affidavit of Gabor Lukacs, affirmed April 7, 2020 at paras 2, 19-21, Motion Record of the Moving Party dated April 7, 2020, Volume 1, Tab 2 [*Lukacs Affidavit*].

⁶ *Ibid* at para 5.

⁷ *Lukacs v Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada*, [2015 FC 267](#) [NSERCC]; *Lukacs v Canada (Transport, Infrastructure and Communities)*, [2015 FCA 140](#); *Lukacs v Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness* Court File No.: T-321-20; *Lukacs v Chairperson of the CTA* Court File No.: T-516-19.

⁸ *Lukacs v Doering et al.*, [2011 MBQB 203](#) [*Doering*].

⁹ i.e. *Lukacs v CTA 2014*, *supra*, note 4.

¹⁰ *Johnson and Lukacs v Canadian Transportation Agency et al.* Court File No. 16-A-17; *Brown and Lukacs v Canadian Transportation Agency*, [2020 CanLII 26441 \(SCC\)](#).

planned itineraries with an airline's assistance should not simply be out of pocket for the cost of cancelled flights. On the other hand, it was noted that airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to take steps that could threaten their economic viability. The Statement on Vouchers makes clear that each specific situation brought before the Agency would be examined on the merits, but suggested that, generally speaking, an appropriate approach in the context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel, as long as these vouchers did not expire in an unreasonably short period of time.¹¹

10. The Agency also posted a page on its website dedicated to matters related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The COVID-19 page makes reference to the Statement on Vouchers and includes a link thereto.¹²
11. The Applicant brought this application for judicial review of the Agency's Statement on Vouchers and the COVID-19 page.¹³ The Applicant argues that the statements purport to relieve air carriers from having to provide passenger refunds where flights are cancelled for reasons related to the pandemic. The Applicant also argues that these statements are tantamount to an unsolicited advance Agency ruling on future passenger complaints seeking refunds for flights cancelled for reasons related to the COVID-19 pandemic, which would create a reasonable apprehension that Agency Members will not deal with passenger complaints fairly.¹⁴
12. However, the Applicant concedes that the statements are not decisions, determinations, orders or legally-binding rulings on the part of the Agency.¹⁵ Despite this concession, the Applicant continues to pursue this application.

¹¹ Affidavit of Gabor Lukacs, [Statement on Vouchers](#), dated March 23, 2020, Motion Record of the Applicant dated April 7, 2020, Volume 1, Tab 2, Exhibit "M" at 85 [*Statement on Vouchers*].

¹² *Lukacs Affidavit*, *supra* note 5 at para 47.

¹³ Notice of Application, filed April 9, 2020, Federal Court of Appeal Recorded Entries for A-102-20, Doc #1; *APR v CTA*, *supra* note 2 at para 9.

¹⁴ *APR v CTA*, *supra* note 2 at paras 10-12.

¹⁵ *Ibid* at para 21.

PART II – POINTS IN ISSUE

13. The issue in this motion is whether the application for judicial review should be struck.

PART III – STATEMENT OF SUBMISSIONS

14. This motion is a follow-up to the Court's decision dismissing the Applicant's motion for an interlocutory injunction. In the decision, the Court assessed whether the Applicant had established a strong likelihood that it will be successful in this application as part of the test for granting a mandatory interlocutory injunction. The Court noted that not every administrative action can be challenged in Court, and concluded that the Statement on Vouchers and the COVID-19 page do not affect rights, impose legal obligations or cause prejudicial effects on either the Applicant or airline passengers, and that they are therefore not amenable to judicial review. Based on this finding, the Court concluded that the Applicant had failed to establish a strong likelihood of success, and the motion for interlocutory injunctive relief was dismissed.¹⁶

15. However, despite concluding that the statements are not amenable to judicial review, the application was not dismissed. The Court declined to make such an Order in the context of the motion for an interlocutory injunction for technical reasons, because there was no formal motion before the Court for such relief, and because such a decision would have to be decided by a panel of judges.¹⁷

16. It is appropriate now that the Application be struck. This Court has concluded, and the parties are in agreement, that the administrative action being challenged in the application for judicial review, does not affect the rights, impose legal obligations or cause prejudicial effects. Because the statements have no legal effect, they cannot be made the subject for judicial review. The application should be struck.

¹⁶ *APR v CTA*, *supra* note 2 at paras 19, 24 and 27.

¹⁷ *Ibid* at para 39.

A. Jurisdiction to Strike an Application

17. The Court's jurisdiction to strike a notice of application is founded not in the *Federal Courts Rules* but in the Courts' plenary jurisdiction to restrain the misuse or abuse of courts' processes.¹⁸
18. It is recognized that motions to strike applications for judicial review are to be used sparingly. There must be a "show stopper" or a "knockout punch" – an obvious, fatal flaw striking at the root of the Court's power to entertain the application.¹⁹
19. The rationale for this approach is that judicial review proceedings are designed to proceed with celerity; motions to strike carry the potential to unduly and unnecessarily delay the expeditious determination of an application. Therefore, justice is better served by allowing the Court to deal at one time with all of the issues raised by an application.²⁰
20. However, the Supreme Court of Canada has emphasized the need for modern litigation to proceed faster and more simply.²¹ This underscores the important role that motions to strike can play in removing clearly unmeritorious cases from the Court system.²²
21. This application is precisely the type of case to which the Courts have referred when establishing principles around applications that should be struck, rather than be allowed to proceed to a full hearing. This application is an abuse of the Court's process, and, is a waste of the Court's limited resources.

B. The Statements are not subject to Judicial Review

22. The subject of the application is not reviewable by this Court. The statements are not a "matter" which can be the subject of judicial review.

¹⁸ *Forner v Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada*, [2016 FCA 35](#) at para 9 [*Forner*]; *Canada (National Revenue) v JP Morgan Asset Management (Canada) Inc.*, [2013 FCA 250](#) at para 48 [*JP Morgan*]; *NSERCC*, *supra* note 7 at para 24.

¹⁹ *Forner*, *supra* note 18 at para 9; *JP Morgan*, *supra* note 18 at para 47.

²⁰ *Tsleil-Waututh Nation v Canada (Attorney General)*, [2018 FCA 153](#) at para 140.

²¹ *Hryniak v Mauldin*, [2014 SCC 7](#) at para 34.

²² *Forner*, *supra* note 18 at para 10.

23. Subsection 18.1(1) of the *Federal Courts Act* provides that an application for judicial review may be made by the Attorney General of Canada or by anyone directly affected by the matter in respect of which relief is sought.
24. While subsection 18.1(2) of the *Federal Courts Act* refers to "decisions" and "orders", and requires that the application for judicial review be made within 30 days of the decision or order, subsection 18.1(1) refers more generally to "matter" and includes not only a "decision" or "order", but any matter in respect of which a remedy may be available under section 18 of the *Federal Courts Act*.²³
25. Despite this broad wording, the jurisprudence recognizes many situations where, by its nature or substance, an administrative body's conduct does not trigger rights to bring judicial review. One such situation is where the conduct attacked in an application for judicial review fails to affect legal rights, impose legal obligations, or cause prejudicial effects.²⁴
26. More specifically, where it is determined that a statement does not have any binding legal effect, it follows that judicial review is not available.²⁵
27. A plain reading of the Statement on Vouchers establishes that it is not a legally binding decision. It provides suggestions to industry and consumers in the extraordinary circumstances of a global pandemic.
28. In the Statement on Vouchers, the Agency identifies the issue created by the extraordinary circumstances of the COVID-19 pandemic. The risk to passengers is that an airline's tariff may not provide for a refund, or may include *force majeure* provisions upon which an airline may rely to refuse to provide a refund. The Statement on Vouchers notes that in these circumstances passengers should not be left out-of-pocket for the cost of a cancelled flight and would be unable to re-book to complete their itinerary. The statement identifies that airlines facing huge drops in passenger volumes and revenues should not be expected to

²³ *Air Canada v Toronto Port Authority et al.*, [2011 FCA 347](#) at para 24 [*AC v TPA*]; *Krause v Canada*, [1991 CanLII 9338 \(FCA\)](#), [1999] 2 FC 476.

²⁴ *AC v TPA*, *supra* note 23 at para 29; *Democracy Watch v Conflict of Interest and Ethics Commission*, [2009 FCA 15](#) at para 10 [*Democracy Watch*]; *APR v CTA*, *supra* note 2 at para 22.

²⁵ *Democracy Watch*, *supra* note 24 at para 12.

take steps that could threaten their economic viability. In the circumstances, the Agency suggests that "an appropriate approach in the current context could be for airlines to provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel." The Statement on Vouchers sets out clearly that any specific situation brought before the Agency will be examined on the merits, leaving open the option for passengers to complain if not provided a refund.²⁶

29. The Applicant accepts that the statements on the Agency's website do not reflect decisions, determinations, orders or legally-binding rulings on the part of the Agency.²⁷ Moreover, this Court has determined that these statements are not legally binding.

Noting the current extraordinary circumstances, the statements simply suggest that having airlines provide affected passengers with vouchers or credits for future travel "could be" an appropriate approach in the present context, as long as these vouchers or credits do not expire in an unreasonably short period of time.²⁸

30. The Court then went on to specifically find that the statements do not "affect rights, impose legal obligations, or cause prejudicial effects on either [the Applicant] or air passengers"²⁹ The Court's finding in this regard is the "knockout punch" which justifies striking the application.
31. An Order striking the application would be consistent with previous decisions striking proceedings brought by Mr. Lukacs. Previous proceedings have been struck on the basis that they were determined to be bereft of any chance of success,³⁰ were moot,³¹ or where Mr. Lukacs did not have standing in the proceeding.³² Like these previous proceedings, the Court's limited resources should not be used in the manner that the Applicant proposes. It is an abuse of process.

²⁶ *Statement on Vouchers*, *supra* note 11.

²⁷ *APR v CTA*, *supra* note 2 at para 21.

²⁸ *Ibid* at para 25.

²⁹ *Ibid* at para 27.

³⁰ *NSERCC*, *supra* note 7.

³¹ *Lukacs v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2016 FCA 227](#); *Lukacs v Canadian Transportation Agency*, [2013 FCA 169](#).

³² *Doering*, *supra* note 8.

C. Conclusion

32. The Court has concluded that the subject of this application is not reviewable. The application should be struck.

PART IV – RELIEF SOUGHT

33. The Agency seeks an Order striking the application. The Agency seeks costs, and requests leave to file submissions on the issue.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

Dated at Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario, this 3rd day of August, 2020.



Allan Matte
Senior Counsel
Canadian Transportation Agency
Legal Services Directorate
15 Eddy Street, 19th Floor
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0N9
Tel: (819) 953-0611
Fax: (819) 953-9269
Email: Allan.Matte@otc-cta.gc.ca
Email: Servicesjuridiques/LegalServicesOTC/CTA@otc-cta.gc.ca

Counsel for the Respondent (Moving Party), Canadian Transportation Agency

PART V – LIST OF AUTHORITIES

A. Appendix A - Statutes and Regulations

1. *Federal Courts Act*, [RSC 1985, c F-7](#), 18, 18.1

B. Appendix B - Case Law

1. *Air Canada v Toronto Port Authority et al.*, [2011 FCA 347](#)
2. *Air Passenger Rights v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2020 FCA 92](#)
3. *Brown and Lukacs v Canadian Transportation Agency*, [2020 CanLII 26441 \(SCC\)](#)
4. *Canada (National Revenue) v JP Morgan Asset Management (Canada) Inc.*, [2013 FCA 250](#)
5. *Democracy Watch v Conflict of Interest and Ethics Commission*, [2009 FCA 15](#)
6. *Forner v Professional Institute of the Public Service of Canada*, [2016 FCA 35](#)
7. *Hryniak v Mauldin*, [2014 SCC 7](#)
8. *Krause v Canada*, [1991 CanLII 9338 \(FCA\)](#), [1999] 2 FC 476
9. *Lukacs v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2016 FCA 227](#)
10. *Lukacs v Canada (Transportation Agency)*, [2014 FCA 76](#)
11. *Lukacs v Canada (Transport, Infrastructure and Communities)*, [2015 FCA 140](#)
12. *Lukacs v Canadian Transportation Agency*, [2013 FCA 169](#)
13. *Lukacs v Doering et al.*, [2011 MBQB 203](#)
14. *Lukacs v Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada*, [2015 FC 267](#)
15. *Tsleil-Waututh Nation v Canada (Attorney General)*, [2018 FCA 153](#)

C. Other Documents

1. *Air Passenger Rights v Canada (Transportation Agency)* (9 April 2020), Ottawa, ON FCA Court File No.: A-102-20 (*ex parte* interim injunction)