

Halifax, NS

lukacs@AirPassengerRights.ca



October 23, 2014

VIA FAX

Judicial Administrator
Federal Court of Appeal
Ottawa, ON K1A 0H9

Dear Madam or Sir:

**Re: Dr. Gábor Lukács v. Canadian Transportation Agency and British Airways Plc
Federal Court of Appeal File No.: A-366-14
Improper submissions in the reply of the Agency, dated October 21, 2014
The Agency's letter of October 22, 2014**

I am writing to reply to the Agency's letter of October 22, 2014, objecting to the content of my letter of October 21, 2014.

Earlier this month, I was advised by an officer of the Court Registry in Ottawa that sending a letter to the Judicial Administrator is the appropriate avenue for bringing to the Court's attention irregularities or improprieties in the reply of the moving party in a motion in writing (Rule 369). This practice was followed recently in File No. A-357-14, and the Agency did not object to it.

My letter of October 21, 2014 followed the same practice with respect to alerting the Court about improprieties in the Agency's reply in the present appeal, and it does not constitute a "response" or surreply to the Agency's reply.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Gábor Lukács

Cc: Ms. Odette Lalumière, counsel for the Canadian Transportation Agency
Ms. Carol McCall, counsel for British Airways